# יחיינו מיומים – תרנייש

# FORCES IN CREATION

YOM TOV SHEL ROSH HASHANAH 5659 - DISCOURSE TWO -

a chasidic discourse by Rabbi Shalom DovBer Schneersohn זצוקללהיה נבנימ זייע of Lubavitch

٠

translation by Rabbi Moshe Miller Rabbi Shmuel Marcus

annotation by Rabbi Moshe Miller Rabbi Avraham D. Vaisficht www.hebrewbooks.org ע"י חיים תשס"ו



KEHOT PUBLICATION SOCIETY 770 Eastern Parkway / Brooklyn, New York 11213

#### FORCES IN CREATION

YOM TOV SHEL ROSH HASHANAH 5659 DISCOURSE TWO

Published and Copyrighted © 2003

by

Kehot Publication Society

770 Eastern Parkway / Brooklyn, New York 11213 (718) 774-4000 / Fax (718) 774-2718

Orders:

291 Kingston Avenue / Brooklyn, New York 11213 (718) 778-0226 / Fax (718) 778-4148 www.kehotonline.com

All rights reserved, including the right to reproduce this book or portions thereof, in any form, without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher, except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages.

The Kehot logo is a trademark of Merkos L'inyonei Chinuch, Inc.

ISBN 0-8266-0740-0

Manufactured in the United States of America

# CONTENTS

### preface

7

## portrait of Rabbi Shalom DovBer

9

## manuscript of Rabbi Shalom DovBer

9

introduction and summary

### 13

translation and commentary

20

(1) A second se

# The publication of the series

# Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah 5659

has been made possible through a grant from

# The Ben-Oni Foundation

#### PREFACE

We hereby present the second discourse in the sequence of *maamarim* known as Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah 5659, as the ninth volume in the Chasidic Heritage Series.

The present discourse, entitled *Forces in Creation*, was delivered by the fifth Lubavitcher Rebbe, Rabbi Shalom DovBer Schneersohn, at the close of Rosh Hashanah 5659 (1898).

The discourse, which opens with the verse Yechayenu Miyomayim, builds upon the paradoxical principle set forth in the first maamar—namely, that the most lofty elements are found in the very lowest of stratums—and applies this concept to procreation, to coronation, and finally, to Torah study. Indeed, in the words of the author's son and successor, Rabbi Yosef Yitzchak Schneersohn, the beginning of this discourse discusses the fact that "Torah is a flow of essence"1—though Torah deals primarily with laws pertaining to the physical, material world.

It should be noted that this particular discourse is quite intricate in its discussion of numerous Kabbalistic concepts; readers who are unfamiliar with Chasidic thought and terminology may find it difficult to fully understand the *maamar*. We have nonetheless attempted to make the discourse more accessible by presenting these complex concepts in the clearest, most user-friendly manner possible.

The maamar discourse was translated by Rabbis Moshe Miller and Shmuel Marcus; the annotation and commentary were authored by Rabbis Moshe Miller and Avraham D. Vaisfiche. The original Hebrew text has been re-typeset with Hebrew vowel marks to further enhance this volume's usability. Special thanks are due to Rabbis Yosef Marcus, Shmuel Klatzkin, Eliezer Danziger, Ari Sollish and Dovid Olidort for their meticulous editing of the text. Thanks are also due to Rabbi Yosef B. Friedman for his editorial guidance.

11 Elul, 5763

Kehot Publication Society

1. Table of Contents to Sefer Hamaamarim 5659.

7 ב״ה (1434) NW. YON PIC. 4

Facsimile of original manuscript of *Yechayenu Miyomayim 5659* by Rabbi Shalom DovBer

# INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

#### INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

TO THE ASTUTE OBSERVER, our world abounds with spiritual mystery. One of the keys to unlocking many of these mysteries is alluded to in the cryptic teaching of our sages, "The beginning is wedged in the end, and the end, in the beginning."

In creating the world, G-d implanted the very loftiest sparks into the very lowest stratum. This architecture is at least partly the result of a paradox inherent in creation itself. For on the one hand, creation is strictly a Divine act; only G-d can truly create out of nothing. Yet on the other hand, creation obscures G-d's Essence, since any activity or manifestation invariably detracts from His Simple Being. It is therefore the simplest and the most inert levels of creation that are most in harmony with G-d's Essence. The ideal state then would be to synthesize the passivity that is characteristic of Essence, with the dynamic expressiveness that is characteristic of His revelation. In fact, when this optimal fusion occurs, it gives birth, not to a revelation of G-d's Infinite Light, but to a revelation of G-d's Essence itself.

In the present discourse, the author, Rabbi Shalom DovBer Schneersohn, the fifth leader ("Rebbe") of the Chabad-Lubavitch movement, unravels the mystical dynamics underlying this conjoining, applying the principles to procreation, to coronation, and finally, to Torah study. Indeed, the Torah is an effusion of essence.

#### THE DUALITY OF MALCHUT

Continuing the theme begun in the first discourse,<sup>2</sup> Rabbi Shalom DovBer explains how the lowest *sefirah*, *malchut*, has a unique connection to the highest *sefirah*, *keter*. This connection is made through the *sefirah* of *binah*, with which *malchut* enjoys a special bond. This is the deeper meaning of the adage, "Extra *binah* was granted to women (*malchut*)." In the first discourse, the adage was

1. Sefer Yetzirah 1:7.

2. Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah 5659 (Kehot 2000).

and the access of entry to be served by the server of the server

applied to speech. Speech enriches the very thinking and feeling that it expresses. So even though our thoughts and emotions activate speech, the act of talking itself brings further depth and clarity to these very thoughts and emotions. In other words, the recipient (*malchut*)—speech—is also a contributor.

#### THE ROLE OF THE WOMAN

In the beginning of the present discourse, Rabbi Shalom DovBer explains how this dynamic also applies to procreation. Here, too, the ostensible recipient, the woman, also plays the role of giver. Not only because she produces the ovum, without which conception is impossible, but also because it is she who actually creates the child. The creative process, however, requires a sublime revelation of the Divine, from the lofty attribute of *keter*. For the sake of the recipient (the woman), G-d reveals this lofty light, enabling the male to father a child.

#### ROYALTY

Having mentioned the special connection between *malchut* (woman) and keter, Rabbi Shalom DovBer now sets aside the subject of procreation (he returns to it later), and focuses on elucidating the relationship between malchut and keter by employing a more obvious analogy-that of coronation. In this paradigm, the king's subjects correspond to the sefirah of malchut, the king corresponds to z'eyr anpin (z'a), the emotive sefirot, while the king's will and desire to rule corresponds to keter. By crowning the king, the people confer greatness upon him. Again, we have here the idea of the subjects (the recipients) of the sovereign rule actually raising the stature of the monarch through their submission to him. The elevation that the king undergoes is not simply because of his climb on the societal ladder to the pinnacle of royalty. Rather, in becoming king he undergoes a metamorphosis. After the populace arouses the king's will to reign by their total self-subordination, the king's newly awakened will invigorates all his other faculties. They are all sublimated, all transformed into something much greater. Will has this tremendous effect because it arouses the faculty of sovereignty (malchut), which is far loftier than the other soul-powers, being rooted in the soul's very essence.

#### THE SUPERNAL KING

In the spiritual realm, a similar process takes place. The exalted stature of the Supernal King is brought about by the revelation and infusion of will-keter-which comes through binah. Binah is the medium through which anything concealed becomes revealed. Moreover, the level of keter revealed is not limited to a particular spiritual world. Because of the direct linkage between the sefirah of keter of all four spiritual worlds, each succeeding keter is generated directly by the preceding one. Consequently, when (tiferet of) binah transfers the flow from keter to z'a, becoming, as it were, keter of z'a, this keter is illuminated by keter of Atzilut. This flow from keter to z'a is for the sake of malchut. Why does keter produce this flow for the sake of *malchut* and not for the sake of z'a? On account of the higher origins of malchut.

#### SPIRITUAL ORIGINS

Rabbi Shalom DovBer then elaborates on these higher origins of malchut, using kabbalistic terminology to map out precisely the respective roots of malchut and z'a, and concludes that an aspect of atik (will) itself lies within malchut. Having explained the origins of malchut and z'a in the spiritual worlds that follow tzimtzum (the first powerful contraction of G-d's Infinite Light), Rabbi Shalom DovBer proceeds to identify their origins prior to tzimtzum. He marshals various proofs that demonstrate that here too malchut is rooted higher than z'a-malchut being rooted in the Essence and Being of Ein Sof. For this reason, on Rosh Hashanah, the time of year when malchut is rebuilt, the flow from mochin<sup>3</sup> travels directly to malchut, bypassing z'a.

#### MALCHUT AS A PARTZUF

After malchut has matured through the direct influence from mochin, it becomes what is termed in Kabbalah a complete partzuf.<sup>4</sup> At this point, malchut is ready to join with z'a to further perfect the har-

ranged in distinct configurations, See footnote 61 to main text.

<sup>3.</sup> Lit., "brain," collective term for manner in which the sefirot are archochmah-binah-daat. 4. Lit., "visages" or "profiles." The each with ten sefirot of their own. term partzuf is used to describe the

mony between *sefirot*, facilitating the revelation of G-dliness in all of creation. But before this creative conjoining can take place, yet another supernal flow must take place, a flow from *keter* to imbue z'a with the requisite ability to impregnate *malchut*. Which brings us back again to the subject of procreation.

#### THE MECHANICS OF CREATION

As mentioned earlier, the flow from *keter* to z'a is for the sake of *malchut*. Meaning, although the emotive *sefirot* of z'a possess the capacity for insemination, a prerequisite for procreation, it is *malchut* that plays the pivotal role. For although insemination represents an effusion of the father's essence, its effusive quality overshadows its quality of essence—as it must. For essence, by definition, is non-expressive. Essence just is.<sup>5</sup> But while an effusion of essence is insufficient to award z'a with the primary role in the act of procreation, it is indispensable in arousing within *malchut* its own innate connection to essence, which is the key ingredient in procreation. And once this quality is awoken in *malchut* by z'a, *malchut* then assumes responsibility for the development of the embryo, using qualities borrowed from *binah*, a *sefirah* that *malchut* enjoys a special affinity with, as mentioned above, on account of *malchut's* lofty origins.

The principal role of *malchut* (as embodied within the female) in procreation is paralleled in the metaphysical realm—creation *ex nihilo* is also associated with *malchut*. The light that fills *malchut* stimulates the innate, but concealed, capacity for creation in *malchut* to actually create. Thus, creation itself springs from the vessel of *malchut*, not its inward light.

#### BOND OF THREE

Having explained a key concept underlying the creative process (namely, that creation derives from *malchut* because of its superior root-origin, which is embedded ultimately in the Essence and Being of G-d) Rabbi Shalom DovBer continues for the remainder of the discourse to apply this concept to the relationship between the Jewish people, the Torah and G-d.

5. See Levin, Heaven on Earth (Kehot 2002), p. 17 ff.

#### TORAH

The Torah represents a "seminal" effusion from the Supernal Wisdom of G-d, an effusion in which all the powers of the essence of the father is invested. For this reason, the fact that the Torah is clothed in physical garb, dealing with this-worldly phenomena is no contradiction to it lofty source. On the contrary. It is the physical that must act as a medium to convey a transmission of essence. The Jewish souls, for their part, represent the bride, receiving and absorbing the Torah, just as the female receives the seed from the male.

SOULS

Furthermore, continues Rabbi Shalom DovBer, the origins of the Jewish souls are loftier than the Torah. Using the precise terminology of Kabbalah, he carefully identifies the respective roots of the Jewish souls and the Torah, explaining that though both are rooted in Adam Kadmon (A'K), the Torah is identified with the externality of A'K, while the Jewish souls derive from the very inwardness of A'K. And prior to tzimtzum, the Torah is rooted in chochmah of Ein Sof, while the Jewish souls are rooted in the Essence and Being of Ein Sof.

#### ROSH HASHANAH — G-D'S CORONATION

For this reason, on Rosh Hashanah, a time when *malchut* must be reconstructed, when the Divine desire to reign must be renewed, the Jewish people play a critical role. Because of their exalted origins in Essence, only they can bring about this renewed will in G-d to be King. But because their lofty roots are not evident, the Jewish people must recite the relevant verses from Torah, since the Torah's intrinsic unity with G-d is readily apparent. The recital of Torah verses is comparable to the effusion of essence from the father that awakens the innate creative essence of the mother, enabling her (the Jewish people) to conceive—to recreate G-d's will to rule. So although everything is accomplished using the Torah, it is the Jewish people who perform the deed itself.

QUINTESSENTIAL TORAH

And just as the Jewish people reawaken the Divine desire for sovereignty on Rosh Hashanah, similarly, through their study of Torah and observance of *mitzvot* on a daily basis, the Jewish people elicit a revelation of Torah and *mitzvot* as Torah and *mitzvot* exist in their quintessential state—a state that otherwise precludes disclosure below. This revelation will become manifest fully only in the Future Era, concerning which the verse says, "[A new] Torah will come forth from Me."<sup>6</sup>

#### CONTRIBUTING CUPS

In his concluding paragraphs of the discourse, Rabbi Shalom Dov-Ber revisits the Midrash quoted at the beginning of the first discourse, which metaphorically likens the Jewish people to a cup. Drawing upon the ideas elucidated in the present discourse, he elaborates on the deeper significance of the allegory, and ties it together with yet another teaching that uses the image of a cup to allude to women. Rabbi Shalom DovBer closes the discourse by reiterating the principle that the Jewish people are not only recipients, but also contributors, because of their exalted root-source.

\* \* \*

NOTE ON THE HEBREW TEXT: In vocalizing the Hebrew words in this edition we have followed the grammatical rules of the Holy Tongue, which occasionally differ from the traditional or colloquial pronunciation.

6. Cf. Isaiah 51:4 and Vayikra Rabbah 13:3.

TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY

2. C. C. C. S. C. Martin and State and C. C. State and St State and State

# With the help of Heaven, After the Close of Rosh Hashanah 5659 (1898)

#### THREE DAYS

AFTER<sup>1</sup> TWO DAYS, HE WILL REVIVE US; ON THE THIRD DAY, HE WILL RAISE US UP AND WE WILL LIVE IN HIS PRESENCE:<sup>2</sup> "After two days, He will revive us" refers to Rosh Hashanah, which is always [celebrated for] two days.<sup>3</sup> "On the third day, He will raise us up" refers to Yom Kippur.<sup>4</sup>

Says Tanna d'Vei Eliyahu<sup>5</sup>:

"After two days, He will revive us alludes to this world; On the third day, He will raise us up alludes to the World to Come." "This world' is referred to as two days because the Garden of Eden is included in 'this world.' [The term] 'World to Come' as used in the

#### 1. Lit., "from."

2. Hosea 6:2. According to Rashi, "two days" refers to the two destroyed Temples, while "the third day" refers to the third Temple, which will be built in the future. The building of the third Temple will console the Jewish people for the destruction of the first two Temples. Targum Yonatan translates "two days" as a reference to the Final Redemption, and "the third day" as an allusion to the Resurrection. Radak and Metzudat David explain that "two days" is a euphemism for the exiles of Egypt and Babylon, while "the third day" refers to the present exile.

3. Even in the times of the Temple, when the months were set according to the testimony of witnesses who saw the new moon (rather than according to our current fixed calendar), Rosh Hashanah was two days (Likkutei Torah, Drushim l'Rosh Hashanah 63b). However, see notes in Sefer Hamaamarim 5711 p. 33; Likkutei Sichot, vol. 2, p. 646; vol. 14, p. 122).

Jewish months have either 29 or 30 days. Before the current Jewish calendar was established (4th century C.E.), the beginning of each new month was determined by the rabbinical court in Jerusalem. If witnesses claimed to have seen the new moon on or before the 30th day, the court would pronounce the 30th day Rosh Chodesh (the first day of the next month). If such witnesses failed to appear, the new month would begin on the 31st day. Messengers were then dispatched to relay this information throughout the Land of Israel and beyond so that the citizens would then know when to celebrate the festivals.

Those living in the Diaspora would usually not receive news of the

#### בס״ד,

### מוֹצָאֵי רֹאשׁ הַשֶּׁנָה, רנ״ט

יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיוֹמָיִם כַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי יְקִימֵנוּ וְנִחְיֶה לְפָנָיו. הִנֵּה יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיוֹמָיִם קָאֵי עַל ב׳ יָמִים דְּרֹאשׁ הַשְׁנָה דְּרֹאשׁ הַשֶּׁנָה לְעוֹלַם ב׳ יָמִים, כַּיּוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי יִקִימֵנוּ הוּא יוֹם הַכָּפּוּרִים.

וּכְתַנַא דְבֵי אֵלִיָהוּ אִיתַא

יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיוֹמָיִם הוּא עוֹלָם הַזֶּה בַּיוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי יְקִימֵנוּ הוּא עוֹלָם הַבָּא. וּמַה שֶׁעוֹלָם הַזֶּה נִקְרָא יוֹמַיִם הַיְינוּ מִפְּנֵי שֶׁגַּם גַּן עֵדֶן הוּא בִּכְלַל עוֹלָם הַזֶּה, דְּעִיקֵר עוֹלָם הַבָּא הַנִּזְכֶרֶת בִּמִשְׁנָה וּגְמָרָא הוּא עוֹלַם הַתְּחִיָּה, וּכְמוֹ כָּל

new month's start until after two weeks. Since Pesach and Sukkot begin on the fifteenth of the month (of Nissan and Tishrei respectively), the Diaspora communities, not knowing which day was Rosh Chodesh, would have to observe each holiday for two days. Rosh Hashanah presented a problem even for those in the Land of Israel since it occurs on Rosh Chodesh itself. Since valid witnesses might appear towards the end of the thirtieth day, that day would always need to be kept as holy. Thus anyone living outside a 2,000 cubit radius of Jerusalem (the distance the messengers would be allowed to walk on Shabbat and festivals) would not know the proper date of Rosh Chodesh/Rosh Hashanah until after the festival. Thus they were forced to observe two days of Rosh Hashanah because of the "uncertainty of the date." (See Shulchan Aruch Harav 600:1.)

4. See Likkutei Torah, Rosh Hashanah 63b and 58a, where reference is made to Ezekiel 40:1, "At the beginning of the year on the tenth of the month." The commentaries point out that many Jubilee year observances do not begin until Yom Kippur. Hence, Yom Kippur can be considered the "third day" of Rosh Hashanah.

5. Chap. 5. Tanna d'Vei Eliyahu is a Midrash taught by Eliyahu the Prophet to the Amora Rav Anan in two parts, Seder Eliyahu Rabbah and Seder Eliyahu Zutta. (See Ketubot 106a where this event is recorded.)

6. THE GARDEN OF EDEN. The Zohar (III:128b in Idra Rabba) mentions that although there is a higher and lower Gan Eden, corresponding to binah of Atzilut and malchut of Atzilut respectively, nevertheless the radiance that illuminates both levels of Gan *Mishnah*<sup>7</sup> and Talmud<sup>8</sup> signifies primarily the Resurrection, as in "all Israel have a share in the World to Come," meaning the Resurrection.<sup>9</sup>

Hence, this world is the "two days"—this world and the Garden of Eden, or this world and the Messianic era. "On the third day He will raise us up" is the World to Come, meaning the Resurrection.<sup>10</sup>

#### IN THE IMAGE

To understand the relationship between these two interpretations,<sup>11</sup> we must reintroduce the concept of "an extra measure of understanding (*binah*) was bestowed upon woman, surpassing that possessed by man."<sup>12</sup> It was explained that this [extra measure] signifies the superiority of speech—called "woman"—which enriches the intellect and the emotions.

Now, just as this enhancement occurs through speech, an intangible expression of a person, referred to as a mere external outflow,<sup>13</sup> the same enhancement also occurs with an internal outflow,<sup>14</sup> when a man fathers a child who shares his characteristics.

True, childbirth results from an internal and essential communion, when the father transmits the essence of his soul, to the extent that the child genuinely resembles his father, and the child's abilities

Eden emanates from the level of memalei kol almin (See Sefer Halikkutim, Gan Eden, chap. 2; Sefer Hamaamarim Melukat vol. 1, p. 57; vol. 2, p. 229). Accordingly, it can be classified as part of the "chain of being," or seder hishtalshelut. (For an explanation of the term memalei kol almin, see below, footnote 148.)

7. Avot 4:16, 17; Sanhedrin 11:1.

8. Sanhedrin 90a.

9. This follows the interpretation of *Ramban, Shaar Hag'mul*, end (p. 309 in the Chavel edition). Maimonides, however, identifies the World to Come as *Gan Eden (Perush Ha-*

mishnah, Sanhedrin chap. 10; Hilchot Teshuvah 8:2). On this issue Chasidic texts follow the view of Ramban (e.g. Torah Or 73b; Likkutei Torah, Tzav 15c; Derech Mitzvotecha 14b).

10. See Sifri to Deuteronomy 32:39.

11. Of the opening paragraph above, and of *Tanna d'vei Eliyahu*.

When one Torah verse (or even a single word) has many explanations, there exists an intrinsic connection between all them. For example, the word *shaatnez* (a garment of wool and linen prohibited by Torah law) has three explanations: smoothed or combed (yi); spun ("); woven (")); woven (")); (Kilayim 9:8). The Talmud (Niddah

יִשְׂרָאֵל יֵשׁ לָהֶם חֵלֶק לָעוֹלָם הַבָּא דְקָאֵי עַל עוֹלַם הַתְּחִיָה, וְגַן עֵדֶן הוּא בִּכְלַל עוֹלָם הַזֶּה.

וְזֶהוּ עִנְיַן יוֹמַיִם הַיְינוּ זֶה הָעוֹלָם וְגַן עֵדֶן אוֹ עוֹלָם הַזֶּה וִימוֹת הַמָּשִׁיחַ, וּבַיוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי יְקֵימֵנוּ הוּא עוֹלָם הַבָּא שֵׁהוּא עוֹלָם הַתִּחָיָה.

וּלְהָבִין שַׁיָּיכוּת ב׳ הַפַּירוּשִׁים הנ״ל זֶה לָזֶה, צָרִידְ לְהַקְדִּים תְּחִלָּה עִנְיַן בִּינְה יְתֵירָה נִתְּנָה בָאִשָׁה יוֹתֵר מִבָּאִישׁ, שֶׁנְתְבָּאֵר לְעֵיל עַל בְּחִינַת הַדִּיבּוּר שֶׁנָקְרֵאת אִשָּׁה שֶׁיֵשׁ בָּזֶה יִתְרוֹן כֹּחַ שֵׁעַל יִדֵי זֵה מִתּוֹסֵף אוֹר בִּשָׂכֵל וּמִדּוֹת.

וְהִנֵּה כְּשֵׁם שֶׁהוּא בְּדִיבּוּר שֶׁהוּא בְּכְחִינַת הַשְׁפָּצָה רוּחָנִיוּת שֶׁנְקְרֵאת שֶׁפַע חִיצוֹנִיוּת בְּכְלָל כו׳ כנ״ל, כְּמוֹ כֵן יוּכֵן בְהַשְׁפָּצָה כְּנִימִית לְהוֹלִיד בְּדוֹמֶה דְּהַשְׁפָּצָה זוֹ הִיא בְּחִינַת הַשְׁפָּצָה כְּנִימִית וְעַצְמִיוּת,

דְעַצְמוּת נַפְשׁוֹ נִמְשֶׁךְ בְּהַשְׁפָּעָה זוֹ עַד שֶׁהוּא בְּדוֹמֶה לוֹ מַמַשׁ, וִעוֹד יַכוֹל לְהִיוֹת יַפָּה כּתַ הַבָּן כו׳ כנ״ל, מַכֵּל

61b; Tzemach Tzedek, Shaalot u'Teshuvot Yoreh De'ah # 353) explains that since the Torah compounds all three words into one word, shaatnez, they must all be connected. Accordingly, as far as Torah law is concerned, one has not transgressed this prohibition unless all three conditions are present. See Likkutei Sichot vol. 3, p. 782.

12. Niddah 45b. This was explained at length in Discourse One, Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah, p. 27 ff.

13. EXTERNAL OUTFLOW. Shefa chitzonit, in the Hebrew. An external or superficial outflow communicated to the recipient as a mere radiance or reflection of the transmitter, while his (the giver's) essence remains hidden. The classic example of *hashpa'ah chitzonit* is a teacher who conveys an idea to a student. Clearly, the actual thought of the teacher (the way it exists and is structured within his mind), much less his essence, cannot be conveyed to his student, but merely the (distilled) concept he is thinking about. By contrast, *hashpa'ah pnimit* is transmitting *essence*, as in the act of propagation. See Discourse One, p. 26.

14. INTERNAL OUTFLOW. *Hashpa'ah* pnimit, in the Hebrew. This signifies the transmission of essence through copulation. See ibid., pp. 26-7, and footnote 96.

and respective second to an exception of the second s

may even surpass those of his father.<sup>15</sup> However, it is primarily due to the mother's "extra measure," by incubating the father's sperm in her womb, that the child is formed in the father's likeness.

In order for a child to be born in his father's likeness, a supernal light must be elicited from on High, as implicit in the verse, "Male and female He created them, and [G-d] blessed them and said to them, 'Be fruitful and multiply."<sup>16</sup>

This is the meaning of the words: "The *atarah* (crown) with which his mother crowned him on his wedding day."<sup>17</sup> This *atarah*, or *keter*,<sup>18</sup> the source of the essential outflow mentioned above, is given specifically "on his wedding day," i.e., for the sake of the spouse.<sup>19</sup> As the *Zohar*<sup>20</sup> comments on the verse, "Great is G-d (*Havaya*)<sup>21</sup> and exceedingly praised in the city of the L-rd (*Elokeinu*)"<sup>22</sup>: "When is He great? Precisely when He is in the city of the L-rd!" But "a king without a consort is neither majestic nor great."<sup>23</sup>

This is because [in spiritual terms] "king" refers to z'eyr anpin,<sup>24</sup> which is also the idea of the divine name Havaya, [or more specifically,] the level of *tiferet.*<sup>25</sup> Now, z'a "without a consort"—

#### 15. Ibid, p. 27.

16. Genesis 1:27-28. G-d's blessing is required in order for a man and woman to produce a child. See at length *Likkutei Torah, Shir Hashirim* 39d ff.; *Samach T'samach* 5657 p. 5 ff. Cf. also *Niddah* 31a: "There are three partners in [the creation of] a person—the Holy One, the father, and the mother... and the Holy One provides the spirit and soul."

17. Song of Songs 3:11. See footnote 34.

18. KETER. Lit., "crown." *Keter* is the intermediate category between the essence of the Emanator and the emanations. It is thus the source of the ten *sefirot* of the world of *Atzilut. Keter* is so lofty that it is referred to in

the Zohar (III:256b) as temira d'chol temirin—the most hidden of all. It is for this reason that keter is sometimes excluded from the scheme of sefirot (daat is counted instead); since it is the source of the sefirot, it is in a category of its own.

19. I.e., it is the recipient that elicits the lofty effusion of *keter*.

20. Zohar III:5a.

21. HAVAYA – ELOKIM. *Havaya* is colloquial form of the Ineffable Divine Name, or Tetragrammaton, composed of the four letters Y-H-V-H. (The letters are rearranged so as not to pronounce the sacred name.)

There are many Hebrew names for G-d in Scripture, each of which expresses a different aspect or attribute מָקוֹם זֶה בְּעִיקֶר עַל יְדֵי הַמְקַבֵּל דַוְקָא וְעַל יְדֵי שְׁהִיַית הַטָּפָּה בְּכֶטֶן הָאֵם עַל יִדֵי זֵה נִתִהוָה הַוַּלָד לְהִיוֹת בְּדוֹמֶה לוֹ כו׳.

וְהָעִנְיָן הוּא דְּהַנֵּה יָדוּעַ דְּלְצוֹרֶדְ הַהַשְׁפָּעָה לְהוֹלִיד בְּדוֹמֶה נִמְשָׁךְ גִּילוּי אוֹר עֶלְיוֹן מִלְמַעְלָה וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָתוּב זָכָר וּנְקֵבָה בָּרָא אוֹתָם וַיִכָרֶדְ אוֹתָם וַיֹאמֶר לָהֵם פּרוּ וּרְבוּ כו׳.

וּכְיָדוּעַ בְּעִנְיַן בְּעֲטָרָה שֶׁעִטְרָה לוֹ אָמּוֹ, דְהָעֲטָרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת כֶּתֶר כְּיָדוּעַ וּמִשְׁם הוּא שׁרֶשׁ הַמְשָׁכַת הַשְׁפָּעָה הָעַצְמִית הנ״ל וְהַמְשָׁכַת הָעֲטָרָה הִיא בְּיוֹם חַתוּנָּתוֹ דַוְקָא בִּשְׁכִיל הַמְקַבֵּל וְכִדְאִיתָא בְּזֹהַר עַל כָּסוּק גָּדוֹל ה׳ וּמְהוּלָל מְאֹד בְּעִיר אֱלֹקֵינוּ אֵימָתַי הוּא גָדוֹל כְּשֶׁהוּא בְעִיר אֱלֹקֵינוּ דַוְקָא, מַה שָׁאֵין כֵּן מַלְכָּא בְּלָא מַטְרוֹנִיתָא לַאו אִיהוּ מֶלֶך וַלָאו אִיהוּ גָדוֹל.

וְהַיְינוּ כִּי מֵלְכָּא הוּא בְּחִינַת זָ״א [זְעֵיר אַנְפִּין] שֶׁזֶה עַנְיַן שֵׁם הוי׳ בְּחִינַת הַתִּפְאֵרֵת. וְהָנֵה בְּלָא מֵטְרוֹנִיתָא, הִיא בִּחִינַת

of Divinity. *Havaya* refers to G-d the Infinite, transcending creation and nature, time and space completely. The name *Elokim*, conversely, represents the level of G-d which conceals the Infinite Light and life-force, allowing for the existence of finite creatures. It is only through a joining of these two forces—*Havaya* and *Elokim*—that the worlds come into being.

In the union of *Havaya* and *Elokim*, *Havaya* is considered to be the "transmitter," imparting the divine life-force, while *Elokim* is the "recipient," receiving and subsequently concealing that energy. Thus, *Havaya* corresponds to z'a (the "transmitter"), while *Elokim* corresponds to *malchut* (the "recipient"). (See footnote 26.) Though *Havaya* would appear to be "greater" than *Elokim*, the verse none-

theless attributes greatness to Havaya (z'a) only when it joins with Elokim (malchut). This again emphasizes the superiority of malchut (the recipient) over z'a (the transmitter), the recurring theme of the discourse.

22. Psalms 48:2.

23. Zohar III:69a.

24. Z'EYR ANPIN. Lit, "small face," this is the Kabbalistic term used collectively for the six *middot* or *sefirot* (divine emotive attributes) of *chesed* through *yesod*. It is often abbreviated as z'a.

25. Each of G-d's Divine names represents a specific manner in which G-d interacts with creation, as defined by one of the *sefirot*. For example, when G-d interacts in a benevolent, loving

Associated the apparently and statements are improved to the state of the state of the statement of the stat

*malchut*<sup>26</sup>—"is neither majestic nor great," for the crown itself (the *atarah*) confers greatness,<sup>27</sup> as exemplified by the act of coronation.<sup>28</sup>

#### CORONATION

Spiritually, coronation awakens the king's *ratzon l'meluchah* (will to rule).<sup>29</sup> Since the faculty of sovereignty is seated in the subconscious of the soul, it is necessary to stimulate and elicit the king's will to rule into a conscious state so that he wants to be king. That is the idea of a coronation.

#### THE MASSES

Through their complete subservience to the king, his subjects accept his sovereignty. Their prostrating themselves signifies their surrender of self, as they nullify their will and intellectual and emotional faculties to him; thus, they crown him with the diadem of royalty.

This coronation elicits the king's will to rule and so bestows greatness upon the king. His reign and rule over people and state, and his regal bearing, all stem from his desire to rule.

Similarly, this empowered greatness of kingship amplifies and elevates all of the king's faculties. As is known, a king's will, intellect and emotions, are on a far higher plane than those of the masses, as the verse says, "From his shoulders and upward he was higher than

manner (representing the sefirah of chesed), He is called E-l (Keil). When He operates in a strict, severe manner (gevurah), He is called Elokim. When He acts with compassion (tiferet), He is called Havaya. Therefore, although in general the name Havaya refers to z'a as a whole, it more specifically refers to the sefirah of tiferet.

26. MALCHUT. Literally, Royalty or Kingship; the tenth and last of the ten *sefirot. Malchut* is referred to in the *Tikkunei Zohar* (intro. 17a) as the "mouth of G-d," the word or speech of G-d by which the world comes into actual being. (Mouth and speech are used for communication with "others" outside of oneself.) The world and the creatures (the "others") make it possible to speak of a Divine Kingdom, since "there cannot be a king without a nation," G-d cannot be a ruler without the element of "other." *Malchut*, being the last of the *sefirot*, embodies the idea of receptivity (as it receives its energy from the "higher" *sefirot*), while z'a embodies transmission.

Our discourse will explain that paradoxically, it is *malchut* that contains the essential power of creation. This power, however, lies dormant within *malchut* (much like the reהַמַּלְכוּת, לַאו אִיהוּ מֶלֶךְ וְלַאו אִיהוּ גָדוֹל, כִּי עִיקַר הַגַּדְלוּת נִמְשֶׁךְ מִבְּחִינַת הָעֲטָרָה דַּוְקָא, וּכְמָשָׁל הַמֶּלֶךְ שֶׁמֵּכְתִּירִין אוֹתוֹ בְּכֶתֶר מַלְכוּת.

וְעָנְיַן הַהַכְתָּרָה בְּרוּחָנִיּוּת הִיא שֶׁמְעוֹרְרִים וּמַמְשִׁיכִים רְצוֹן לְמְלוּכָה, דְּלִהְיוֹת שֶׁכּחַ הַמְלוּכָה שֶׁיֵּשׁ בַּנֶּפָשׁ הוּא נֶעְלָם מְאֹד שֶׁאֵינוֹ בִּבְחִינַת גִּילוּי כְּלָל וּצְרִיכִים לְעוֹרֵר וּלְהַמְשִׁידְ רַצוֹן לְמְלוּכָה בִּגִילוּי שֵׁיִרְצֵה לְהִיוֹת מֵלֵדְ ווֵהוּ עִנְיַן הַהַכִתָּרָה,

וְהַיְינוּ עַל יְדֵי הָעָם שֶׁמְקַבְּלִים עַלֵיהֶם עוֹל מַלְכוּתוֹ בְּרָצוֹן לְהִיוֹת בְּטֵלִים אֵלָיו לְגַמְרֵי שֶׁזֶהוּ עִנְיַן הַהִשְׁתַחַוָּואָה בְּפִישׁוּט יָדַיִם וְרַגְלַיִם שֶׁהוּא עִנְיַן הַנָּחַת עַצְמוּתוֹ שֶׁמְבַשְׂלִים רְצוֹנָם וִשְׁכַלֵם וּמִדּוֹתֵיהֵם אֵלֵיו וָאֵז מַכְתִּירִים אוֹתוֹ בְּכֵתֵר מַלְכוּת,

דְהַיִינוּ שֶׁאָז נִמְשָׁדְ לוֹ הָרָצוֹן לְמְלוּכָה, וּמֵהַמְשָׁכָה זֹאת בָּאָה כָּל גַּדְלוּת הַמֶּלֶך מַה שֶׁהוּא מוֹשֵׁל וְשׁוֹלֵט עַל עָם וּמִדִינָה וּמִשְׁתַר עַלֵיהֵם הַרֵי זֵה מִצַּד הָרָצוֹן לְמָלוּכָה כו׳.

וּכְמוֹ כֵן הַגַּדְלוּת הוּא בְּכָל הַכּחוֹת שֶׁלּוֹ שֶׁכּוּלָם מְתְגַּדְלִים וּמְתְרוֹמְמִים בִּהְיוֹתוֹ מֶלֶךָ. וּכְיָדוּעַ דְהַמֶּלֶךְ הֲרֵי רְצוֹנוֹ וִשְׂכְלוֹ וּמִדּוֹתְיו הֵם לְמַעְלָה בְּצֶרֶךְ מִבְּיֶתֶר הָצָם, וּכְמוֹ שֶׁבָּתוּב

27. As will be explained shortly, the "crown" (*atarah*, *keter*) is given to z'a for its union with *malchut*. Thus, z'a

without *malchut* "is neither majestic nor great," for it lacks the special infusion of *keter*.

28. Thus, there are two aspects in which the superiority of *malchut* over z'a is manifest: 1) *Malchut* contains the essential power of creation; 2) It is solely for its union with *malchut* that z'a receives a special effluence of *keter*, the "crown."

29. Ratzon l'meluchah has the connotation of a mere will or desire to rule (as opposed to an actual, active pursuit of leadership), as will be explained shortly.

productive capabilities of the female) unless there is an infusion of light from the "higher" *sefirot*, z'a. Z'a is the activating force that awakens the creative powers of *malchut* (like the role of the male in procreation). Thus, it is through this union of z'aand *malchut* (termed yichud z'a v'nukvah) that the worlds come into existence. (Hence, the Kabbalists employ the analogy of the physical union of male and female to explain the union of z'a and *malchut*.)

FORCES IN CREATION

the people."<sup>30</sup> A king's emotional faculties, his "shoulders,"<sup>31</sup> rise above the "head" and intellect of the general populace.

The king's newly enhanced and elevated status stems from his will to rule, and therefore, even the king's own pre-reign and postreign stature are incomparable. This is due to the "revelation" of the king's will to rule.

To explain: the spiritual faculty of sovereignty surpasses the other nine faculties, and it is rooted deeper in the soul's essence than they are.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, when a glimmer from the power of sovereignty emerges, all of the other faculties are elevated.

It is understood, then, that the act of coronation by the people empowers the king with greatness.<sup>33</sup>

#### REVEALING THE CONCEALED

Similarly on High: The primary importance of the [divine level] "Supernal King" stems from the *atarah*, which derives from [mother] *binah*.<sup>34</sup> However, the essential potency of the *atarah* is *keter*, far transcending *binah*. *Binah* specifically elicits the *atarah* due to her "extra measure," as explained above,<sup>35</sup> which enables her to reveal the concealed.

#### HIERARCHY OF CROWNS

This even accords with the explanation that tiferet of imma<sup>36</sup> [not

#### 30. I Samuel 9:2.

The following observation must be made: The definition of a "king" per se in our subject matter connotes an actual "king," and not one who is merely a symbol of honor or history, or a leader who is elected by the populace but has no power to institute laws and judgment on his own.

31. The chief emotional faculties of *chesed* and *gevurah* are normally of a lower rank than the intellect. Thus, they are likened to "shoulders" which lie below the "head," the seat of the intellect.

32. See B'Shaah Shehikdimu 5672, p. 472.

33. This again illustrates the idea that the "recipient" (the king's subjects) adds greatly to the "transmitter" (the king).

34. As the verse states, "With the *ata-rah* with which his *mother* [i.e., *binah*] crowned him." In the literal sense this refers to King Solomon, whose mother, Batsheva, was instrumental in ensuring that he rule after King David (see I Kings 1:17; 1:13 and *Radak* ad loc). Following this paradigm, but more profoundly, the Supernal King refers to *z'eyr anpin* (or more specifically to *tiferet* of *z'eyr anpin*) as explained above. The Supernal King attains greatness when he is crowned

מִשְּׁכְמוֹ וּלְמַעְלָה גָּבוֹהַ מִכָּל עָם, דְּשֶׁכֶם כַּתְפִין שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת מִדּוֹת חֵסֵד וּגִבוּרָה הֵם לִמַעְלָה מֵהַמּוֹחִין דְּכִלָלוּת הָעָם.

ַוְזֶה נַצְשָׂה עַל יְדֵי הָרָצוֹן לְמְלוּכָה, דְּבְהַמֶּלֶךְ עַצְמוֹ אֵינוֹ דוֹמֶה קוֹדֶם שֶׁמְלַךְ לְאַחַר כַּךְ בְּשֶׁנַּעֲשָׁה מֶלֶךְ, שֶׁאָז הַכּחוֹת מְתְגַּדְלִים וּמִתְרוֹמְמִים אֶצְלוֹ, וְהַיְינוּ מִצַּד גִּילוּי הַרַצוֹן לְמְלוּכַה.

וְהָעִנְיָן הוּא כְּיָדוּעַ דְכֹחַ הַמְּלוּכָה הוּא לְמַעְלָה הַרְבֵּה מִכָּל כּחוֹת הַנֶּפֶּשׁ וְנָטוּעַ וּמוּשְׁרָשׁ בְּעֶצֶם הַנֶּפָּשׁ לְמַעְלָה יוֹתֵר מִכָּל הַכּחוֹת כו׳ כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר, וְלָוֹאת בּהתַגַּלוּת הָאָרָה מַכֹּחַ הַמָלוּכַה מַתְרוֹמַמִים כַּל הַכּחוֹת כו׳.

ַוְנְמְצָא מוּבָן מְזֶה דְּגַדְלוּת הַמֶּלֶךְ הוּא עַל יְדֵי הַהַכְתָּרָה בְּכֶתֶר מַלְכוּת, וְזֶה נַעֲשֶׂה עַל יְדֵי הָעָם כו׳.

וְעַל דֶּרֶדְ זֶה יוּכֵן לְמַעְלָה, דְּעִיקֵר גַּדְלוּת בְּחִינַת מַלְכָּא עִילָאָה הוּא מִן הָעֲטָרָה וְהָעֲטָרָה נִמְשְׁדְ מִבְּחִינַת בִּינָה, אֲבָל עֶצֶם הָעֲטָרָה הִיא לְמַעְלָה מַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת בִּינָה וְהוּא בְּחִינַת הַכָּתֶר. וּמַה שֶׁנִּמְשֶׁדְ עַל יְדֵי הַבִּינָה דַּוְקָא יֵש לוֹמַר כְּמוֹ שֶׁנְתְבָּאַר לְעֵיל בְּעִנְיַן בִּינָה יְתֵירָה, דְּכֹחַ הִתְגַלוּת הַהֶעְלֵם הוּא בְּכִינָה דַוְקָא כו׳.

וְגָם לְפִי מֵה שֶׁפֵּירְשׁוּ שֵׁזֶהוּ מֵה שֵׁתָפָאֶרֵת דְאִימָא נַעַשֵׂה

with the *atarah* (the royal crown), which flows via *binah* to *z'eyr anpin*. In terms of the *maamar*, this means that *z'a* achieves greatness (*keter*, via *binah*) when it communes with *malchut*, and only for the sake of *malchut*. In less Kabbalistic terms, this means that the actual performance of the *mitzvot* crowns G-d with the most sublime level of delight. (See Rabbi DovBer's Shaarei Orah, Yavi'u levush malchut chaps. 7-8).

35. Discourse One, p. 28 ff. and p. 46.

36. IMMA. Literally, "mother." A reference to *binah* in a *partzuf* state. See footnote 67.

Chochmah and binah are referred to as abba and imma, "father" and mother," respectively. It is from the union of chochmah and binah that z'a, the middot (also called toldot, or "offspring") are born. In anthropomorphic terms, Rabbi Schneur Zalman of Liadi explains as such: "The intellect of the rational soul, which is the faculty that conceives any thing, is given the appellation chochmah... only hosts the *keter*, but actually] becomes the *keter*<sup>37</sup> of *z'eyr anpin*,<sup>38</sup> because the chain of the *ketarim*<sup>39</sup> of the four worlds describes an independent hierarchy, as explained in *Likkutei Torah*, discourse *Vayikach Korach*.<sup>40</sup>

Therefore, keter of Beriah transcends chochmah of Atzilut, since "chochmah from ayin (nothingness) is found."<sup>41</sup> [Found connotes] unexpectedly, not knowing where it came from. Chochmah appears out of the nothingness of keter [of Atzilut] as yesh me'ayin.<sup>42</sup> But the ketarim of Atzilut, Beriah, Yetzirah and Asiyah derive from each other, and are closely affiliated. The difference between [the hierarchy of

When one brings forth this power from potential into the actual, that is, when a person cogitates with his intellect in order to understand a thing truly and profoundly as it evolves from the concept which he has conceived in his intellect, this is called *binah*. These [*chochmah* and *binah*] are the very "father" and "mother" which give birth to [the emotional faculties, such as] love of G-d, and awe and dread of Him..." (*Tanya*, chapter 3.)

37. In addition to the aforementioned idea of keter (of Atzilut), there exist multiple forms of "keter" within each world and between each successive world. In this context, keter refers to a level that "crowns" another, lower level. Thus, tiferet of binah "crowns" and becomes the keter of z'a.

38. The maamar says that the crown (keter) is given by the mother (binah). Although it is superior to her, she could act as a mother-host to this keter-potency, and so be the instrument for its transmission. But the text does not say that the mother gives the crown (keter), rather that tiferet of binah actually becomes the "keter" of z'a. This "keter" would seem to be (merely in name and) of a different sort than the keter that "crowns" Atzilut. The maamar resolves this problem by explaining that the keter-keter relationship is outside of the regular sefirotic cosmology, and is known as the hishtalshelut haketarim. Thus, both ideas are true: binah hosts keter (of Atzilut), and itself (tiferet of binah) becomes the keter of z'a, since all forms of keter are interconnected and share the same essential makeup. See next footnote.

39. CHAIN OF KETARIM. Hishtalshelut haketarim, in the Hebrew. The interlinking of one keter with another in a chain-like series. The keter of each of world has a greater affinity to the ketarim of the other worlds (above or below it) than it has to the other sefirot of its own world. For example, keter of Beriah is more closely related to the keter of Atzilut, Yetzirah, and even Asiyah, than to chochmah of Beriah. The reason being, keter on any level is ultimately a transcendent illumination (or makif), whereas chochmah is the immanent life force clothed within an object it enlivens, and fundamentally part of it (or pnimi).

כֶּתֶר לְזָ״א יִתְּכֵן גַּם כֵּן, שֶׁהֲרֵי הִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת הַכְּתָרִים דַּאֲצִילוּת־בְּרִיאָה־יְצִירָה־עֲשִׁיָּה הֵם בְּחִינוֹת בִּפְנֵי עַצְמָן כְּמוֹ שֶׁכֶּתוּב בְּלִקוּטֵי תוֹרָה דְּרוּשׁ הַמַּתִחִיל וַיִּקֵח קֹרַח,

כתר דבריאה גבוה יותר מחכמה דאצילות ולכו דאצילות תמצא שהוא להיות כִּי הַחַכִמָה מֵאַיָן רכתר לו, כמו מציאה שאינו באַה יוֹדֵעַ כמוצא כז מאין מִצִיאוּת הַחַכְמָה מֵאֵין דְּכֵתֵר הוּא בְּדֵרֵך יֵשׁ מֵאֵין, וִלֹא כֵן בִּדֵרַרְ שֵהֵם דאַצִילות־בִּרִיאַה־יִצִירַה־עֵשִיָה הכתרים

Furthermore, the descent of the ketarim from one another does not follow the same pattern of cause and effect relationships (ilah v'alul; see footnote 43) characterizing the way other sefirot are produced by their predecessors. In the latter relationship, the effect is at best merely a radiance that unfolds from within its cause, whereas the ketarim, in whichever world they may be, are all of the same essential nature. An analogy: In the human soul, keter corresponds to one's will. Whether the will is manifested in one faculty or another, e.g., in thought, speech or in deed, it remains the will of one person. In contrast, all other faculties of the soul are experienced as different, distinct powers, to the extent they are even antithetical to each other. (See B'Shaah Shehikdimu 5672, chaps. 79, 340.)

40. 52a ff. This *maamar* discusses the quality of a revelation itself versus its hidden roots. Thus, although in the present, revealed state, the *ketarim* of each world are drawn from the multiple levels of the previous worlds(s), nonetheless, in root, all of the various *ketarim* and *makifim* stem from the scheme of *iggulim* ("circles" or con-

centric spheres, where the sefirot are related to each other only in terms of a successive process) and, ultimately, the "great iggul." (See Mystical Concepts in Chassidism, ch. 3.) The maamar illustrates this concept with an example: Although malchut elicits its energy from z'a, as "tiferet of z'a becomes the keter of malchut," in fact, the influx of energy only traverses tiferet of z'a on its way to malchut, but is itself rooted far higher than z'a, in its own superior source. The same applies to intellect versus emotions: although intellect gives birth to the emotions, the emotions are essentially rooted in a higher place.

41. Job 28:12. The plain meaning of the verse is the question: "As for wisdom (*chochmah*)—where (*me'ayin*) can it be found (*timatzei*)?" The interpretation here, however, reads it as a statement, taking the verb *timatzei* as 'is found,' in the sense of 'derives from'; and *ayin* as a noun signifying *keter* (lit. 'nothingness,' since it is so esoteric in nature), rather than an adverb. Thus, "*chochmah* from *ayin* (*keter*) is found."

e na managemente de la companya de l

42. See footnote 44.

the *ketarim* which corresponds to] the *hishtalshelut* process of *ilah v'alul*<sup>43</sup> and [the hierarchy of the *sefirot* which emanate] *yesh me'ayin*<sup>44</sup> is that *yesh me'ayin* implies a distant relationship, while the *ilah v'alul* process implies a close association

Likewise, one could say that when *tiferet* of *imma* becomes the *keter* of *z'eyr anpin* then this *keter* is illuminated by the *keter* of *At-zilut* [via the independent hierarchy of the *ketarim*].<sup>45</sup>

Now the *atarah* is given [to z'a] "on his wedding day" specifically for its intended union with *malchut*. To this end, a higher light, the light of *keter*, is elicited into z'a.<sup>46</sup> This is due to the origin of *malchut* which far transcends that of z'a; it is rooted in the unfathomable beginning—*radl'a*.<sup>47</sup>

For example: When a person ponders some goal, he develops a desire to achieve it. Contemplating the goal is the *ilah*; the resulting desire is the *alul*. Furthermore, as the person acts upon his motivation (so that the motivation becomes the immediate *ilah* and the action the *alul*), the original *ilah* still affects the final *alul*, albeit from a greater "distance." (Sefer Halikkutim, Ilah v'Alul, p. 221-2, 226).

*Ilah* and *alul* thus exist within the same realm, even though the mode of existence of the *ilah* is far more re-

fined than that of the *alul*. (Sefer Hamaamarim 5700, pp. 121-3)

Although the final *alul* is of the same realm as the original *ilah*, and is therefore similar to it, it is not dependent upon it for its existence. On the contrary, once the *alul* has been produced it continues to exist, even if the *ilah* no longer exists—just as a vessel continues to exist even if its maker has since left or passed on. (Sefer Hamaamarim 5701, pp. 8-9).

44. YESH ME'AYIN. Our physical world of being (yesh) was not created by a chain of gradual reduction of Or Ein Sof (Light of the Infinite One). As explained, in the cause-and-effect descent of *ilah v'alul*, the *alul* was already contained within the *ilah*, albeit in an undefined state. Thus, the final effect is not a newly created entity; it is merely revealed from its former state of concealment. Accordingly, the effect always remains in some way comparable to the original cause from whence it was produced. The Infinite, in contrast, can never become finite through gradual reduction: "The crea-

<sup>43.</sup> HISHTALSHELUT ILAH V'ALUL. The descent of one world from another, or one sefirah from another, in an interlinked, chain-like series of cause and effect. The relationship between ilah (cause) and *alul* (effect) is such that the *alul* is contained within the *ilah*---albeit in an undefined state-even before the *alul* emerges into being. The *ilah* produces the alul; it does not create it. Thus, the alul's emergence is not a creation of a new being, since it is merely a revelation from within the ilah where it was "hidden," i.e., undefined.

הִשְׁתַּלְשׁלוּת שֶׁיֵשׁ לְהֶם שַׁיָיכוּת זֶה לְזֶה כו׳, כְּיָדוּעַ וּמְבוֹאָר בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר הַהֶפְרֵשׁ בֵּין הִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת עָלָה וְעָלוּל לְיֵשׁ מֵאַיִן דְּיֵשׁ מֵאַיִן הוּא בִּבְחִינַת רִיחוּק הָעֶרֶדְ מֵה שֶׁאֵין כֵּן בְּהָשְׁתַּלְשָׁלוּת עִלָּה וְעָלוּל הוּא בְּבִחִינַת קֵירוּב כו׳

וּכְמוֹ כֵן יֵשׁ לוֹמַר כְּשֶׁתִּפְאֶרֶת דְּאִימָּא נַצֲשֶׂה כֶתֶר לְוָ״א אָז מַאִיר בִּכֵתֵר זֵה מִבּחִינַת כֵּתֵר דַאַצִילוּת כו׳.

וְהַמְשָׁכַת הָצַטָרָה הַזֹּאת נִמְשֶׁכֶת בּז״א בְּיוֹם חֲתוּנָּתוֹ דַּוְקָא לְצוֹרֶה הַשְׁפָּצָה בְּמַלְכוּת נִמְשֶׁךְ בְּזָ״א גִּילוּי אוֹר עֶלְיוֹן יוֹתֵר וְהוּא בְּחִינַת אוֹר הַכֶּתֶר כו׳, וְהַיְינוּ מִצֵּד שׁׁרֶשׁ הַמַּלְכוּת שֶׁהִיא בְּשֶׁרְשָׁה לְמַעְלָה מַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת זָ״א, וּכְיָדוּעַ דְשׁׁרֶשׁ הַמַּלְכוּת הוּא בְּרַדְלָ״א.

tion of the worlds is not by way of a development from cause to effect... for even myriads upon myriads of dwindling and evolution from level to level [of Or Ein Sof] in a causal process will not bring about the development and being of physical matter... Rather, it is the power of the Ein Sof Who creates ex nihilo, which is not done progressively, but by way of a radical 'leap''' (Likkutei Torah, Nitzavim 46c). The "leap" which allows for the creation of finite and corporeal entities is called 'tzimtzum' (see below footnote 58).

45. Thus, there is no contradiction between the fact that *binah* hosts *keter* (of *Atzilut*) and that (*tiferet* of) *binah* becomes the *keter* of z'a, for both *ketarim* are of the same essence.

46. Rabbi Shalom DovBer now summarizes his earlier point, that *malchut* elicits the "greatness" of z'a, since it is only for its union with *malchut* that z'a receives the intense light of keter, via binah.

47. RADL'A. Acronym for reisha d'lo yada (ud'lo ityada). Lit., "the head (or beginning) that is not known and cannot be known." This extremely sublime level is first mentioned in one of the most abstruse sections of the Zohar-the Idra Zuta (Zohar III:288b). See also Likkutei Torah, Derushim l'Yom Kippur, 71c. Kabbalah (Rabbi Yosef Gikatilla, Shaarei Gan Eden, p. 49) explains that there are three "heads" in Atik: 1) Reisha d'lo reisha (the head which is not a head); 2) Reisha d'lo yada (the head which is not known); 3) Reisha d'lo ityada (the head which cannot be known). However, in Sefer Hamaamarim Melukat vol. 2, p. 107, the Rebbe clearly indicates that radl'a comprises both reisha d'lo yada and reisha d'lo ityada. Accordingly, it has been translated here as the head (or beginning) that is not known and cannot be known.

#### KABBALISTIC LOGISTICS

True, z'a [the king] is also "bonded with and dependent upon<sup>48</sup> atik."<sup>49</sup> Even so, it is not actually bonded with the aspect of atik, but rather with the aspect of arich anpin,<sup>50</sup> which derives from atik. As explained in *Eitz Chaim*,<sup>51</sup> Shaar Arich Anpin, chap. 8: "The statement that z'a is bonded with and dependent upon atik means that z'a derives its nurture from keter, [i.e.,] arich anpin, and arich anpin [in turn is nurtured] from the "brain" of atika kadisha<sup>52</sup> via the mazal<sup>53</sup> that descends from there."

Furthermore, the expression used here is only "dependent upon." Consider the precise meaning of "bonded with (*achid*) and dependent upon (*talya*)" as explained in *Eitz Chaim*:<sup>54</sup> "Regarding the root of z'a in *netzach, hod, yesod* and half of *tiferet* of *arich anpin*, the expression *achid* is used, since z'a is actually bonded there. However, regarding its deriving sustenance from *keter* of *arich anpin*, it is only dependent, not actually bonded."

The root of *malchut* in *radl'a*, in contrast, originates from the essence of *atik*.<sup>55</sup> The statement, "supernal *keter* is *keter malchut*"<sup>56</sup> indicates that, in fact, the supernal *keter* [*atik*] is itself *keter malchut*.<sup>57</sup> For this reason *malchut* of *Atzilut* can become the *atik* of *Beriah*. Thus, we must say that there is *atik* within *malchut* [and so *malchut*'s sublime origin transcends that of z'a].

This clarifies what was explained earlier: the capacity for speech

49. Zohar III:291a. See Likkutei Torah, Shemini 19b.

ATIK. The innermost aspect of keter. See footnote 108.

The question is thus: Until this point, we have explained that the superiority of *malchut* over z'a lies in the fact that it is rooted in *keter*. But if z'a is likewise "bonded with and dependant upon *atik*," the innermost aspect of *keter*, then what is the uniqueness of *malchut*? The *maamar*  therefore proceeds to explain how *malchut* is still superior to z'a in root.

50. ARICH ANPIN. The outer, more external aspect of *keter*. See footnote 108.

51. A compilation of the Arizal's Kabbalistic teachings by his primary disciple and exponent, Rabbi Chaim Vital (1543 – 1620).

52. The "brain" of *atika kadisha* refers to *chabad* (*chochmah*, *binah*, *daat*) of *atik* (whereas the term *atik yomin* refers to the *middot* of *atik*).

<sup>48.</sup> *Talya*, lit., "hanging from," as in the Hebrew—'*taluy*.'

וַהַגַם דְּזָ״א גַּם כֵּן בְּעַתִּיקָא אֲחִיד וְתַלְיָא, יֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּאֵינוֹ מִבְּחִינַת עַתִּיק מַמָּשׁ כִּי אָם מְבְּחִינַת אֲרִיךָ אַנְפִין וַאֲרִיךְ אַנְפִין מֵעַתִּיק וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּעֵץ חַיִים שַׁעַר אֲרִיךָ אַנְפִין כָּרֶק ח׳ דְּמַה שֶׁכָּתוּב זַ״א בְּעַתִּיקָא אֲחִיד וְתַלְיָא הַיְינוּ כִּי זַ״א יוֹנֵק מִכֶּתֶר אֲרִיךָ אַנְפִין וַאֲרִיךָ אַנְפִין מָמוֹחָא דְעַתִּיקָא קַדִּישָׁא עַל יִדֵי הַמַּזָּל הַיוֹרֵד מִשָּׁם כו׳.

וְגַם עַל זֶה אָמַר תַּלְיָא לְבָד כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב שָׁם בְּעֵץ חַיִּים דְּקְדּוּק לְשׁוֹן אֲחִיד וְתַלְיָא, דְעַל שׁׁרָשׁ הַזָּ״א בְּכְחִינַת גָּצַח־הוֹד־יְסוֹד דַּאֲרִידְ אַנְפִּין וַחֲצִי הַתִּפְאֶרֶת אוֹמֵר אֲחִיד כִּי הוּא אֲחִיד שָׁם מַמָּשׁ בְּנָצַח־הוֹד־יְסוֹד דַּאֲרִידְ אַנְפִין, אָבָל מַה שֶׁיוֹנֵק מִכֶּתֶר דַּאֲרִידְ אַנְפִּין הוּא רַק תַּלְיָא וְלֹא אֶבָל מַה שֶׁיוֹנֵק מִכֶּתֶר דַאֲרִידְ אַנְפִין הוּא רַק תַּלְיָא וְלֹא

וּבְחִינַת הַמַּלְכוּת דְּשָׁרְשָׁה בְּרַדְלָ״א [בְּרֵישָׁא דְלָא אָתְיְדַע) ישׁ לוֹמַר שָׁהוּא בִּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת עַתִּיק, וְגַם יֵשׁ לוֹמַר שָׁהוּא בִּרְחִינַת אֲחִיד וּכְמַאֲמָר כֶּתֶר עָלְיוֹן אִיהוּ כֶּתֶר מַלְכוּת כו׳, הְרֵי בְּחִינַת כֶּתֶר עָלְיוֹן אִיהוּ כֶּתֶר מַלְכוּת מַמְשׁ, וְלָכֵן בְּחִינַת הַרֵי בְּחִינַת דַאֲצִילוּת נַעֲשָׁה בִּבְחִינַת עַתִּיק לְבְרִיאָה. וְאָם כֵּן מַלְכוּת דַּאֲצִילוּת נַעֲשָׁה בִּבְחִינַת עַתִּיק לְבָרִיאָה. וְאָם כֵּן בַּהַכִּר לוֹמַר שֵׁיָשׁ בָּחִינַת עַתִּיק

ומזה יובן גם כן מה שַנְתַבָּאָר לְעֵיל דָאוֹתִיוֹת הַדְּיבּוּר הָם

53. Mazal means "flow." See Likkutei Torah, Devarim, 71d.

56. Tikkunei Zohar, Patach Eliyahu, p. 17a.

54. Shaar Arich Anpin, chap. 7.

55. In contrast to z'a which is only "bonded with and dependant" on *atik, malchut* is actually rooted in *atik.* The reason for this is determined by the rule, "The higher the source, the lower the revelation." (*Likkutei Torah, Balak* 73d, traces this rule to a passage in *Chagigah* 5b and *Bereishit Rabbah* 19:1.)

and the second second

57. Supernal keter (keter elyon) refers not to the regular level of keter, but to an extremely lofty level of keter. Rabbi Shalom DovBer implies that this is the essence of atik, and is identical with keter malchut—the first aspect of malchut following z'a as the sefirot descend. This is in accordance with the rule that the higher the source, the lower the revelation (see sources in footnote 55). transcends the root of the emotions [which speech expresses], for the root of *malchut*, supernal speech, is loftier than z'a (the six emotions).

SUPERIOR ULTIMATE ORIGIN

Similarly, in the source of their roots, before *tzimtzum*,<sup>58</sup> the root of *malchut* also surpasses the root of *z'a*. *Malchut* is rooted in the quintessence<sup>59</sup> of G-d, transcending even the light subsumed within Him. For *malchut* [of *Atzilut*] creates *ex nihilo*, since a feeling of selfhood [in created entities] is found primarily in [the lower worlds of] *Beriah*, *Yetzirah* and *Asiyah*. This selfhood stems from the level of *malchut* of *Atzilut*.

[Even though earlier it was explained that *chochmah* is also created *ex nihilo* from *keter*] "*Chochmah* from *ayin* is found" implies only emanation rather than actual creation. Actual selfhood (creation) starts when *malchut* of *Atzilut* brings *Beriah* into being.

Now, only He who depends on no prior cause can bring into being an entity with no other prior cause. Thus the power of creation lies [not in the light of G-d, or in His attributes, but] exclusively in the luminary itself, i.e., the Essence of G-d [the *causa sui*]. See *Iggeret Hakodesh*, s.v. *Iyhu v'chayohi*.<sup>60</sup>

Accordingly, *malchut's* ability to create is indicative of its origin in [the Luminary itself,] the Essence of G-d (in contrast to z'a, which originates in the diffusion and revelation of the pre-*tzimtzum* Light of G-d). It is for this reason that the power to create *ex nihilo* is drawn into *malchut*.

#### EXPRESS DIRECT

This superiority of *malchut* over z'a is evident on Rosh Hashanah [when the spiritual worlds align themselves to facilitate the new

exist. When it arose in G-d's Will to create the worlds and all their inhabitants, He contracted and concealed the *Or Ein Sof*, creating a "void" in which finite existence can endure.

59. ATZMUT U'MAHUT, in the Hebrew.

<sup>58.</sup> TZIMTZUM. Self-contraction or self-limitation of the infinite  $Or \ Ein$  Sof, which allows finite worlds to exist. Prior to creation, there was only the infinite revelation of G-d—the  $Or \ Ein \ Sof$ —filling all existence. Within this infinite revelation, finite worlds and beings could not possibly

ַלְמַעְּלָה מִשֹׁרֶשׁ הַמִּדּוֹת, דְּכְחִינַת מַלְכוּת שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת דִּיבּוּר הָעֶלְיוֹן שֶׁרְשָׁה לְמַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת זָ״א כו׳.

וּכְמוֹ כֵן בְּשׁׁרֶשׁ שְׁרְשָׁן בְּאֵין סוֹף לִפְנֵי הַצָּמְצוּם גַּם כֵּן שׁׁרֶשׁ הַמַּלְכוּת לְמַעְלָה מְשׁׁרֶשׁ הַזָ״א, דְהַמַּלְכוּת מוּשְׁרֶשֶׁת בִּבְחִינַת אֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת שֶׁלְמַעְלָה גַם מֵהָאוֹר הַכָּלוּל בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ, דַהֲרֵי בְּחִינַת מַלְכוּת מְהַנָּוה מֵאַיִן לְיֵשׁ, דְעִיקֵר הַיֵּשׁוּת הוּא בִּבְרִיאָה־יְצִירָה־עֲשִׁיָה. וְהַהִתְהוּוּת הִיא מִבְּחִינַת מַלְכוּת דַאָצִילוּת,

דְּמַה שֶׁהַחָכְמָה מֵאַין תַּמְּצֵא אֵין זֶה בְּחִינַת יֵשׁ מַמְשׁ כִּי אָם בְּחִינַת יֵשׁ נָאֱצָל, אֲבָל בְּחִינַת יֵשׁ מַמְשׁ הוּא מַה שֶׁמַּלְכוּת דַּאֲצִילוּת מְהַוָּוֹה בְּחִינַת יֵשׁ דְּבְרִיאָה.

וְיָדוּעַ דְּכֹחַ הַהְתְהַוּוּת הוּא בְּאֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת דַּוְקָא דְּהוּא לְבַדּוֹ בְּכֹחוֹ וִיכָלְתוֹ לְהַוּוֹת מֵאַיָן לְיֵשׁ מִשׁוּם דְּמְצִיאוּתוֹ מֵעַצְמוּתוֹ בְּלִי שׁוּם עִילָה וְסִיבָה הַקּוֹדֶמֶת לוֹ חַס וְשָׁלוֹם, וְלָזֹאת בִּיכוֹלְתוֹ לְהַוּוֹת מְצִיאוּת יֵשׁ בְּלִי שׁוּם עִילָה וְסִיבָּה הַקּוֹדֶמֶת לוֹ בְּעֶרְכּוֹ כַר כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּאָגֶרֶת הַקֹּדֶשׁ דְכֵּוֹר הַמַּתִחִיל אִיהוּ וְחִיוֹהִי חַד וּכִמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר מָזֵה.

הַרֵי דְּכֹחַ הַהְתְהַוּוּת הוּא בְּעַצְמוּת הַמָּאוֹר דַוְקָא וְכֹחַ זֶה יֵשׁ בְּמַלְכוּת לְהַוּוֹת מֵאַין לְיֵשׁ כו׳. וְהַיְינוּ מְשׁוּם דְּבְחִינַת מַלְכוּת מוּשְׁרֶשֶׁת בְּעַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ (לְמַעְלָה מְשׁוּם דְּבְחִינַת דְּשְׁרְשׁוֹ בְּאוֹר אֵין סוֹף שֶׁלְפְנֵי הַצִּמְצוּם הוּא בְּבְחִינַת הַהָּתְפַּשְׁטוּת וְהַגִּילוּי אוֹר כו׳) וְלָכֵן נִמְשָׁך בְּבְחִינַת מַלְכוּת הַכּּחַ הַזֶּה לְהַוּוֹת מֵאַין לְיֵשׁ כו׳.

וּנִמְצָא דְשֹׁרֶש הַמַּלְכוּת הוּא לִמַעָלָה מִשֹׁרֵש הַזַ״א וּלָכֵן

Lit., "selfhood and essence," also translated as "nature and essence."

60. *Tanya*, p. 260: "He is not, heaven forbid, caused by some other cause preceding Himself. He alone, there-

fore, has the power and ability to create something out of absolute naught and nothingness, without this 'something' having any other cause preceding it," i.e., to create beings that feel completely autonomous. flow of divine energy]. As *malchut* matures,<sup>61</sup> it receives its *mochin* (intellectual energy) directly from *binah*, bypassing the z'a process.<sup>62</sup>

In this matter, Rosh Hashanah differs from other festivals. The *mochin* of *abba*<sup>63</sup> and *imma* fed into *malchut* on other festivals comes via z'a's filtration. On Rosh Hashanah, however, the flow of *mochin* [into *malchut*] bypasses z'a. For as *malchut* matures [during Rosh Hashanah], *chochmah* and *binah* themselves build her, without the involvement of z'a, since the root of *malchut* is higher than the root of z'a.

This direct endowment of *mochin* into *malchut* is actually initiated not by *mochin*, but by the Or Ein Sof<sup>64</sup> as stated in Eitz Chaim, Shaar Mochin d'Tzelem chap. 2. See also Likkutei Torah, the explanation following the discourse Vnikdashti. I.e., this flow is rooted in the essence of Ein Sof, where *malchut* is rooted. For in order to build *malchut* [on Rosh Hashanah], she is directly infused by her original source, without z'a's involvement.

#### THE WEDDING GIFT

This is the meaning of "the *atarah* with which his mother crowned him on his wedding day"<sup>65</sup>: The *atarah* is elicited into z'a, by *malchut*, specifically on his wedding day, to facilitate z'a's intended effect on *malchut*<sup>66</sup>—once *malchut* has already matured into a complete

61. BINYAN HAMALCHUT, in the Hebrew. Lit., the construction of malchut. As will be explained shortly, the ten sefirot exist not only as individual manifestations of divine attributes, but are also arranged in various distinct configurations called *partzufim* ("visages" or "profiles" – sing. *partzuf*), each with ten sefirot of their own. The sefirot are able to interact with each other only as partzufim. Chochmah (the partzuf called abba) and binah (the partzuf called imma) are emanated as complete partzufim from the start, whereas z'a is emanated in its initial form only as comprising the six sefirot from chesed to yesod. It receives its

*mochin* (*chochmah* and *binah*) only at a later stage, as an additional light.

Similarly, malchut is emanated from the start as a single point only, called *keter malchut*, receiving the other nine sefirot only at a later stage. This development of malchut into a complete partzuf is called binyan hamalchut, and it is dependent upon the arousal from below (*itaruta d'letatah*) initiated by the Jewish people in this world. The *itaruta d'letatah* reaches the very root of malchut in keter. (Sefer Halikkutim, Malchut, p. 572-4.)

62. This is known in Kabbalah as mochin l'nukvah shelo al y'dei z'a. In genבְּרֹאשׁ הַשְׁנָה שֶׁאָז זְמַן בִּנְיַן הַמַּלְכוּת נַעֲשׂוּ מוֹחִין לְנוּקְבָא שֶׁלֹא עַל יִדֵי זָ״א כִּי אָם מִבּחִינַת הַבִּינָה עַצְמָה.

וּבָזֶה חָלוּק רֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה מִכָּל הַיָּמִים טוֹבִים, דְּבְכָל יוֹם טוֹב הוּא הִתְגַּלוּת מוֹחִין אַבָּא וְאִמָּא בְּנוּקְבָא אֶלָא שֶׁהֵם עַל יְדֵי זָ״א, וּבְרֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה נִמְשָׁכִים גִּילוּי הַמּוֹחִין שֶׁלֹא עַל יְדֵי זַ״א כו׳. וְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּהַיְינוּ לְפִי שֶׁשֹׁרָשׁ הַמַּלְכוּת הוּא לְמַעְלָה מָזָ״א, לְכֵן בְּבִנְיַן הַמַּלְכוּת אַבָּא וְאִמָּא בְּעַצְמָן בָּנוּ לָה כו׳, שֶׁנִּמְשָׁכִים הַמּוֹחִין שֶׁהֵם עַצְמָן שֶׁלֹא עַל יְדֵי זַ״א.

וְיָדוּעַ דְּהַמְשָׁכַת הַמּוֹחִין הוּא שֶׁלֹּא מִן הַמּוֹחִין עַצְמָן כִּי אָם מֵאוֹר אֵין סוֹף שֶׁלְמַעְלָה מֵהַמּוֹחִין וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּעֵץ חַיִּים שַׁעַר מּוֹחִין דְּצֶלֶם פָּרֶק ב׳, וְעַיֵּן מֵה שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּלָקוּטֵי חַיִּים שַׁעַר מּוֹחִין דְּצֶלֶם פָּרֶק ב׳, וְעַיֵּן מָה שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּלָקוּטֵי תּוֹרָה בְּהַבֵּיאוּר דְּוְנִקְדַשְׁתִּי. וְהַיְינוּ דְשׁרֶשׁ הַהַמְשָׁכָה הִיא תּוֹרָה בְּהַבֵּיאוּר דְוְנִקְדַשְׁתִי. מְזַינוּ דְשׁרֶשׁ הַהַמְשָׁכָה הִיא מַאֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת שָׁשָּם מוּשְׁרָשֶׁת בְּחִינֵת הַמַּלְכוּת כנ״ל, וְנִמְשָׁדְ בְּמַלְכוּת לְצוֹרֶך בִּנְיָנָה מִצַּד שְׁרְשָׁה שֶׁלֹא עַל יְדֵי זָ״א כו׳.

ַוְזֶהוּ בְּעֲטָרָה שֶׁעִּטְרָה לוֹ אָמּוֹ בְּיוֹם חֲתוּנְּתוֹ דַוְקָא, דְּהַמְשָׁכַת הָעֲטָרָה לְזָ״א נִמְשָׁךְ עַל יְדֵי הַמַּלְכוּת דַוְקָא לְצוֹרֶך הַהַשְׁפָּעָה בְּמַלְכוּת כִר׳, וְהַיִינוּ לְאַחֵר שֵׁנְכְנֵה הַמַּלְכוּת בְּרַחִינַת

63. ABBA. Literally, "father." A reference to *chochmah* in a *partzuf* state. See footnote 36.

64. EIN SOF. Lit. "Infinite," "Endless," meaning the most absolute Infinite force of G-d, totally beyond description, knowledge, and comprehension, completely beyond any boundaries; the Essence of G-d Himself, the innermost aspect of the innermost level of *keter*. *Keter* (will) is the intermediary between the *Ein Sof* and the *sefirot*. Thus, *Or Ein Sof* is "G-d's Infinite Light."

65. I.e., the day on which the communion with his bride takes place. In our context, referring to the communion between z'a and *malchut*.

66. In other words, were it not for *malchut*, *z'a* would not be crowned with the *atarah*.

eral the two lower sections of *tiferet* of z'a become the *mochin* (*chochmah* and *binah*) of *malchut*. However, at certain auspicious times *malchut* receives her light directly from *binah* or even from *keter*. (See *B'Shaah Shehikdimu* 5672 p. 128-9).

*partzuf*<sup>67</sup> enabling *z'a* and *malchut's* union—provided an outflow issues from *z'a* into *malchut*, in accordance with the manner and order of *hishtalshelut*.<sup>68</sup>

However, in order for z'a to produce the outflow, it requires the aspect of the *atarah*, the aspect of "essential outflow," which is provided to z'a by *malchut* [on his wedding day].

#### MALCHUT ELICITS AND CREATES

As explained [in Discourse One] regarding speech, the letters that one speaks elicit the flow of intellect from its source, to then be vested in those letters [of speech].<sup>69</sup> Likewise, this essential emission<sup>70</sup> for the sake of giving birth is elicited by the recipient [*malchut*].<sup>71</sup> Furthermore, with her extra measure of *binah*<sup>72</sup> the female alone creates the fetus.<sup>73</sup>

#### MALE AND FEMALE FUNCTIONS

To explain: Just as the male bestows the seminal drop,<sup>74</sup> the female contributes the ovum.<sup>75</sup> The ovum, however, serves as a receptacle to receive the seminal drop from the male. Now even though the

67. PARTZUF. The ten sefirot exist not only as individual manifestations of divine attributes, but are also arranged in various distinct configurations, called partzufim ("visages" or "profiles"), each with ten sefirot of their own. When the radiance of mochin (chochmah and binah) fully illuminates malchut, it develops into a state of maturity, becoming an independent, fully functioning, complete partzuf. Malchut may then be referred to as nukvah [female] or bat [daughter], and can then join with z'a.

68. SEDER HISHTALSHELUT. The limited sequence of causes and effects called "the chain of being." (See fn. 43.) In the natural order of *hishtalshelut*, z'a transmits a flow of divine energy into *malchut*. 69. This is due to the special power inherent in the "recipient," in this case speech, due to its lofty source.

70. HASHPA'AH ATZMIT, in the Hebrew. This signifies the communication of essence through the unification or communion of the *sefirot*, as opposed to a mere spiritual influence (such as the communication of intellect between master and student). Note that earlier Rabbi Shalom DovBer used the term *hashpa'ah pnimit* (fn. 14). A possible explanation for the change of expression is that the earlier reference depicted a relationship between people, whereas here he uses the term *hashpa'a atzmit* regarding the unification of the *sefirot*.

71. Again, due to the lofty source of the "recipient."

פַּרְצוּף שָׁלֵם וְצָרִיךְ לְהִיוֹת יִחוּד זוּ״נ (זַ״א וְנוּקְבָא), וְהוּא שֶׁיִּהְיֶה הַהַשְׁפָּעָה מִזַּ״א לְמַלְכוּת כְּפִי אוֹפֶן וְסֵדֶר הַהִשְׁתַּלִשְׁלוּת.

אָמְנָם לְצוֹרֶךְ הַהַשְׁפָּצָה צָרִיךְ לִהְיוֹת נִמְשָׁךְ בְּחִינַת הַצֵּטָרָה הנ״ל, שֶׁהוּא עִנְיַן בְּחִינַת הַהַשְׁפָּצָה הָעַצְמִית כו׳, וְהַנֵּה הַהַמִשֶׁכָה הַזֹּאת הִיא עַל יְדֵי בְחִינַת מַלְכוּת,

דְּכְמוֹ שֶׁנְתְבָּאֵר לְעֵיל בְּעָנְיַן הַדְּיבּוּר שָׁאוֹתִיוֹת הַדִּיבּוּר הַם הַמַּמְשִׁיכִים אֶת הַשְׁפָעַת הַשָּׁכָל מִמְקוֹרוֹ בְּכְדֵי לְהָתְלַבֵּשׁ הַם הַמַּמְשִׁיכִים אֶת הַשְׁפָעַת הַשָּׁכָל מִמְקוֹרוֹ בּּכְדֵי לְהָתְלַבֵּשׁ בְּהָאוֹתִיוֹת כו׳, כְּמוֹ כֵן הוּא בְּהַשְׁפָעָה הָעַצְמִית לְצוֹרֶך הַהוֹלָדָה דְּהַמְשָׁכַת הַשֶּׁפַע הָעַצְמִית הִיא עַל יְדֵי הַמְקַבָּל דַּוְקָא כו׳, וְעוֹד זֹאת יֵשׁ בָּהּ בִּינָה יְתֵירָה מַה שֶׁהְתְהוּוּת הַוֹּלָד הוּא עַל יְדֵי הַנּוּקָכָא דַוֹקָא מִכּחַ עַצְמָה כו׳.

וְהָעִנְיָן הוּא, כְּיָדוּעַ דְּכְשֵׁם שֶׁהַדְּכַר מַשְׁפִיעַ טִפַּת מַ״ד [מַיִין דְּכוּרִין] כְּמוֹ כֵן הַנּוּקְכָא מַשְׁפִיעַ גַּם כֵּן טָפָּת מַ״ן [מַיִין נוּקָכִוּ], אֶלֵּא שֵׁטִפַּת הַמַ״ן הִיא כְּלִי לְקַבֶּל בְּתוֹכֵה טִפָּת

73. I.e., using her own unique ability to build, rather than simply receiving it whole from z'a.

Here again two aspects of the superiority of the female ("recipient") are being demonstrated: 1) It is the female that elicits the *hashpa'ah atzmit* within the male; 2) It is the female that actually contains the essential power of creation. (Cf. footnote 28.) 74. Tipah, in Hebrew, lit. "drop." In spiritual terms, this denotes the outflow of benevolence from the transmitter to the recipient. The feminine aspect (mayin nukvin) awakens the male aspect (mayin d'churin) to disseminate his seed below through the sefirah of yesod. In the sefirot above, this means that malchut (mayin nukvin) awakens z'a (mayin d'churin) to unite with malchut in the state called yichud zu'n (the unification of z'a and nukvah).

75. The receptive element of the partnership. However, as the discourse will explain, this is not a merely passive receipt, but rather one which actively elicits the outflow of *mayin d'churin*, the male aspect.

- water and the second offer a standard state of the second state of the state of the second state of the

<sup>72.</sup> Note that from the verse in Genesis 2:22, "G-d built (*vayiven*) the rib (*et hatzeilah*)...into a woman (*l'isha*)," our Sages learn that "an extra measure of *binah* was bestowed upon woman, surpassing that possessed by man." Rabbi Shalom DovBer here follows their lead in connecting *binah* to the verb *boneh*—building.

power of procreation comes from the seminal drop, the seminal drop by itself cannot conceive, as both male and female elements are necessary.

Indeed, the primary formation of the fetus in its 248-limbed structure is due specifically to the female. The male seed "filters" the ovum, selecting the good and the choice components and removing the rest. From the best elements, the fetus is formed; from the remainder, the placenta is formed, and [later] is expelled. Even though conception of the fetus from the choicest elements is due to the male, enabling the female to conceive, yet the birth of the child in its form of 248 limbs is due specifically to the female.

In summary, the actual existence of the child is due to the power of the female [*malchut*], and this capability comes from the superiority of her origin over the male [z'a], i.e., her source in the essence.

Precisely this [superior origin] empowers the female to create. The male initiation is necessary, however, because that which is "essence" [or substance, as distinct from any of its properties,] does not lend itself to revelation. Consequently, [this essence, or state of "being,"] does not act at all.<sup>76</sup> Similarly, the essential power invested in the female lies dormant [in the state of just "being"].

The male emission, however, is akin to a revelation of essence, and therefore its power of procreation is more apparent. (To be sure, the male alone [also] cannot procreate or conceive at all, since he provides merely the aspect of revelation and not the actual essence.) He awakens in the female the power to procreate and to conceive so that she can bring into actual being—but she, the recipient, by herself creates the body. This is because of her originsuperiority, for as we explained earlier, the source of *malchut* is beyond z'a, in the essence of *atika kadisha*, which provides the extra measure of *binah*.

Thus, not only is it specifically through the recipient that the issue flows from the transmitter, but she alone has the ability to create and develop the child in the image of the child's father.

This parallels the divine creative process on High. It is *malchut* [the recipient] that creates through the essential power of "He alone

76. See B'Shaah Shehikdimu 5672 p. 95; Torat Chaim, Shemot p. 77a.

הַמַּ״ד. וְעָם הֱיוֹת שֶׁכֹּחַ הַהּוֹלָדָה הִיא מִטְפַּת הַמַּ״ד, מִכָּל מָקוֹם הֲרֵי מִטִפַּת הַמַּ״ד עַצְמוֹ לֹא הָיָה שׁוּם הוֹלָדָה, כִּי אָם עַל יִדֵי קַכָּלָתוֹ בִּטִפַּת הַמַ״ן.

וּכָאֱמֶת עִיקֵר מְצִיאוּת הַוָּלָד בִּרְמַ״ח אֵכָרִים הוּא מִטִּפַּת הַמַּ״ן דַּוְקָא, אֶלָא שֶׁטִּפַּת הַמַּ״ד מְכָרֵר אֶת הַמַּ״ן לִבְרוֹר אֶת הַטוֹב וְהַמּוּבְחָר וּלְהָסִיר הַפְּסוֹלֶת, שֶׁמֵהַמּוּבְחָר נַעֲשֶׂה הַוָּלָד וּמֵהַפְּסוֹלֶת נַעֲשָׁה שִׁלְיָא וְגַם נִדְחָה לַחוּץ כו׳. וְגַם זֶה מַה שְׁמֵהַמּוּבְחָר נוֹלָד הַוָּלָד יֵשׁ בָזֶה כּחַ טִפַּת הַמַּ״ד שֶׁהוּא הַנּוֹתֵן שֶׁמֵהַמּוּבְחָר נוֹלָד הַוָּלָד יֵשׁ בָזֶה כּחַ טִפַּת הַמַּ״ד בָּה הַכּחַ לְהוֹלִיד, וּמְכָל מָקוֹם הוֹלָדַת הַוָּלָד בְּצִיוּר הָרְמַ״ח אָכָרִים מִטִפַת הַמַּ״ן דַּוְקָא כו׳.

וְנָמְצָא דְּעִיקֵר גּוּף מְצִיאַת הַוָּלָד הוּא מִכּחַ עַצְמָה דַּוְקָא, וְהַיְינוּ מִצֵּד יִתְרוֹן מַעֲלָתָה בְּשֶׁרְשָׁה בִּבְחִינַת הָעַצְמִית, לְמַעְלָה מִשׁׁרֶשׁ הַמִשֶׁכַת הַמֵּ״ד כו׳ כנ״ל,

וְלָכֵן עִיקֵר כּחַ הַהְתְהַוּוּת הוּא בָּה דַּוְקָא, אֶלָא שֶׁצְרִיכִים לָזֶה הַמְשָׁכַת הַמַ״ד הוּא מִפְּנֵי כִּי הָעַצְמִי הוּא אֵינוֹ בְּגֶדֶר גִּילוּי וּמִמֵילָא אֵינוֹ בְּגֶדֶר פְּעוּלָה לִפְעוֹל כו׳, וּכְמוֹ כֵן כֹּחַ הָעֶצֶם שֶׁבְּהַמְקַבֵּל אֵינוֹ בְּגֶדֶר פִּעוּלָה מֵעַצְמוֹ כו׳.

אָבָל הַמְשָׁבַת הַמַּ״ד הוּא כְּעֵין בְּחִינַת גִּילוּי הָעָצֶם, וְלָכֵן יֵשׁ בָּזֶה כּחַ הַהוֹלְדָה בְּגִילוּי יוֹתֵר. (רַק שָׁאִי אָפְשָׁר לְהִיוֹת הַהוֹלְדָה וְהַהִתְהַוּוּת מִמֶּנוּ לְהִיוֹתוֹ בְּחִינַת גִּילוּי לְבָד וְלֹא עַצֶם מַמָּשׁ כו׳). וְהוּא הַמְּעוֹרֵר כּחַ הַהוֹלְדָה וְהִתְהוּוּת בְּהַמְקַבֵּל לְהִיוֹת הַהִתְהוּוּת בְּפוֹעַל כו׳. אָבָל גוּף הַהִתְהוּוּת הוּא מֵהַמְקַבֵּל לְהִיוֹת הַהִתְהוּוּת בְּפוֹעַל כו׳. אָבָל גוּף הַהִתְהוּוּת הוּא מַהַמְקַבָּל כִּמוֹ שֶׁנָתְבָּאַר לְעֵיל דְּשֹׁרֶשׁ הַמִין הַיְינוּ מַעַּלָתָה בְשָׁרְשָׁה, דְשָׁרְשָׁה בְעַצְמָה כו׳, וְהַיְינוּ הַמַּדִישָה הוּא לְמַעְלָה מָן הַזָּ״א דְשָׁרְשָׁה בְעַצִמוּת עַתִּיקָא קַדִּישָׁא לְכֵן יֵשׁ בָּה הַבִּינָה יְתִירָה,

דִּלְבַד זֹאת מַה שֶׁהַשֶּׁפַע שֶׁנְמְשָׁךְ מָן הַמַּשְׁפִּיעַ הוּא עַל יְדֵי הַמְקַבֶּל דַּוְקָא, הִנֵּה יֵשׁ בָּה דַּוְקָא הַכּּחַ לְהַוּוֹת גוּף מְחוּדָשׁ שַׁהוּא צִיוּר הַוָּלָד לִהִיוֹת בִּדוֹמֶה לוֹ כר׳.

ּוְהַדּוּגְמָא מָזֶה לְמַעְלָה יוּכַן כְּמוֹ שֶׁנִּתְבָּאֵר לְעֵיל,

has the power and the ability to create *ex nihilo*"60 that is invested in it.

—It is true that the *Tanya* states that: "'He and His causations are one,' to create with them and through them *ex nihilo*."<sup>77</sup> Though "He" [*Iyhu*] is the aspect of light,<sup>78</sup> this does not mean that the creation is from the aspect of light; it is rather from the letters and vessels of *malchut*. However, since the power of essence is concealed within *malchut*, so that it is not in the category of revelation, as mentioned above, it is therefore necessary that *Iyhu*, the aspect of light (*z'a*), act as the agent to awaken the power of creation in *malchut*.—

SOUL BIRTH

Likewise, souls are created primarily from *malchut*, which is called *Knesset Yisrael*—the source of the souls of Israel. The "light sown for the righteous,"<sup>79</sup> i.e., for the supernal *tzaddik*,<sup>80</sup> is the agent [arousing the power of creation in *malchut*].

# WEDDING THE TORAH

We can now understand the parallel to "his wedding day—when the Torah was given."<sup>81</sup> Torah is the essence of G-d's wisdom from *chochmah ila'ah* (supernal *chochmah*),<sup>82</sup> i.e., [not a mere manifestation, but] from the actual quintessence of *chochmah ila'ah*.

The difference between the supernal union necessary for the

77. Iggeret Hakodesh chap. 20, pp. 258 and 260 quote from Tikkunei Zohar, Intro., 3b: "He (Iyhu) and His vivifications (chayoht) are one; He and His causations (garmoht) are one—in them." Rabbi Schneur Zalman then explains: "His vivifications"—these are the lights (orot), and "His causations"—these are the vessels (kelim).

78. See previous footnote, quoting Rabbi Schneur Zalman's explanation that "His vivifications" signifies the lights (*orot*). This does not contradict Rabbi Shalom Dovber's statement that "*He (Iyhu)* is the aspect of light," since this refers to the essential light, which is not clothed within the vessels, whereas the "lights" mentioned by Rabbi Schneur Zalman refer to the ten *sefirot* of *Atzilut*.

79. Psalms 97:11. See following footnote.

80. In Chasidus, "Supernal tzaddik" refers to yesod, the lowest sefirah of z'a, also known as the force that unites z'aand malchut. Any unifying force, or intermediary, must contain elements of both forces it wishes to bring together. Yesod, though primarily a part of z'a, can also relate to malchut, since it is the lowest level within z'a. Thus, the "light sown for the supernal tzad-

לְבָּרוֹ בְּכחוֹ וִיכוּלְתוֹ לְהַוּת מַאַין לְיֵש כו*.* דְּהַהְתְּשָׁרוֹת וּיכוּלְתוֹ לְהַוּת מַאָרוּ לְיָש כו*י* 

עלמנגֿג כו. יְאָלאָץ וּכִּטוּזָע אוָר זְרוּגַ לַגָּדִּיק גַלִיוּן הוּא בָּטוּזַע מבְּטוּזַע מַלְכוּע אָזָלַרָאַע בָּזָסָע יִאָלָאָץ מָקוּר בַּנְאָמוָע וּכָמן כן הוא בְּזַיַנַע עַדָּאָמוָע דָּאָיפָר טַעָּעוּוּטָם הוא

טַכְּמָּה עַלְאָה, וְהַיִינו בְּחִינַת פְּנִימִית וְעַצְעוּ חָרְמָה עַבְּאָה. תוֹךה, דְהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת מְפָּת אַבָּא הַנִּמְשֶׁכֶת מְבְּחִינַת וְהַדּוּגְמָא מְבָּל זֶה יובן מַה שֶׁבֶּתוּב בְּיוֹם חֲתוּנָתוֹ זו מַתּו

ולנגות פטפנת כון פוטור גלוו שטנה לגור לבואת

male. Then, the maamar analyzes the recipient, pointing out that the Jewish people intimately internalize Torah and mitzvor, paralleling the female receptivity of the male's transmission. Finally, the maamar explains that the recipient, the Jewish people, are in their root of a greater stature than the "essential" transmission of Torah, and ground" that yields much "produce" ("spiritual light," through Israel's fulfillment of Torah and mitzvot), like the fillment of the female over the male.

82. I.e., chochman of Atzilut.

81. Taanit 26b; cited in Discourse One, p. 26.

The maamar now proceeds to describe in great detail the manner in which the giving of the Totah to the Jewish people is analogous of the union between male and female. First, the maamar focuses on the transmitter, explaining that G-d's giving of the Torah is in reality a giving of His Essence, much like the transmission of essence much like the transmission of essence

dik" refers to the light of zù that is "sown" by yesod in malchut—"sown" for the ensuing "birth."

creation of the world and the supernal union necessary for the Giving of the Torah, is that, unlike the union during the creation of the world, which was superficial,<sup>83</sup> a mere radiance, the union during the Giving of the Torah was intimate.<sup>84</sup> When G-d gave the Torah, He gave His Essence, analogous to the physical father who gives of his essence and of the inner nature<sup>85</sup> of his soul.<sup>86</sup> In just such a way, the Torah is an essential outflow from the quintessence of *Or Ein Sof*.

True, the Torah is clothed in physicality, for all matters dealt with in Torah pertain to the physical. This is particularly true of the Oral Torah, which descended and clothed itself in laws pertaining to the material world, such as [the laws of] "two people grasping a garment,"<sup>87</sup> and "one who trades a cow for a donkey,"<sup>88</sup> and so on. Nevertheless, this is like the drop [of semen], which, despite its corporeality, has encapsulated within it all the power of the father's essence. Likewise, since the Torah is [of G-d's] Essence, the medium to convey [this] "essence"<sup>89</sup> must be specifically material.<sup>90</sup>

# THE BRIDE

The souls of Israel<sup>91</sup> are called "bride," for they receive the Torah in a way that it becomes absorbed and grasped firmly within them, as in [the Talmudic expression] "with his studies at his fingertips."<sup>92</sup> Similarly, regarding the *mitzvot* the verse says, "Light is sown for the righteous," and the Sages comment, "The Holy One, blessed be He,

83. *Chitzoniut*. Lit., "external" or "peripheral."

84. Cf. Deuteronomy 5:4, "Face to face G-d spoke to you..."

85. *Mahut*, in the Hebrew. Lit., "what it is." Given the context, it has been translated here as "of the inner nature."

86. Note the two expressions used here: "of his essence" and "of the inner nature of his soul." Perhaps the explanation is as follows: "Of his essence" refers to the seminal drop that is distilled from the father's brain (see *Tanya* chap. 2), whereas "of the inner

nature of his soul" signifies the divine power that is invested in his soul, which is "part of G-d above." See *Likkutei Sichot* vol. 18, p. 409 footnotes 70, 71. A similar distinction is implied later on in regard to the difference between Torah and *mitzvot*.

87. Mishnah, Bava Metzia 1:1.

88. Ibid., 8:4.

89. Lit., "the aspect of essence."

90. PHYSICALITY AS THE VESSEL FOR ES-SENCE. In Samach T'samach 5657 (p. 95), Rabbi Shalom DovBer offers four examples illustrating the idea that the ָּהָעוֹלָם לְהַיְחוּד דְּמַתַּן תּוֹרָה. דְהַיִּחוּד שֶׁלְּצוֹרֶהְ בְּרִיאַת הָעוֹלָם הוּא בִּבְחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיוּת, וְהַיִינוּ בְּחִינַת הֶאָרָה לְבָד הַעוֹלָם הוּא בִּבְחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיוּת, וְהַיִינוּ בְּחִינַת הָאָרָה לְבָד כו׳. מַה שֶׁאֵין כֵּן בְּמַתַן תּוֹרָה הָיָה הַיִחוּד בְּבְחִינַת פְּנִימִיוּת כו׳. מַה שֶׁאֵין כֵּן בְּמַתַן תּוֹרָה הָיָה הַיָּחוּד בְּבְחִינַת פְּנִימִיוּת כֵּיָשֶׁוּהוּ בְּחִינַת הַמְשְׁבַת עַצְמוּת כו׳, וכנ״ל בְּמָשְׁל בְּהַשְׁפָעָה עַצְמִיּוּת, דְטִפַּת הָאָב נִמְשָׁךְ מִבְּחִינַת עַצְמִיוּת הָאָב וּמַהוּת נַפְשׁוֹ כו׳ כְּמוֹ כֵן יוּבַן דְהַמְשָׁכַת הַתוֹרָה הִיא מִבְּחִינַת עַצְמוּת אוֹר אֵין סוֹף כו׳.

וְאַף עַל פִּי שֶׁנְּתְלַבְּשָׁה בְּגַשְׁמִיּוּת, שֶׁבָּל עַנְיְנֵי הַתּוֹרָה הֵם עַנְיְנִים גַּשְׁמִים, וּבִפְרָט תוֹרָה שֶׁבְּעַל פָּה שֶׁיְרְדָה וְנִתְלַבְּשָׁה בַּהֲלָכוֹת גַּשְׁמִיוֹת כְּמוֹ שְׁנַיִם אוֹחָזִין בְּטַלִּית וְהַמַּחֲלִיף פָּרָה בַּחֲמוֹר כו׳ הֲרֵי זֶה כְּמוֹ הַשְׁפָּעַת הַטָּפָּה שֶׁהִיא גַשְׁמִיוּת מִכָּל מַקוֹם מְלוּבָּשׁ בָּה כָּל כּחוֹת עַצְמוּת הָאָב. כְּמוֹ כֵן הַתוֹרָה הִיא בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת דַּוְקָא, וְכָל אוֹר עַצְמִי אִי אֶפְשָׁר הִיא בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת דַוְקָא, וְכָל אוֹר עַצְמִי אַי אָפּשָׁר לְהִתְגַּלוֹת כִּי אָם בְּדָבָר גַּשְׁמִי דַוְקָא כו׳ כְּמוֹ שֶׁבָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אָחֵר וכנ״ל.

וְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל נִקְרְאוּ בְּשֵׁם כַּלָה, שֶׁהֵם מְקַבְּלִים אֶת הַתּוֹרָה לִהְיוֹת נִקְלָט וְנִתְפָּס בָּהֶם מַמָּשׁ בְּעָנְיַן וְתַלְמוּדוֹ בָּיָדוֹ כו׳, וְכֵן עַל הַמִּצְוֹת נֵאֱמֵר אוֹר וָרוּעַ לַצַּדִיק, וָרַע

vessel for the sublime must be material and crude and that it cannot be contained by the spiritual and refined: 1) A truly deep concept can only be conveyed by means of a gross analogy. A moderately deep concept can be conveyed without an analogy. 2) The rays of the sun can only be discerned in the coarse atmosphere of Earth. Beyond Earth, the delicate and refined air makes the sun's rays imperceptible. 3) Sight, which is a loftier sense than hearing, apprehends coarse physicality. Hearing, a lower faculty, apprehends intangible sound. 4) In Ezekiel's vision of the merkavah, the ies in hand."

supernal "chariot," the face of the lion is to the right while the face of the ox is to the left (left being of lesser stature than the right.) Yet in their physical form, the lion is an impure (nonkosher) animal that ravages its prey while the ox is a pure animal that can be brought as a sacrifice before G-d. See Discourse One, p. 27. See also Levin, *Heaven on Earth* (Kehot 2002), p. 163, references marked under entry "Material world, relating to Essence."

91. Or "the Jewish People."

92. *Pesachim* 50a. Lit., "with his studies in hand."

where the set the set of the set of the second set of the set of the

planted the *mitzvot*...<sup>"93</sup> This means that the light of Torah and the light of *mitzvot*<sup>94</sup> are planted in the souls of Israel, termed "a desirable land," as in the verse, "And you shall be a desirable land;<sup>"95</sup> "My desire is in her,"<sup>66</sup> for only they [the bride, the souls of Israel] are the fertile ground for this growth and development.<sup>97</sup>

# SOUL ORIGIN

This is so because the source of the souls of Israel is in the inwardness and the essence of *Or Ein Sof*, as it says, "You are children to the L-rd your G-d."<sup>98</sup> In the same way as a child derives from the essence of his father's brain,<sup>99</sup> the Jewish soul derives from the inwardness and the essence of *Or Ein Sof*.

# TORAH ORIGIN

In fact, the origin of the Jewish soul transcends even the Torah, as *Midrash Rabbah*, beginning of *Bereishit*, states: "Seven things preceded the world—Torah, Israel, the Throne of Glory... and the thought regarding Israel preceded everything."

Also, *Tanna d'Vei Eliyahu*<sup>100</sup> teaches: "Two things preceded the world—Torah and Israel—but I do not know which came first. I maintain that Israel came first. The proof is that the Torah states, 'Command the Jewish people;' 'Speak to the Jewish people,' so Israel must have preceded the Torah."<sup>101</sup>

## MARTYRDOM

For this reason the Jewish people are capable of literal self-sacrifice. Martyrdom transcends rationale and transcends *chochmab*,<sup>102</sup> which

93. Cf. *Tanchuma, Shelach* 15. The full text reads, "The Holy One, blessed be He, planted the Torah and the *mitzvot* for Israel, so as to enable them to inherit the World to Come."

94. The text states explicitly "the light of Torah and the light of *mitzvot*," not "the light of Torah and *mitzvot*." This alludes to a difference between them, as in the verse, "The *mitzvah* is a candle, and the Torah is light" (Proverbs 6:23). 95. *Eretz Chefetz*. Malachi 3:12 and *Rashi* ad loc.

96. Isaiah 62:4, referring to Knesset Yisrael, the "community of Israel," which, in a spiritual sense, is the source from which the individual souls descend and are sustained. Knesset Yisrael is sometimes identified with the Shechinah (Divine Presence) itself.

97. This refers to the revelation of G-d's Essence that is yielded by Is-

#### TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY

הַקָּדוֹשׁ בְּרוּדְ הוּא אֶת הַמִּצְוֹת כו׳, דְּאוֹר הַחּוֹרָה וְאוֹר הַמִּצְוֹת נְזְרְעוּ בְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁהֵם נְקְרְאוּ אֶרֶץ חֵפֶּץ, כִּי תַהְיוּ אַתָּם אֶרֶץ חֵפֶּץ כו׳, חֶפְצִי בָה כו׳, שֶׁהֵם אֶרֶץ הָרָאוּי לְזְרִיעָה, וְעַל יָדָם דַּוְקָא תִהיֶה הַצְמִיחָה כו׳.

ְוְהַיְינוֹ מִפְנֵי שֶׁשֹׁרָשׁ נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הוּא בִּבְחִינַת פְּנִימִיּוּת וְעַצְמוּת אוֹר אֵין סוֹף וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בָּנִים אַתֶּם לַה׳ אֶלֹקֵיכֶם, כְּמוֹ הַבֵּן שֶׁנִּמְשֶׁךְ מֵעַצְמוּת מוֹחַ הָאָב כְּמוֹ כֵן נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הֵם מִבְּחִינַת פְּנִימִיוּת וְעַצְמוּת אוֹר אֵין סוֹף כו׳.

וּכָאֱמֶת נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּשְׁרְשָׁן הֵם לְמַעְלָה גַם מֵהַתּוֹרָה, וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמִדְרָשׁ רַבָּה רֵישׁ פַּרְשַׁת בְּרֵאשִׁית שִׁבְעָה דְּבְרִים קַדְמוּ לְעוֹלָם תּוֹרָה וְיִשְׂרָאֵל וְכִסֵּא הַכָּבוֹד כו׳ וּמַחַשַׁבְתָן שֵׁל יִשִׂרָאֵל קָדִמָה לְכָל דָּבָר כו׳,

וּבְתָנָא דְבֵי אֵלְיָהוּ אִיתָא שְׁנֵי דְבָרִים קָדְמוּ לָעוֹלָם תּוֹרָה וְיִשְׁרָאֵל וְאֵינִי יוֹדֵעַ אֵיזָה מֵהֶם קָדוּם אוֹמֵר אֲנִי יִשְׁרָאֵל קַדְמוּ וּרְאָיָה לָזֶה שֶׁבַּתּוֹרָה נָאֱמֵר צַו אֶת בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל דַבַּר אֵל בְּנֵי יִשִׂרָאֵל הֵרֵי יִשִׂרָאֵל קָדָמוּ לַתּוֹרָה.

וְלָכֵן יֵשׁ בְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הַכֹּחַ לְמְסִירַת נֶפֶשׁ, דְּעִנְיַן מְסִירַת נֵפֵשׁ הוּא לְמַעָלָה מְטַעַם וַדַעַת וּלְמַעָלָה מְן הַחָכִמָה,

rael's fulfillment of Torah and *mitz*vot, as will be explained later.

100. Chap. 14.

102. In *Tanya* (chap. 18) Rabbi Schneur Zalman explains that the Jew is able to sacrifice his or her life for the sake of G-d because the *Or Ein* Sof illuminates and animates the entire soul by being clothed in *chochmah*. Thus, it is not the faculty of *chochmah* itself that enables one to sacrifice oneself. Rather, this capacity is found in the Jewish soul by virtue of the *Or Ein Sof* that surpasses *chochmah*, yet is manifested in it.

49

<sup>98.</sup> Deuteronomy 14:1.

<sup>99.</sup> See Tanya, chap. 2.

<sup>101.</sup> The fact that the Torah (makes mention of and) instructs the Jewish people clearly demonstrates that Israel "existed," so to speak, before Torah. In a more refined sense, this means that they are rooted in a higher source.

is why the Torah does not command it.<sup>103</sup> For since the "Torah derives from *chochmah*,"<sup>104</sup> and since martyrdom transcends *chochmah*, self-sacrifice cannot be dictated by Torah.

This capacity for martyrdom possessed by souls of Israel thus indicates their superiority over Torah. For "Israel arose in the [divine] thought,"<sup>105</sup> signifying the highest level of [the divine] thought—the Primordial Thought of *Adam Kadmon* [A'K].<sup>106</sup>

# LOFTY BEGINNINGS

Adam Kadmon [A'K] far transcends seder hishtalshelut,<sup>107</sup> so much so that from its perspective the entire seder hishtalshelut is all the same.

103. This expression is first mentioned in the Torah Or and Likkutei Torah cited below. The concept needs explanation since all authorities count mesirat nefesh (martyrdom) as one of the commandments, based on the verse, "And I shall be sanctified among the children of Israel" (Leviticus 22:32). (See Maimonides, Sefer Hamitzvot positives 9; Sefer Mitzvot Gadol, positives 8; Sefer Mitzvot Katan, siman 44; Sefer Hachinuch 296.)

One possible answer is that with mesirat nefesh, as with all mitzvot in general, there is the command together with a reason for the command. In both of these areas, the mitzvah of mesirat nefesh differs from all other mitzvot: the reason for mesirat nefesh is not stated anywhere in Scripture or Talmud, and the command is not stated as an explicit command in Scripture, but rather as a statement, that mesirat nefesh may be necessary in order to fulfill the commandments. This maamar explains why the command is not stated as an explicit command in Scripture. Other texts, e.g., Torah Or 99b; Likkutei Torah, Vayikra 4c-d, explain why the reason for mesirat nefesh is not stated anywhere. (Likkutei Sichot vol. 12, p. 212-3).

Alternatively, one may explain that there are two types of mesirat nefesh. The first is the mesirat nefesh commanded by the Torah, that a person may have to die in order to avoid a transgression that will separate him from G-d. This type of mesirat nefesh is commanded in the Torah and corresponds to the four lower levels of the soul-nefesh, ruach, neshamah and chaya. But there is also mesirat nefesh pertaining to the very essence of the soul, yechidah. This is not enjoined by the Torah since the essence of the soul cannot be separated from G-d; therefore, there is no need for a scriptural command. It is this latter level of mesirat nefesh (which transcends Torah) to which our text refers. (See Sefer Hamaamarim Melukat vol. 3, p. 27).

# 104. Orayta mechochmah nafkat, in Hebrew. Zohar II:85a; 121a.

105. See Bereishit Rabbah 1:4; Tanya, chap. 2. See following footnote, that the "divine thought" transcends chochmah, Torah's source. Thus Israel is rooted higher than Torah.

106. PRIMORDIAL THOUGHT OF ADAM KADMON. *Machshava hak'dumah d'a'k*, in the Hebrew. The world that tranוְלָכֵן בַּתּוֹרָה לֹא גָאֱמַר צִיוּוּי עַל מְסִירַת גָפָשׁ לִהְיוֹת כִּי אוֹרַיְיתָא מַחָּכְמָה נְפְקָת וּמְסִירַת גָפָשׁ שֶׁהִיא לְמַעְלָה מַהַחָּכְמָה אֵין בַּתּוֹרָה צִיוּוּי עַל זֶה.

וְגִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁיֵּשׁ בָּהֶם כּחַ הַמְּסִירַת גָפָשׁ הַרֵי זֶה הוֹרָאָה שֶׁהֵם לְמַעְלָה מַהַתּוֹרָה, וְהַיְינוּ לְפִי שֶׁישְׁרָאֵל עָלוּ בְמַחֲשְׁבָה, וְיָדוּעַ הַפֵּירוּשׁ עָלוּ בְמַחֲשָׁבָה הַיְינוּ בַּבְּחִינָה הַיּוֹתֵר עֶלְיוֹנָה שֶׁבְּמַחֲשָׁבָה וְהוּא בְּחִינַת מַחֲשָׁבָה הַקְדוּמָה דָאַ״ק [אָדָם קַדְמוֹז],

ַוְיָדוּעַ דְאַ״ק הוּא לְמַעְלָה מַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת הַהִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת עַד שֶׁכְּלָוּת הַהִשְׁתַּלִשְׁלוּת עוֹמִדִים שָׁם בְּהַשְׁוָואָה לְגַמְרֵי

In Chasidic thought, the world of Adam Kadmon represents the transcendent will of G-d. G-d's desire to create and the quality of the creation are both planned out in one broad, allencompassing overview, without separation into specific details. This is called the machshava hakedumah, or "primordial thought," of Adam Kad*mon.* This primordial thought functions as the blueprint for all of creation.

In the world of Adam Kadmon everything is seen in one broad overview, but the exact details are not yet separated and configured into the categories of reality. All the details of creation, from the beginning of the universe to the end, and from the beginning of time to the end-are all superimposed in this one thought, for in Adam Kadmon there is no concept of space and time whatsoever. There is as yet no dimensionality---no inside and no outside, no up and no down, no before and no after, no cause and no effect. Only a potential for these limitations exists. Everything is undefined, unified, and simultaneous. It therefore completely transcends the entire seder hishtalshelut (chain of being).

107. This is true even though the world of *Adam Kadmon* comes into existence only after the *tzimtzum*. Nevertheless, it transcends the *seder hishtalshelut*, as explained in the previous footnote.

scends Atzilut is called Adam Kadmon, literally, "primordial man." "Adam" suggests "in the likeness of" or "in the image of," from the word 'domeh' in Hebrew. And "Kadmon" means primordial, or primary. So Adam Kadmon is the primordial world which is "in the likeness of" Or Ein Sof which preceded it and which was concealed in the process of creation. This means that although Adam Kadmon is a world, insofar as it comes into being through the tzimtzum, nevertheless, it is such an elevated plane of reality that it is "in the likeness of" Or Ein Sof, which precedes the world of Adam Kadmon. It is thus a world on a level so sublime, pure, and transcendent that it is almost imperceptible. It cleaves to and mirrors the original Or Ein Sof.

Chochmah marks the beginning of the hishtalshelut, whereas A'K, which transcends hishtalshelut, also transcends chochmah. (See the unpublished notes to the discourse entitled Lamnatzeach al hashminit, end, that the outer and inner dimensions of Adam Kadmon are similar to the outer and inner dimensions of keter—atik and arich.<sup>108</sup> Chochmah of Adam Kadmon is similar to chochmah stima'ah (hidden chochmah) of arich.<sup>109</sup> According to Ramaz,<sup>110</sup> who writes that the beginning of the hishtalshelut of Atzilut is from chochmah stima'ah,<sup>111</sup> one may posit that chochmah of Adam Kadmon is also connected to hishtalshelut).

A'K, transcending *hishtalshelut*, also transcends *chochmah*. Therefore, the souls of Israel have the potential to sacrifice themselves to sanctify G-d's name because of their root in A'K. Now even though Torah is also rooted in A'K, which is why the Torah is called the Primordial metaphor,<sup>112</sup> its root is [still only] in the outer dimension of A'K. The souls of Israel, however, stem from the inner dimension of A'K.

## WITHOUT, WITHIN

Elsewhere,113 concerning the statement that "the Holy One looked

108. These notes have since been printed in Or Hatorah, Vayikra vol. 2, p. 514.

Atik is the inner dimension of keter, and arich is the outer dimension. Thus atik is described as the last level of G-dliness, whereas arich is the beginning of creation. (See, e.g., B'Shaah Shehekdimu 5672 p. 396).

109. Adam Kadmon is an intermediary level between the infinite Ein Sof and the finite creation (Likkutei Torah, Shir Hashirim 18d). It functions in the same way as keter. its inner dimension is the furthest point to which G-dliness of the higher world extends; its outer dimension is the source developing the world to which it is keter (encompassing). Thus A'K is referred to as the "general keter" from which all of the worlds develop (Torah Or, Mishpatim 78b; Likkutei Torah, Hosafot l'Vayikra p. 51d, Maasei 95a-b). Similar to keter that has two primary aspects, atik (an inner dimension) and arich (an outer dimension), Adam Kadmon also has an inner and outer dimension: atik of A'K—where the infinite Or Ein Sof is revealed; and arich of A'K—which is G-d's will for all of the worlds to come into being. (See also Hachodesh in Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah 5666).

Furthermore, just as *keter* of any of the worlds contains all the *sefirot* which are manifested in that world, similarly the *keter* of *all* the worlds, *A'K*, also contains all the *sefirot* which will be manifested in all of the כו׳ וְחָכְמָה הִיא רֵאשִׁית הַהִשְׁתַלְשְׁלוּת כְּיָדוּעַ (עַיֵן מַה שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּהַגָּהוֹת לִדְרוּשׁ הַמַּתְחִיל לַמְנַצֵּחַ עַל הַשְׁמִינִית שַׁשְׁכָּתוּב בְּהַגָּהוֹת לִדְרוּשׁ הַמַּתְחִיל לַמְנַצֵּחַ עַל הַשְׁמִינִית שַׁ״ק שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּהַגָּהוֹת לִדְרוּשׁ הַמַּתְחִיל לַמְנַצַחַ עַל הַשְׁמִינִית שַ״ק שֶׁלֹא נִדְפְסוּ וְשָׁם בְּסוֹף הַדְרוּשׁ דְּחִיצוֹנִיוּת וּפְנִימִית שַ״ק שֶׁלֹא נִדְפְסוּ וְשָׁם בְּסוֹף הַדְרוּשׁ דְּחִיצוֹנִיוּת וּפְנִימִית שַ״ק הוּא כְּמוֹ חִיצוֹנִיוּת וּפְנִימִית דְּכֶתֶר עַּתִיק וַאֲרִידָּ, וְחָכְמָה הוּא כְּמוֹ חִיצוֹנִיוּת וּפְנִימִית דְּכֶתֶר שַּתִיק וַאֲרִידָּ, וְחָכְמָה דְאַ״ק הוּא כְּמוֹ חִיצוֹנִיוּת וּפְנִימִית דְּכֶתֶר שַּתִיק וַאֲרִידָּ, וְחָכְמָה דְאַ״ק בְאַרִידָּ, וּזְפָני מַה שֶׁכָּתַב הָרֵב דְאַיק הוּא כְמוֹ חָכָמָה סְתִימָאָה דַאֲרִידָּ, וּלְפִי מַה שֶׁכָּתַב הָרֵב הְרַב מְשֶׁה זַכּוּת זיק הוּא כְמוֹ חָכְמָה סְתִימָאָה בְּאַרִידָּ, וּלְפִי מָה שֶׁכָּתֵב הָרֵב מְחַכְמָה סְתִימָאָה זַבּוּר וּדָרָידָ, וּלְפִי מָה שֶׁכָּתֵה הָאַיקַמָה מָהיקַיָּה מַה שֶׁכָּתוּ בּאַיקוּת משָּרָמָה לוֹת הוּאַיקוּעוּת הוּא מַחָכְמָה סְתִימָאָה אָם בּן אַייק לוֹמַר בְּחָכָמָה רְאַיקוּ מוּלוּת הוּאַיקוּ וּשִיין הוּא מַחָכָמָה הוּשִיק מוּשָּה זכון בוּין מוּת הוּא מַחָכמָה הוּשִיק מוּים לוּשִיין לוּשָּרוּת הוּא מַחָכָמָה בּמוּים בּעוֹים מּאָיקוּ וּישׁיּין לוּמין הישוּיק מוּיק מָאָה אָם בּן שָּבּשָּיר בּמוֹ כון ישוּין בוּישוּ לוֹמַר בּחָכָמָה רָאַייקוּן היישוּים בּישוּים לוּשוּיים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּיים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּיים בוּיים היים בּתּיים בוּישוּים בוּשוּים בוּישוּת בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּיים בוּיים בוּיים בוּישוּים בוּשוּיים בוּישוּים בוּעוּים בוּיים בוּישוּים בוּיים בוּים בוּישוּים בוּשוּים בוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים בוּים בוּיים בוּיים בוּיים בוּשוּים בוּישוּים בוּישוּים שוּשוּים בוּישוּים בוּיים בוּיים בוּשוּים בוּיים בוּשָּיים בוּשוּים בוּים בוּיים בוּייי

וְאַ״ק שָׁהוּא לְמַעְלָה מֵהִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת הֲרֵי הוּא לְמַעְלָה מְבְּחִינַת הַחָכְמָה, וּמִשׁוּם זֶה יֵשׁ בְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הַכּחַ מְבְּחִינַת הַחָכְמָה, וּמִשׁוּם זֶה יֵשׁ בְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הַכּחַ לְמְסִירַת נֶפָשׁ עַל קִדּוּשׁ הַשֵּׁם מִשׁוּם שְׁרְשָׁם בְּאַ״ק. וַהָגַם דְּהַתּוֹרָה גַם כֵּן שְׁרְשָׁה בְּאַ״ק דְּלָכֵן נִקְרֵאת הַתּוֹרָה מְשֵׁל הַקַּדְמוֹנִי עַל שֵׁם שְׁרְשָׁה בְּבָחִינַת אַ״ק כְּיָדוּעַ. הָעִנְיָן דְמַה שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת אַ״ק הַיִינוּ בְּחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיוּת אַ״ק וְנָשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל הֵם מִבְּחִינַת פְּנִימִיוּת אַ״ק.

וּכְמוֹ שֶׁפֶּתוּב בְּמַקוֹם אֲחֵר בִּעְנִיַן קוּדְשָׁא בִּרִידְ הוּא

worlds. The sefirot of A'K, however, unlike the sefirot of the other worlds, are all-encompassing "meta-sefirot," rather than the specific and individual manifestations of G-d's attributes as sefirot in the other worlds. Accordingly, Adam Kadmon is often referred to as "the world of ketarim."

Among these ten meta-sefirot of A'K is chochmah of A'K, which is the first manifested (but nevertheless non-dimensional) point from which all of the seder hishtalshelut develops.

110. Mikdash Melech (on Zohar III:88a), vol. 2 p. 73a, end, in the standard edition. Rabbi Moshe Zacuto (Amsterdam, 1625 – Venice, 1697) was a famous Rabbi and Kabbalist. He authored many works, including a commentary on the *Zohar*, printed under the aforementioned title.

111. Hidden chochmah. See Zohar I:141b: "Supernal chochmah is the most hidden of all. It is unknown, and is not revealed." This is the attribute of chochmah as it is within keter, or more precisely in arich anpin, the outer dimension of keter.

112. Rashi on I Samuel 24:14.

113. See Likkutei Torah, Hosafot l'Vayikra, 51d; Massei 95b; Hachodesh Hazeh Lachem 5666. into the Torah and created the world,"<sup>114</sup> it is explained that there are two aspects of A'K—an outer dimension and an inner one. The outer dimension of A'K is an all-inclusive light, encompassing the entire *hishtalshelut* equally, from the uppermost level to the very lowest. This outer dimension nevertheless has some relationship to *hishtalshelut*—similar to the relationship of a general rule and an explicit instance thereof;<sup>115</sup> the general rule has an association to the particular case.

However, the inner dimension of A'K transcends even the category of an all-inclusive light. It is rather "the Holy One" [who looks into the Torah], the quintessence of the light of G-d transcending A'K entirely, as if it were an "*atik*" above the *galgalta* of A'K,<sup>116</sup> as explained in *Eitz Chaim, Shaar* 8, *Shaar Shevirat Hakeilim*, chap. 5.

# **REFLECTION IN TORAH**

Now the Torah also comprises general rules and specific instances, for each section of the Torah was articulated as a particular utterance, and at a specific time.<sup>117</sup> The general rules of the Torah pertain to the status of Torah in A'K, an all-encompassing light in which all sections of the Torah are included together, even though in this world they were given at different times.

(Accordingly, we can understand the Sages' teaching that all Ten Commandments were said in one utterance<sup>118</sup> to mean that G-d revealed [to the terrestrial world] the light of Torah as it is in A'K.)

This is the meaning of "the Holy One looked into the Torah and created the world": The Holy One—the quintessence of  $Or \ Ein$ Sof, the inner dimension of A'K—looked into the Torah, the all-

116. As mentioned above (footnote 109), *Adam Kadmon* contains all the individual *sefirot* as all-encompassing

"meta-sefirot," including the aspect of keter of A'K. As explained previously, (just like the keter of any of the worlds) keter of A'K has two levels: atik—the inner dimension of keter where the infinite Or Ein Sof is revealed; arich—the outer dimension of keter, i.e. G-d's will for all of the worlds to come into being. In Kabbalistic terminology, the outer dimen-

<sup>114.</sup> Zohar II:161b.

<sup>115.</sup> Klal and prat in the Hebrew. For a definition of these rules, see Siddur Tehillat Hashem (Kehot), Hebrew-English edition, p. 25.

אָסְתַּכַּל בְּאוֹרַיְיתָא וּבְרָא עָלְמָא, דִּבְאַ״ק יֵשׁ ב׳ מַדְרֵיגוֹת בְּחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיּוּת וּבְחִינַת פְּנִימִיּוּת, בְחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיּוּת הוּא מַה שֶׁאַ״ק הוּא בְחִינַת אוֹר כְּלָלִי שֶׁכּוֹלֵל כְּלָלוּת הַהִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת מֵרֵישׁ כָּל דַּרְגִין עַד סוֹף כָּל דַּרְגִין בְּהַשְׁנוּאָה כו׳, דְּמַכָּל מֶקוֹם יֵשׁ לוֹ שֵׁיָיכוּת אֶל הַהִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת וְהוּא כְּמוֹ כְלָל לְגַבֵּי כָּרָט דְּהַכָּלָ יֵשׁ לוֹ שֵׁיָיכוּת אֶל הַבָּרָט כו׳.

אֲכָל בְּחִינַת פְּנִימִיּוּת אַ״ק הוּא שָׁאֵינוֹ בְּגֶדֶר אוֹר כְּלָלִי גַם כֵּן. וְזֶהוּ קוּדְשָׁא בְּרִידְ הוּא הוּא בּחִינַת עַצְמוּת אוֹר אֵין סוּף שֶׁלְמַעְלָה מֵהַכְּלָל דְּאַ״ק וְהוּא מֵה שֶׁיֵשׁ כְּדוּגְמַת עַתִּיק לְעֵילָא מָגוּלְגַּלְתָא דְאַ״ק כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּעֵץ חַיִים שַׁעַר ח׳ הוּא שַׁעַר שְׁבִירָת הַכֵּלִים פָּרֵק ה׳.

וְהִנֵּה הַתּוֹרָה יֵשׁ בָּה גַּם כֵּן כְּלָל וּפְרָט, דַהֲרֵי הַפַּרְשִׁיוֹת שֶׁל תּוֹרָה כָּל אַחַת נָאֶמְרָה בְּדִיבּוּר פְּרָטִי וְגַם בִּזְמַנִּים מְיוּחָדִים כו׳. וְהַכְּלָל דְּתוֹרָה הוּא כְּמוֹ שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּרְחִינַת אַ״ק שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת אוֹר כְּלָלִי שֶׁנְּכְלְלוּ שָׁם כָּל הַפַּרְשִׁיוֹת יַחַד, אַף עַל כִּי שֶׁלְמַטֶּה נִיתְנוּ בִזְמַנִּים חוֹלְפִים כו׳, מִכָּל מָקוֹם שָׁם נְכְלָל הַכֹּל הַיַחַד כו׳.

וּלְפִי זֶה יֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּזֶה שֶׁצֲשֶׂרֶת הַדִּבְּרוֹת בְּדִיבּוּר אָחָד נֶאֶמְרוּ כְּמַאֲמַר רַבּוֹתֵינוּ זִכְרוֹנָם לְבְרָכָה עַל כָּסוּק כָּל הַדְּבָרִים הָאֵלֶה כו׳, הַיְינוּ שֶׁנִּתְגַּלָה בְּחִינַת אוֹר הַתּוֹרָה כּמוֹ שׁהוּא בָּאַ״ק כו׳).

ַוְזֶהוּ דְּקוּדְשָׁא בְּרִידְ הוּא אִסְתַּכַּל בְּאוֹרַיְתָא וּבְרָא עָלְמָא דְקוּדְשָׁא בְּרִידְ הוּא שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת עַצְמוּת אוֹר אֵין סוֹף בְּחִינַת פָּנִימִית אַ״ק אָסִתַּכַּל בָּאוֹרַיִיתָא שֶׁהִיא בְּחִינַת אוֹר

118. See Rosh Hashanah 27a; Shavuot 20b.

sion of *keter* of A'K is called "galgalta" T (skull) and the inner dimension is referred to as "atik above (or transcending) the galgalta of A'K."

Torah was given in stages, section by section, rather than all at once. See *Gittin* 60a.

<sup>117.</sup> This follows the view that the

encompassing light of A'K,<sup>119</sup> and thus created the world. Hence, the Torah is the outer dimension of A'K.<sup>120</sup>

ISRAEL: HIGHER THAN TORAH

However, the souls of the Jewish people are from the inner dimension of A'K, as stated in the Zohar. "Whoever looks into the Torah, and exerts himself in it, sustains the entire world. The Holy One looked into the Torah and created the world; man looks into the Torah and sustains the world."<sup>121</sup> From this we understand that the soul stems from the inner dimension of A'K, and consequently, just as the Holy One looked into the Torah and created the world, man, too, strives in Torah and sustains the world. Therefore, "[King] David bound the celestial Torah to the Holy One."<sup>122</sup> "The Holy One" signifies the inner dimension of A'K; "the celestial Torah" refers to the Torah in its source in the outer dimension of A'K. David bound the celestial Torah to the Holy One, the inner dimension of A'K. Since the root of the soul transcends the Torah's celestial status in A'K, he was capable of binding the celestial Torah with the Holy One—the inner dimension of Or Ein Sof.

## PRE-TZIMTZUM SOURCE

Similarly, the source of the Torah in *Ein Sof* light preceding the *tzimtzum*,<sup>123</sup> i.e., *chochmah* of *Ein Sof*, is surpassed by the source of the souls of Israel, since they are rooted in the quintessence of G-d. As the verse says, "And he passed before them:"<sup>124</sup> This alludes to the teaching that the root of the souls of Israel is from the aspect of "and He"<sup>125</sup>—the quintessence of G-d, regarding which it states, "He and

119. I.e., the outer dimension of A'K.

120. Torah, therefore, has some relationship to the world.

121. Zohar II:161b. The meaning of this statement: The world exists and is vivified exclusively through through Torah. G-d initially created the world according to the "blueprint" of Torah, and likewise, the world is continuously sustained through Israel's toil in learning Torah and fulfilling its commandments. Thus, the Zohar compares Israel and "the Holy One," attributing to them both the same role—"partners in creation." So just as "the Holy One" refers to the inner dimension of A'K (and as such, has the power to create the world, through Torah), Israel (who also "sustains the world," through Torah)

כְּלָלִי דְּאַ״ק וְעַל יְדֵי זֶה בְּרָא עָלְמָא כו׳. נִמְצָא דְהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת חִיצוֹנִיּוּת אַ״ק כו׳,

וּכְמוֹ כֵן יוּכֵן גַם בְּשׁׁרָשׁ הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁהִיא בְּאוֹר אֵין סוֹף שֶׁלְפְנֵי הַצַּמְצוּם שֶׁהִיא בְּרָחִינַת חָכְמָה דְאֵין סוֹף כו׳ גַּם כֵּן שׁׁרָשׁ נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל לְמַעְלָה מְזֶה שֶׁהֵם מוּשְׁרָשִׁים בְּאֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת מַמָּשׁ. וּכְיָדוּעַ בְּעָנְיַן וְהוּא עָבַר לִפְּנֵיהֶם, דְשׁׁרֵשׁ נָשָׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הוּא מִבְּחִינַת וְהוּא, דְעָנְיַן וְהוּא הוּא

must be rooted in this same dimension as well.

122. Sefer Habahir, Siman 58 (p. 196). See also Likkutei Torah, Vayikra 5a; Berachah 96b.

contraction of proving agest and a strategy and a strategy of the

123. See footnote 58.

124. Genesis 33:3.

125. The verse quoted refers to the meeting of Jacob and Esau. Jacob saw Esau coming towards him with four hundred men, so "he divided the children among Leah, Rachel and the two handmaids. He put the handmaids and their children first, Leah and her children next, and Rachel and Joseph last. And he passed before

His Name are One."<sup>126</sup> This aspect of "He" transcends even His Essential Name within Himself, and is the Essence of G-d.<sup>127</sup> It is precisely in the aspect of "He" that the roots of the souls of Israel are embedded.

The soul's source transcends the source of the Torah, which is "His Name," as the saying, "Whoever recites [words of] Torah—it is as if they recite the names of the Holy One,"<sup>128</sup> which refers to G-d's names. The Torah, though, is an essential outflow, i.e., [an outflow of] the essence of His Name—the essence of the light and power as subsumed within Him.<sup>129</sup> The souls of Israel, on the other hand, are rooted in "He"—the quintessence of the Luminary. Therefore, "I am He and no other"<sup>130</sup>; nothing besides the souls of Israel has any place there at all.<sup>131</sup>

THE SOURCE OF CHOICE

This is why G-d chose the souls of Israel,<sup>132</sup> as the verse attests, "He chooses His people Israel,"<sup>133</sup> and as it is written, "He chooses our heritage for us"<sup>134</sup>—for the power of choice comes from the quintessence of G-d,<sup>135</sup> the true source of the souls of Israel.

them." Chasidus (see Torat Chaim, Vayishlach 186a-b; Torat Shmuel 5632 vol. 1 p. 290) explains that the aspect of "and he" refers not only to Jacob as understood literally, but also to G-d. Generally, all the names of G-d found in the Torah are indicative of a certain type of revelation or action. When Moses wished to know G-d's name he was told: "You wish to know My name? I am named according to My deeds!" (Shemot Rabbah 3:6). Thus the appellation "and He," without any descriptive term, refers to G-d as He transcends all names, even Y-H-V-H. It refers to the essence of Or Ein Sof.

126. See Zohar I:7b; II:90b. See also Reishit Chochmah, Shaar Hayirah chap. 1; Seder Hayom, Seder Shacharit shel Shabbat; Sefer Chareidim chap. 6. 127. Divine "names" connote G-dly revelation, or light. The statement "He and His Name are One" is understood to mean that even the most sublime form of G-dly light, the light as it is completely subsumed (as "one") within its source (the Luminary, G-d's Essence), is still not synonymous with G-d's Essence; they remain two distinct entities, albeit unified. Israel, unlike Torah, is rooted not in the light, but in the very Essence of G-d.

128. See *Ramban's* Introduction to his commentary on the Torah; *Zohar* II:87a.

129. Although the Torah is rooted in the level of "names," divine light, it is more specifically rooted the "essence of His Name," i.e., the essence of His light, as it is subsumed within its Source. בְּחִינַת אֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת שֶׁעַל זֶה אוֹמֵר הוּא וּשְׁמוֹ אֶחָד בְּחִינַת הוּא שֶׁלְמַעְלָה גַם מִבְּחִינַת שְׁמוֹ הָעַצְמִי הַכָּלוּל בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ כו׳, וְהַיְינוּ בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת בְּאֵין סוֹף כו׳, וְשָׁם מוּשְׁרַשִׁים נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרַאֵל בְּבָחִינַת הוּא הנ״ל,

וְהוּא לְמַעְלָה מִשׁׁרֶשׁ הַתּוֹרָה דְּשָׁרְשָׁה הוּא בְּבְחִינַת שְׁמוֹ וּכְמַאֲמָר כָּל הַקּוֹרֵא בַתּוֹרָה כְּאָלוּ קוֹרֵא בִּשְׁמוֹתָיו שָׁל הַקָּדוֹש בָּרוּהְ הוּא שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת שֵׁמוֹת כו׳, אֶלָא שֶׁהַתוֹרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת הַשְׁפָּעָה עַצְמִית הַיְינוּ בְּחִינַת עַצְמוּת שְׁמוֹ עֶצֶם הִיא בְּחִינַת הַשְׁפָּעָה עַצְמִית הַיְינוּ בְּחִינַת עַצְמוּת שְׁמוֹ עָצֶם הָאוֹר וְהַכּחַ הַכָּלוּל בְּעַצְמוּת כו׳, אָבָל נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל מוּשְׁרָשִׁים בְּבְחִינַת הוּא הַיִינוּ בְּחִינַת עַצְמוּת הַמָּאוֹר מַמָּש כו׳ דְּלָכֵן אֲנִי הוּא וְלֹּא אַחֵר, דְּשָׁם אֵין לְאַחֵר מָקוֹם כְּלָל כִּי אָם נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל דַּוְקָא כו׳.

ַוְזֶהוּ מַה שֶׁבָּחַר בְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל דַּוְקָא, הַבּוֹחֵר בְּעַמּו יִשְׁרָאֵל, וּכְתִיב יִבְחַר לְנוּ אֶת נַחֲלָתֵינוּ, דְּכֹחַ הַבְּחִירָה הִיא מֵאֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת, דְּשֶׁם הוּא הַשֹׁרֶשׁ הָאֲמִיתִית דְּנַשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל כו׳.

130. Liturgy, Passover Haggadah.

131. Only Israel is rooted in the Essence of G-d.

132. See Sefer Hamaamarim 5703 p. 24; Torat Shalom p. 220.

133. Liturgy, conclusion of the blessing preceding the Shema.

134. Psalms 47:5.

135. FREE CHOICE. The truest definition of choice is *free* choice—a decision that is free from all external pressures and motives; for the very word "choice" indicates that the decision is based solely on the discretion of the "chooser," i.e., there is nothing about the subject(s) of his choice that compels him to choose in a certain

way. If there were such "compelling factors," then his would not be a choice at all-it would essentially be a pre-determined decision, the product of many factors conspiring together to sway his opinion, feelings, etc. Thus, true choice is absolutely pure, free from anything other than the individual's essential ability to express his choice, from the deepest part of his being. The same is true of divine "choice." G-d's choice is rooted in His pure Essence, where there are no other "factors" (so to speak) that play in His decision. And it is in G-d's Essence, the source of His choice, that the "souls of Israel" are chosen-for they too are rooted in His Essence. See Sefer Hamaamarim 5703 ibid.; Likkutei Sichot, vol. 11, p. 5.

### REBUILDING MALCHUT

Hence, on Rosh Hashanah *malchut* is rebuilt<sup>136</sup> by eliciting the will to rule from the quintessence of G-d. For in its source *malchut* is also rooted in the quintessence of G-d, as it says "the One-and-Only King..."<sup>137</sup> *Malchut* is rooted in *Yachid* (One-and-Only), the quintessence of G-d, where everything merges into the Oneness of His Essence as the One-and-Only, for "the Essence bears all."<sup>138</sup>

This is not to say that everything within Him is in a [mere] state of unity, rather that He, the essentially unique One-and-Only, bears everything as [they are, but yet remains] One-and-Only. As is written elsewhere<sup>139</sup> regarding the concept of "He and His Name are One"—"He is His Name, and His Name is He."<sup>140</sup> This is the characteristic of *Yachid*.<sup>141</sup>

## DRAWING FORTH RULERSHIP

Now the souls of Israel awaken G-d's desire to rule, from the state of Essential Being, by reciting the verses of *malchiyot*<sup>142</sup> from scripture. As Talmud explains: "Recite before Me [the verses of] *malchiyot*, proclaiming Me ruler over you."<sup>143</sup> When the souls of Israel recite the scriptural verses, they consequently elicit the will to rule.

(It is necessary to recite scriptural verses because of the superior

136. See footnote 61. G-d's sovereignty (*malchut*) is rebuilt every year on Rosh Hashanah. (See also *Iggeret Hakodesh*, Epistle 14.)

Like in the physical example of coronation, for *malchut* to be renewed there must be an elicitation of G-d's *will* to rule. And since *malchut* is rooted in G-d's Essence, for it to be renewed there must be an elicitation of G-d's will to rule *as it is found in His Essence*. This "essential will to rule" can only be elicited by Israel, since they, too, are rooted in the Essence of G-d.

137. Liturgy, Yishtabach, morning prayer. The word used here, Yachid ("One-and-Only") signifies a level of

essential oneness far higher than the level of oneness signified by the word *Echad* (as in *Hashem Echad*—"G-d is One," in the *Shema*). The difference between *Yachid* (Sole, Only) and *Echad* (One) is the difference between G-d's Oneness as expressed within the multiplicity of creation (His Oneness, *Echad*), and His essential Oneness above multiplicity (His Soleness, *Yachid*).

138. ATZMIUT NOSEH HAKOL, in the Hebrew. "The Essence bears everything"—including completely opposite qualities. This expression is found in several philosophical and mystical works, e.g., *Kuzari*, discourse 4, part 1, para. 31; Maharal's *Be'er* 

#### TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY

וְלָכֵן בְּרֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה שֶׁאָז זְמַן בִּנְיַן הַמַּלְכוּת וְהוּא שֶׁמַּמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הָרָצוֹן לִמְלוּכָה מֵאֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת כו׳ כִּי הַמַּלְכוּת מוּשְׁרָשֶׁת בְּשָׁרְשָׁה גַם כֵּן בְּכָחִינַת מַהוּת וְעַצְמוּת אֵין סוֹף כנ״ל וּכְמַאָמָר מֶלֶד יְחִיד כו׳ דְשׁרֶשׁ הַמַּלְכוּת הוּא בְּכְחִינַת יָחִיד שֶׁהוּא בְחִינַת עַצְמוּת אֵין סוֹף דְשָׁם הַכּּל בְּכְחִינַת אַחְדוּת עַצְמוּתוֹ בִּכְחִינַת יָחִיד מַמָּשׁ דְשָׁם הַכּּל בְּכְחִינַת אַחְדוּת עַצְמוּתוֹ בִּכְחִינַת יְחִיד מַמָּשׁ דְּשָׁם הַכּּל בְּכְחִינַת אַחְדוּת עַצְמוּתוֹ בְּכָחִינַת יָחִיד מַמָּשׁ

אָמְנָם לֹא שָׁכּוּלָם בּוֹ בִּכְחִינַת אַחְדּוּת כִּי אָם הוּא בִּכְחִינַת יָחִיד מַמָּשׁ אֵיינְצִיג בְּעָצֶם, שָׁנּוֹשֵׂא אֶת הַכּּל וְהוּא בִּכְחִינַת יָחִיד מַמָּשׁ כו׳. וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר בְּעִנְיַן הוּא וּשִׁמוֹ אָחַד דְהוּא שׁמוֹ וּשִׁמוֹ הוּא וְזֵהוּ בְּחִינַת יַחִיד כו׳.

וּבִכְדֵי לְהַמְשִׁיךּ בְּחִינַת הַמְּלוּכָה מִבְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת זֶהוּ דַוְקָא עַל יְדֵי נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל שָׁאוֹמְרִים כְּּסוּקֵי מַלְכִיּוֹת בְּתַנַּ״ְד וּכְמַאֲמָר אִמְרוּ לְפָנֵי מַלְכִיּוֹת כְּדֵי שֶׁתַּמְלִיכוּנִי עֲלֵיכֶם שֶׁדַּוְקָא עַל יְדֵי שֶׁנִּשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל אוֹמְרִים הַפְּסוּקִים דְּתַנַּ״ְד הַרֵי הֵם מַמִשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הָרָצוֹן לְמָלוּכָה כו׳.

וּמָה שֵׁצְרִיכִים לוֹמֵר פּסוּקֵי תַנַ״ך וֵהוּ מִצָּד הַמַּעֵלָה שֵׁיָשׁ.

Hagolah, be'er 5; Derech Chaim 5:7. Note that the expression is not, "the Essence contains everything," for this would imply that everything is to be found as a defined identifiable entity within the Essence, which is obviously incorrect. "The Essence bears everything" implies that the existence of every entity is dependent upon the Essence, and that the Essence is unaffected by any changes to the entity, even if it ceases to exist.

139. Rabbi DovBer of Lubavitch, Shaar Hayichud chap. 10.

140. Shnei Luchot Habrit p. 4a.

141. As explained before, "He and His Name are one (echad)" signifies G-d's Oneness within multiplicity—for there is He and there is His Name. "He is His Name, and His Name is He," however, refers to a higher form of Oneness, Yachid— G-d's essential Oneness above multiplicity. Thus, "He is His Name, and His Name is He." See Sefer Hamaamarim 5656 p. 384.

142. Lit., "the verses of rulership." Liturgy, Musaf of Rosh Hashanah.

143. Rosh Hashanah 34b.

61

quality with which the Torah is imbued. For the "Torah and the Holy One are completely One,"<sup>144</sup> even openly.<sup>145</sup> We may say that all light cleaves to and is united with its source; but this is particularly true of Torah.<sup>146</sup> The souls of Israel are similar to a child who, although he is the essence of his father, is an independent existence. For this reason, scriptural verses must be recited. But to actually elicit an outflow, the verses must be read specifically by the Jewish people.

This is akin to the aforementioned concept of "He and His causations:" The power of creation is elicited by the light—the male emission—but the actual creation occurs via *malchut*. The same applies to the Torah and the souls of Israel: All the Jewish people's accomplishments are possible only with the Torah, yet the actual act must be performed by the Jewish soul. Consequently, "Recite before Me [the verses of] *malchiyot*," for what is written in the Torah is insufficient; the Jewish people must *recite* the verses of *malchiyot*, which then elicits G-d's rulership from its source.)

[The Jewish people are able to educe G-d's will to rule] because of their superior root in G-d's Essence. Therefore, the entire descent and outflow of the Torah to this world is specifically for the sake of Israel. The service of the souls of Israel who fulfill the Torah engenders growth, i.e., a revelation of G-d's blessed Essence (*Atzmut*).

# A TASTE OF THE FUTURE

This is the revelation that will occur in the future time,<sup>147</sup> when His Essence will be revealed, as the verse says, "After two days, He will revive us; on the third day, He will raise us up and we will live in His Presence." "Two days" refer to *memalei kol almin* and *sovev kol almin*.<sup>148</sup> But "on the third day, He will raise us up and we will live in

144. Cf. Zohar, I:24a; II:60a; Tikkunei Zohar II (21b). See also Tanya chap. 23; Likkutei Torah, Nitzavim 46a. verse, "The *mitzvah* is a candle and the Torah—light" (Proverbs 6:23).

147. I.e., the Messianic Era. 145. Conversely, the souls of Israel are completely one with the Holy One in a hidden way. 147. I.e., the Messianic Era. 148. MEMALEI - SOVEV. Ma almin is the divine energy

148. MEMALEI - SOVEV. Memalei kol almin is the divine energy invested within the creation, while sovev kol almin is the divine energy that tran-

146. Torah is called "light," as in the

בַּתּוֹרָה דְּאוֹרַיְיתָא וְקוּדְשָׁא בְּרִידְ הוּא כּוֹלָא חַד, שָׁגַם בְּתּוֹרָה דְאוֹרַיְיתָא וְקוּדְשָׁא בְּרִידְ הוּא כּוֹלָא חַד, שָׁגַם בְּגִילוּי הוּא בִּבְחִינַת חַד כו׳. וְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּכָל אוֹר הוּא דָבוּק וּמְיוּחָד בּרְקוֹד בָּמְקוֹרוֹ, וּבִפְרָט בְּאוֹר הַתּוֹרָה כו׳, וְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל הֵם כְּמָשׁרוֹ, וּבִפְרָט בְּאוֹר הַיּוֹתוֹ עַצְמוּת הָאָב מִכּל מָקוֹם יִשְׁרָאֵל הֵם כְּמָשׁרוּ הַבּוּת בְּקַבָי בַיְמָשוֹת הוּא בְבַרִיכִים הְהַיוֹת הוּא בְּמַהוּת בְּאָב מִבָּל מָקוֹם הְהַרֵים הַבְּיוֹת הוּא בְּבַרֵי הוּא בְּבַרָיכִים הוּא בְבָרִיכִים הוּזֹת הוּא בְמָחוֹת הוּא בְמַהוּת בּקּבָי בַּבְירֵי אוֹת מַצַּד זֶה צְרִיכִים הְבֵין הְנָאָמוֹת הוּא בְמַהוּת בְּאָב מְבָּוֹם בוּיוֹתוֹ בַיְיּמוֹת הוּא בְמַהוּת בְּאוֹרָה בַּקוֹם בוּיוֹתוֹ בוּין בוּא בַרָּשָׁר הוּא בְמָהוּת הוּא בְמַהוּת בּקּבָים בּכוּים הוּא בְכַמוּת הוּא בְמַהוּת בּאָב מִין הַיזים בוּים בּתוּתוּים הוּישִׁרָא מוֹרָים הוּא בְרַיכִים בוּין בוּיזה הוּא בְמָהוּת בּפְקוּרוּ בּרָקוּם בּיּאוֹר הוּא בְרַירִים הוּא בְעָרוּים הוּין הַיוּז הוּהוּ בוּין בּעָרָט בוּיוֹת הוּית בוּין הַין הוּא בּמַהוּת הוּין הַין הַין הַיוּין הַיּין הַיּאוֹר הוּיין הוּיוּים הוּיוּים הוּין הַיוּין הוּין הַיּשְׁרָים הַיּרָים הוּיוּין הוּיוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הַין הַיוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הייוּין הוּין הוּין היין הוּין הַיוּין הוּין היין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּין הוּין בּיין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּיין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּיין היין הוּין בוּיין הוּין הוּין הוּיין היין היין הוּין הוּי בוּין היין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּיין הוּיין הוּיין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּין היין היין היין הייין היין הוּיי היין היין היין הוּין הוּין הוּין הוּיין היין הוּיין הוּיין הוּיין הוּיין הייין הייין היין הוּיין היין היין היין הייין היין היין

וְיֵשׁ לוֹמֵר דְּזֶהוּ כְּמוֹ שֶׁנְתְבָּאֵר לְעֵיל בְּעָנְיַן אִיהוּ וְגַרְמוֹהִי שֶׁבְּכְדֵי לְעוֹרֵר כֹּחַ הַהִתְהַוּוּת הוּא עַל יְדֵי הָאוֹר בְּחִינַת הַמְשָׁכַת מַ״ד וְגוּף הַהָתְהַוּוּת הוּא מִבְּחִינַת מַלְכוּת כו׳, כְּמוֹ כֵן הוּא בַּתוֹרָה וְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל, דְּכָל פְּעוּלוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל כְּמוֹ כֵן הוּא בַּתוֹרָה וְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל, דְּכָל פְּעוּלוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל יְדֵי נִשְׁמוֹת יַשְׁרָאֵל, וְלָכֵן אִמְרוּ לְפָנֵי מַלְכִיוֹת דַּוְקָא דְמַה יְדֵי נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל וְלָכֵן אִמְרוּ לְפָנֵי מַלְכִיוֹת דַוְקָא דְמַה שֶׁכָּתוּב בַּתוֹרָה אֵין זֶה מַסְפִּיק כִּי אִם צְרִיכִים שֶׁנִּשְׁמוֹת יִשְׁרָאֵל דַּוְקָא יֹאמְרוּ פְּסוּמֵי מַלְכִיוֹת וְעַל יְדֵי זֶה מַמְשִׁיכִים הַשְׁרָאֵל דַוְקָא יֹאמְרוּ פְסוּמֵי מַלְכִיוֹת וְעַל יְדֵי זֶה מַמְשָׁיכִים בָּחִינַת הַמְּלוּכָה מִשְׁרָשָׁה כו׳).

וְהַיְינוּ מִצֵּד שְׁרְשָׁם הַנַּעֲלֶה בְּבְחִינַת הָעַאֲמוּת כו׳. וְלָכֵן כָּל יְרִידַת וְהַמְשָׁכַת הַתּוֹרָה לְמַשָּׁה הֲרֵי הוּא בִּשְׁבִיל יִשְׂרָאֵל דַּוְקָא, וְעַל יְדֵי הָעֲבוֹדָה דְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּקֵיוּם הַתּוֹרָה עַל יְדֵי זֶה דַוַקַא נַעֵּשֵׂה הַצְמִיחָה לְהִיוֹת גִּילוּי בִּחִינַת עַצְמוּתוֹ יִתִבָּרֵהָ,

וְהוּא הַגִּילוּי דְּלֶעָתִיד שֶׁיּתְגַּלֶּה בְּחִינַת עַצְמוּתוֹ מַמָּשׁ וּכְמוֹ שֶׁבֶּתוּב יְחַיֵינוּ מִיוֹמָיִם בַּיוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי יְקִימֵנוּ וְנִחְיֶה לְפַנֵיו, דִיוֹמַיִם הֵם בְּחִינוֹת מִמַלֵּא כָּל עָלָמִין וְסוֹבֵב כָּל עָלָמִין

scends creation. Sovev kol almin acts in a remote, imperative, unidirectional manner (i.e., from above to below, but not vice versa). An analogy: Sunlight shines into a room and illuminates it. However, the room itself is not changed thereby, since the light emanates from a source outside of the room; it is not the room itself that lights up. Even when the light illuminates the room, the walls of the room do not actually absorb the light. The light is merely there as light—an illumination from the luminary—but does not become part of that which it illuminates. Similarly, the energy of *sovev* is of an infinite order that cannot be confined within limited crea-

63

His Presence"—in His literal Presence, the innermost and essential facet of *Ein Sof*.<sup>149</sup>

Regarding this we say, "There is none like You, our deliverer—in the era of the Resurrection of the Dead."<sup>150</sup> The revelation in that era will be characterized by "who can be compared to You,"<sup>151</sup> i.e., Atzmut that transcends even the light still subsumed within His essence.

FOUR LEVELS OF YOU

As explained elsewhere,<sup>152</sup> the four stanzas extolling G-d [in the prayer] "There is none comparable to You…"<sup>153</sup> correspond to four levels of light of the *Ein Sof*:

"There is none comparable to You" refers to the G-dly light as it becomes a source for the worlds, yet remains so distant that there is no correlation;

"None apart from You" refers to the light and revelation of the Ein Soflight as it cleaves to its source;

"There is nothing without You" refers to the light within its source; and

"There is none like You" refers to Atzmut which transcends even the light still within Himself; this level will be revealed at the time of the Resurrection of the Dead.

All this is achieved by the service of the souls of Israel fulfilling Torah and *mitzvot* in the present. This gives birth to "a true likeness of G-d"—the essential light, surpassing even the light of Torah that is not truly *Atzmut*, as explained earlier.

*Memalei kol almin*, unlike *sovev*, is immanent divine energy. It permeates all of creation and is mutually interactive and inter-responsive with the subject that it enlivens. By way of analogy, the life-force from the soul is clothed within the body in a way that changes the body fundamentally. It is not simply life-force which enlivens the body; it is the life force of the body, that which transforms a dead corpse into a live body. (See Sefer Hamaamarim 5703, ibid.)

149. Rabbi Shalom DovBer explained earlier that due to Israel's

tures. It is said, therefore, that this light "encompasses" them in a pervasive and transcending form. This is why it is called *makif* (encompassing); it is "there," but remains remote from the object it illuminates. (See *Tanya* chap. 48; *Sefer Hamaamarim 5703*, p. 31.)

עַד רֵישׁ כָּל דַּרְגִין, וּבַיוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי יְקִימֵנוּ וְנִחְיֶה לְפָנָיוּ, לְפָנָיו מַמָּשׁ בִּבְחִינַת פּנִימִית וִעַצְמוּת אֵין סוֹף כו׳.

וְעַל זֶה אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים וְאֵין דּוֹמֶה לְּדָ מוֹשִׁיעֵנוּ לְתְחַיַּת הַמֵּתִים, דְּכְהַגִּילוּי דְּתְחַיַת הַמֵּתִים יְהֵא בְּחִינַת וּמִי דּוֹמֶה לְדָ דְּהַיְינוּ בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ שֶׁלְמַעְלָה גַם מֵהָאוֹר הַכָּלוּל בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ כו׳.

וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר בְּעִנְיַן הַד׳ שְׁבָחִים דְּאֵין עַרוֹדְ לָדְּ כו׳ שֵׁהֵם נֵגֵד ד׳ מַדְרֵיגוֹת שֵׁיֵשׁ בָּאוֹר אֵין סוֹף,

ַדְאֵין עֲרוֹדְ הוּא בִּבְחִינַת הָאוֹר הָאֱלֹקִי שֶׁנַּעֲשֶׂה מָקוֹר לְעוֹלַמוֹת שֵׁהוּא בִּבְחִינַת רִיחוּק הָעֵרֵדְ כו׳,

ַוְאֵין זוּלָתֶךּ הוּא בִּכְחִינַת הָאוֹר וְהַגִּילוּי דְאוֹר אֵין סוֹף שֶׁדָבוּק בִּמְקוֹרוֹ כו׳,

אָפָס בִּלְהֵך הוּא בִּחִינַת הַאוֹר כִּמוֹ שֵׁכֵּלוּל בִעַצְמוּתוֹ,

וּמִי דּוֹמֶה לָּדְ הוּא בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת מַמְּשׁ שֶׁלְמַעְלָה גַם מִבְּחִינַת הָאוֹר הַכְּלוּל בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ כו׳ שֶׁזֶּה יִתְגַּלֶה לֶעָתִיד בְּתָחַיַת הַמֵּתִים.

וְכָל זֶה נַעֲשָּׁה עַל יְדֵי הָעֲבוֹדָה דְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל עַכְשָׁיו בְּקִיוּם הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת, שֶׁעַל יְדֵי זֶה דַוְקָא נַעֲשָּׁה הַהוֹלָדָה בְּקִיוּם הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת, שֶׁעַל יְדֵי זֶה דַוְקָא נַעֲשָּׁה הַהוֹלָדָה בְּקִיוּם לוֹ מַמָּשׁ בְּחִינַת הָאוֹר הָעַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ כו׳ כנ״ל. מַהָאוֹר דְתוֹרָה שֶׁאֵינוֹ בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ כו׳ כנ״ל.

150. Liturgy, Shabbat morning.

151. Liturgy, second blessing of the Amidah, concluding with the words "...who revives the dead."

152. See Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah 5666, pp. 300, 375, 444; B'shaah Shehikdimu 5672 pp. 781, 1387, 1442.

153. Liturgy, Shabbat morning.

lofty source in the Essence of G-d, they are able to elicit the divine will to rule that is rooted in His Essence (every Rosh Hashanah). Now he takes this point a step further: Since they stem from such a lofty source, through their divine service they can reveal *G-d Himself*—His very *Essence* (and not just His *will to rule*, as found in His Essence). This manifestation, however, will only occur in the Messianic Era.

Sowing Torah and *mitzvot* into the souls of Israel, who fulfill Torah and *mitzvot*, produces growth and birth in G-d's true likeness. This [growth and birth] is the revelation of the quintessential *Ein Sof* light that will shine forth at the Resurrection of the Dead, as described above. And this is due to the fundamental, Torahtranscending power of the Jewish soul.

## BEYOND TWO DAYS

[The *maamar* returns to the initial quote at the beginning of the *maamar*:]

"After two days, He will revive us" refers to the Torah, which is called "days," as explained in *Torah Or*, *Vayehi Miketz*, discourse two. As the verse indicates, "I [the Torah] was His delight day by day,"<sup>154</sup> signifying *keter* and *chochmah—sovev kol almin* and *memalei kol almin* respectively.

This then, is the meaning of, "He will revive us after two days."<sup>155</sup> For the Torah is life [as in the verses], "She is a tree of life for those who hold on to her;"<sup>156</sup> "The Torah of life;"<sup>157</sup> "For they [the Torah and *mitzvot*] are our life."<sup>158</sup> *Mitzvot* are also called "days," as [in the verse] "Those to be formed in future days."<sup>159</sup>

However, "On the third day He will raise us up" refers to the souls of Israel. "He will *raise* us up" is to be understood in the sense of "Arise!"<sup>160</sup>—meaning, that one should reach the root and source of the soul, as explained in *Likkutei Torah*, discourse entitled *Yona*-*ti*,<sup>161</sup> and thereby genuinely "live in His Presence."

This revelation is elicited through *teshuvah*—i.e., divine service that reaches the root and source of the soul.<sup>162</sup>

155. The Hebrew words yechayenu miyomayim ("He will revive us after two days") can also be interpreted to mean, "He will grant us life from two days," i.e., from Torah, which is called "days." Thus, the verse is understood to mean that from Torah ("days") comes "life," a certain spiritual energy or light, since Torah is rooted in the *Ein Sof* light. 156. Proverbs 3:18.

157. Liturgy, concluding blessing of the Amidah.

158. Liturgy, evening prayer.

159. Psalms 139:16. See *Torah Or*, 75d and references ad loc. The fact that *mitzvot* are also called "days" indicates that from them, too, stem "life," spiritual light, due to its lofty source.

<sup>154.</sup> Proverbs 8:30.

וְעַל יְדֵי זְרִיעַת הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת בְּנָשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הַמְקַיְימִים הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת נַעֲשָׁה הַצְּמִיחָה וְהַהוֹלָדָה בְּמְקַיְימִים הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת נַעֲשָׁה הַצְמָיּחָה וְמָהוּת בְּדוֹמֶה לוֹ מַמָּשׁ שֶׁהוּא הִתְגַלוּת אֵין סוֹף עַצְמוּת וּמַהוּת שִׁיִּתְגַלֶה בְּתָחִיַּת הַמֵּתִים כו׳ כנ״ל בְּעִנְיַן וְנָחְיֶה לְפָנָיו שֶׁהוּא שִׁיִתְגַלֶה בְּתָחיַת הַמֵּתִים כו׳ כנ״ל בְעַנְיַן וְנָחְיֶה לְפָנָיו שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת כו׳, וְזֶהוּ מְצַד כֹּחַ עַצְמָה דְּנָשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁלָמַעְלָה מְתוֹרָה,

דְּמַה שֶׁפֶּתוּב יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיוֹמָיִם קָאֵי עַל הַתּוֹרָה שֶׁנְקְרָא יָמִים כְּמוֹ שֶׁפֶּתוּב בְּתוֹרָה אוֹר דְּרוּשׁ הַמַּתְחִיל וַיְהִי מִקֵּץ דְרוּשׁ הַב׳, וּכְמוֹ שֶׁפֶּתוּב וָאֶהְיֶה אָצְלוֹ שֵׁעֲשׁוּעִים יוֹם יוֹם, וְהוּא בְּחִיגוֹת כַּתַר וִחָכָמָה בְּחִינַת סוֹבֵב כָּל עָלְמִין וּמִמַלֵּא כָּל עָלְמִין כו׳.

וְעַל זֶה אוֹמֵר יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיוֹמָיִים שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּחִינַת חַיִּים עֵץ הַחַיִּים הִיא לַמַּחֲזִיקִים בְּה כו׳ תּוֹרַת חַיִּים, כִּי הֵם חַיֵּינוּ כו׳ וְגַם מִצְוֹת נִקְרְאוּ יָמִים כְּיָדוּעַ בְּעִנְיַן יָמִים יוּצָרוּ כו׳.

אָמְנָם בּּיוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי יְקַיֵמנוּ הוּא בְּחִינַת נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל, דְּזֶהוּ יְקִימֵנוּ עַל דֶּרֶךְ קוּמִי לֶךְ דִמְבוֹאָר בְּלִקוּטֵי תוֹרָה דְּרוּשׁ הַמַּתְחִיל יוֹנָתִי דְהַיְינוּ שֶׁיַגִּיעַ לְשׁרֶשׁ וּמְקוֹר הַנְשָׁמָה כר, ועַל יִדֵי זֵה וַנָּחִיֵה לָפָנָיו מַמָּשׁ כר׳.

ְוְהַמְשֶׁכַת גִּילּוּי זֶה הוּא עַל יְדֵי תְשׁוּבָה שֶׁהוּא הָעֲבוֹדָה בְּבְחִינַת שֹׁרֶשׁ וּמְקוֹר הַנְּשָׁמָה כו׳ כְּיָדוּעַ.

Every soul consists of two parts, only one of which enters the body. The other, higher part is the celestial source of the soul which remains Above, merely "encompassing" the

whether we have a state of the second

body. That "higher" part of the soul is referred to as the "essence of the soul," and remains forever united with the Essence of G-d. The idea of *kumi lach* is to connect the soul that is *within* the body with its *essence*, which automatically connects it with the divine Essence.

162. This, then, is the meaning of *ye-chayenu miyomayim*: "Two days" refers to Torah and *mitzvot*, which are able to sustain the soul. However, it is only "on the third day," through *te*-

<sup>160.</sup> *Kumi lach*, Song of Songs 2:13. Lit., "Arise, you." See following footnote.

<sup>161.</sup> Shir Hashirim 16c ff. Rabbi Schneur Zalman explains the words kumi lach ("Arise, you") as "arise to yourself," i.e., to the celestial source of your soul.

# RETURN AND ACCEPTANCE

Moreover, by fulfilling Torah and *mitzvot*, the souls of Israel, conceivably, also elicit G-d's Essence. This would be due to the supreme and lofty level of a soul fulfilling the Torah and *mitzvot*<sup>163</sup> with *kabbalat ol*<sup>164</sup>—only because it was so commanded. This sort of divine service, by which the essence of the soul overrides reason and intellect, elicits the Essence of G-d.

This, then, is the idea of the "extra measure of *binah* bestowed upon woman." Openly, within *hishtalshelut, Knesset Yisrael*—the Jewish people as a whole—is called "bride" when she receives the Torah; and the Torah, the seminal drop of *abba* given to the Jewish people, stands above her.<sup>165</sup> However, she [also] possesses an extra measure of *binah*, allowing her to elicit G-d's Essence. That is why a birth in His likeness<sup>166</sup> comes about only through the souls of Israel.

# HEAD OF THE YEAR

In general, the phrase "He will revive us after two days" refers to the two days of Rosh Hashanah,<sup>167</sup> corresponding to *keter* and *chochmah*. Therefore, they are both called "*rosh*"—the head, as stated in *Pri Eitz Chayim*,<sup>168</sup> *Shaar Rosh Hashanah*.<sup>169</sup>

shuvah (repentance), that "we will live in His Presence"—actually eliciting G-d's Essence. This is because *te*shuvah elicits Israel's quintessential bond with G-d Himself that exists irrespective of one's fulfillment of Torah and *mitzvot*, the bond of the essence of the soul with the divine Essence.

163. Since teshuvah transcends Torah and mitzvot—for if not, it would not atone for transgression of Torah and mitzvot—it is able to elicit a revelation from the Essence of G-d. However, regular service of Torah and mitzvot would not seem to have the ability to elicit a revelation of Essence within the soul, given that the source of the Torah is lower than the root of the soul, as explained in the *maamar*. Nevertheless, the *maamar* explains, when one fulfills Torah and *mitzvot* in a manner of *kabbalat ol* he does indeed elicit a revelation of Essence. This is because *kabbalat ol* implies that the person nullifies himself absolutely to G-d's will, beyond the dictates of logic and reason—a type of divine service that is capable only when one taps into the suprarational capacity inherent in the essence of his soul.

164. Lit., accepting upon oneself the yoke of Heaven.

165. Much like z'a stands above malchut in seder hishtalshelut, as explained at length earlier. וְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר שֶׁפְּמוֹ כֵן עַל יְדֵי הָעֲבוֹדָה דְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּקִיּוּם הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת מַמְשִׁיכִים גַּם כֵּן בְּחִינָה זוֹ מִצֵּד מַעֲלַת וּמִדְרֵיגַת הַנְּשָׁמָה הַמְקַיֵּים הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת כו׳, וְהַיְינוּ בְּקִיוּם הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת בִּרְחִינַת קַבָּלַת עוֹל מַלְכוּת שְׁמַיִם שֶׁזֶּה שִׁיָידְ הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת בִּרְחִינַת עָצֶם הַנְּשָׁמָה שׁלְמַעְלָה מִטַּעַם וְדַעַת כו׳. לְהָעֲבוֹדָה בְּרְחִינַת עָצֶם הַנְּשָׁמָה שֶׁלְמַעְלָה מִטַעַם וְדַעַת כו׳. וְהַיִינוּ רַק מִצֵּד שֶׁנִּצְטַוָּוּה לַעֲשׁוֹת כו׳ כְּיָדוּעַ וּמְבוֹאָר בְּכַמָּה מְמָוֹמוֹת. וְעַל יְדֵי זֶה מַמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת כו׳

שׁזֶּהוּ עִנְיֵן הַבִּינָה יְתֵירָה שֶׁנִיּתְּנָה כָאִשָׁה בְּחִינַת כְּנָסֶת יִשְׁרָאֵל שֶׁנִקְרַאת כַּלָּה שֶׁמְקַבְּלִים מֵהַתּוֹרָה, וְהַיְינוּ כְּמוֹ שָׁהֵם בּּכְחִינַת גִּילּוּי בְּסֵדֶר הִשְׁתַּלְשְׁלוּת שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה לְמַעְלָה מִיִשְׁרָאֵל שָׁהִיא בְּחִינַת טִפַּת אַבָּא שֶׁנִמְשָׁך בְּישְׁרָאַל כו׳, וְנִשְׁמוֹת יַשְׁרָאֵל הֵם בְּחִינַת מְקַבְּלִים כו׳. אָמְנָם יֵשׁ בָּהֶם בִּינָה יְתֵירָה שַׁמַמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת כו׳, וְזֶהוּ שֶׁעַל יָדָם דַוְקָא הַהוֹלָדָה לְהִיוֹת בִּדוֹמֶה לוֹ מַמָשׁ כו׳.

וּבְדֶרֶךְ כְּלָל מַה שֶׁכָּתוּב יְחַיֵּינוּ מִיּוֹמָיִם קָאֵי עַל ב׳ יָמִים דְּרֹאשׁ הַשְׁנָה, וּכְיָדוּעַ דְּב׳ יָמִים דְּרֹאשׁ הַשְׁנָה הֵם בְּחִינוֹת כֶּתֶר וְחָכְמָה דְּלָכֵן נִקְרְאוּ שְׁנֵיהֶם רֹאשׁ כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בִּפְרִי עֵץ חַיִּים שַׁעַר רֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה.

166. I.e., revelation of His Essence.

167. Rabbi Shalom DovBer now explains how the literal meaning of the verse *yechayenu miyomayim*, as referring to Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur, can also be understood within the aforementioned paradigm. For just as we explained that "after two days..." refers to Torah and *mitzvot*, which are connected to *memalei kol almin* and *sovev kol almin*, while "on the third day..." refers to the souls of Israel, who are connected with G-d's Essence, the same is true of Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur: On Rosh

and a second state of the state state of the state

Hashanah the divine light of *memalei* and *sovev* are manifest, while on Yom Kippur G-d's Essence is manifest, as will be detailed shortly.

168. A compilation of the Arizal's mystical rituals pertaining to prayer, recorded by Rabbi Chaim Vital and arranged in the present order by the Kabbalist Rabbi Meir Popporos (1624 – 1662).

169. Keter is the "head" that encompasses Atzilut, and chochmah is the first, or "head," of the sefirot. Keter, however, is an or makif (a transcen-

For this reason, on Rosh Hashanah we recite the verse, "For it is a decree for Israel, a ruling for the G-d of Jacob."<sup>170</sup> "A decree" refers to the Torah, through which the transcendent light of *sovev kol almin* flows into 'Israel.' "A ruling for the G-d of Jacob" refers to the *mitzvot*, which draw out light into 'Jacob.'<sup>171</sup> This also explains why the term "two days" signifies this world and the Garden of Eden, since they also correspond to the immanent light of *memalei kol almin* and the transcendent light of *sovev kol almin*.

# AWAKENING AND REVELATION

Now although it was explained above that on Rosh Hashanah the will to rule is awakened within its source in the Essence<sup>172</sup>—this is in fact so—nevertheless, on Rosh Hashanah the will is merely awakened. Not until Yom Kippur, Sukkot and Shemini Atzeret, is the will actually revealed.

(True, it may be objected that on Yom Kippur [there is no manifestation but rather] it [the will] *ascends* into *Atzmut*; for it is known that at *Neilah* [the close of Yom Kippur], *malchut* ascends to its root in *radl'a*, and from there to its ultimate source in the quintessence of G-d. Only [afterwards is there an outward, downward motion:] on Sukkot it is elicited in a peripheral manner (*makif*), and on Shemini Atzeret it flows as an internalized revelation (*bip'nimiyut*). Nonetheless, one still must say that there is an outflow even on Yom Kippur, as our Sages teach, "From Rosh Hashanah until Yom Kippur, pardon is suspended,"<sup>173</sup> at which time atonement is actually granted.<sup>174</sup>

dental, encompassing light—sovev), while chochmah is an or pnimi (a limited, immanent light—memalei). See footnote 39.

170. Psalms 81:5; recited in the Musaf Amidah.

171. After his struggle with the angel, our patriarch Jacob was given an additional name, "Israel" (see Genesis 32:28). Each of these names represents a different mode of divine service. 'Jacob' represents the idea of a constant struggle with evil, using guile and cunning in order to prevent evil from vanquishing him, as in the verse, "Is it because he was named Jacob that he outwitted me these two times?" (Genesis 27:36). 'Israel,' however, represents the victory of good over evil—the complete eradication of evil. "No longer will it be said that your name is Jacob, but Israel, for you have striven with the divine and with man and you have *overcome*" (Genesis 32:29). More pro-

#### TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY

וְזֶהוּ שֶׁאוֹמְרִים בְּרֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה כִּי חוֹק לְיִשְׁרָאֵל הוּא מִשְׁפָּט לַאלֹפֵי יַעֲקֹב, דְּכִי חוֹק הוּא תוֹרָה שֶׁבָּה וְעַל יָדָה נִמְשָׁבָּ הַמְשָׁכַת אוֹר הַסּוֹבֵב כָּל עָלְמִין בְּיִשְׁרָאֵל, וּמִשְׁפָּט לֵאלֹפֵי יַעֲקֹב הוּא בְּחִינַת הַמִּצְווֹת שֶׁעַל יָדָם נִמְשָׁך הָאוֹר בְּרָחִינַת יַעֲקֹב כו׳. וְזֶהוּ גַם כֵּן יוֹמַיִים שֶׁהוּא עוֹלָם הַזֶּה וְגַן עֵדֶן שֶׁהֵם גַם כַּן בְּחִינוֹת מְמַלֵּא כָּל עָלְמִין וְסוֹבֵב כָּל עָלְמִין כו׳.

ַוְהָגַם שֶׁנְּתְבָּאֵר לְעֵיל דְּבְראשׁ הַשָּׁנָה הוּא הִתְעוֹרְרוּת הָרָצוֹן לְמְלוּכָה בְּשָׁרְשׁוֹ הָרִאשׁוֹן בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ כו׳, הָאֱמֶת כֵּן הוּא, אֶלָא שֶׁזֶהוּ בְּחִינַת הִתְעוֹרְרוּת לְבָד, אֲבָל אֵינוֹ נִמְשֶׁךְ בְּגִילוּי עֲדַיִין עַד יוֹם הַכּפּוּרִים וְחֵג הַסּוּכּוֹת וּשְׁמִינִי עֵצֵרֶת כו׳.

עליה לומר דביום הכפורים הוא בבחינת (ריש שמתעלים בְּבְחִינֵת הַעַצמוּת, וּכִיַדוּעַ בּעְנֵין הַעֵלְיָה דְנָעִילַה שהַמַּלכוּת עוֹלָה בשָׁרְשָׁה בְּרָדָל״א עַד שרשה שרשה הַראשון בִּבְחִינֵת עַצָמוּת אֵין סוף כו׳, וּבְסוּכּוֹת נִמְשֵׁך בְּבְחִינֵת מֵקֵיף, וְאַחַר כֵּךְ בַּשְׁמִינִי אֵצֶרֵת נְמִשֶׁךְ בְּבָחִינַת גִּילוּי לומר שַנָּם בִּיוֹם כו׳. וּמכּל צריך מקום בפנימיות הַכְּפּוּרִים יֵשׁ הַמְשֶׁכָה וּכִמַאֲמֵר רַבּוֹתֵינוּ זְכִרוֹנָם לְבִרָכָה הסליחה מופקדת אצלף מראש השנה עד יום הכפורים, וביום הַכּפּוּרִים הָרֵי נִמִשְׁךְ הַסְּלִיחָה כו׳.

172. The question being posed by Rabbi Shalom DovBer is thus: How can we say that only *keter* and *chochmah* (*memalei* and *sovev*) are manifest on Rosh Hashanah, and not Atzmut, if we explained before that on Rosh Hashanah Israel awakens G-d's will to rule as found in His Essence? To this he answers that although this will is awakened, it still is not revealed until Yom Kippur, etc.

173. Cf. Midrash Tehillim 130.

174. The fact that atonement is granted on Yom Kippur indicates that there is indeed an outflow then.

71

foundly, Jacob represents a lower rung of spiritual achievement, where G-dly revelation is limited by the person's ability to receive it (*memalei kol almin*). The name Israel, however, represents a far higher level of spiritual achievement, where G-d reveals himself in an unlimited manner (*sovev kol almin*).

Perhaps this is comparable to the manna which did not fall on Shabbat, a time of ascent. Still, the spiritual source of manna was elicited primarily on Shabbat, and "all the days are blessed from it."<sup>175</sup> Similarly, the primary elicitation [of the *ratzon*] on High occurs on Yom Kippur—just that it is revealed below [first] on Sukkot in a peripheral manner, and then on Shemini Atzeret when it flows as an internalized revelation.)<sup>176</sup>

On Rosh Hashanah, [however,] the manifestation is [merely] of *keter* and *chochmah*.

## BEYOND EATING AND DRINKING

"On the third day He will raise us up and we will live in His Presence" refers to Yom Kippur—Yom Kippur being the level of *atik* and the level of *radl'a*.<sup>177</sup> For this reason, there is no eating or drinking on Yom Kippur, just as [it will be] in the future time, when we will not receive our energy from eating and drinking.<sup>178</sup> Rather, "We will live in His presence" —life will be derived from the inherent life of *Ein Sof.* Yom Kippur is of similar stature.

# TORAH AS WE KNOW IT AND ESSENTIAL TORAH

Conceivably, in the future time the effluence of Essence will be expressed also in Torah and *mitzvot*—it is just that the Torah and *mitzvot* of that time will transcend the Torah and *mitzvot* of today.<sup>179</sup> This flow, however, will still come about only through the divine service of the souls of Israel.

To explain: There are different categories: "G-d's Torah"180 and

175. Zohar I:63b; 88a.

final stage itself is divided into two distinct stages, as the discourse details.)

177. As, explained before, the manifestation of Rosh Hashanah is of chochmah and keter (or more specifically, arich), which correspond to memalei kol almin and sovev kol almin. On Yom Kippur, conversely, the manifestation is of G-d Himself—beyond memalei and sovev. The maamar

<sup>176.</sup> Accordingly there are three stages in the revelation of the divine will: Rosh Hashanah, when the divine will is *awakened* in its source, *Atzmut*, Yom Kippur, when the will is *elicited* Above—i.e., the will flows out from its source, yet remains above, in the spiritual realm; Sukkot and Shemini Atzeret, when the will is finally *manifest below*, in our reality. (And this

ַוְיֵשׁ לוֹמַר בְּדֶרֶךְ אֶפְשָׁר עַל דֶרֶדְ דּוּגְמָא כְּמוֹ בְּשַׁבָּת שֶׁלֹּא יָרַד הַמָּן מִפְּנֵי שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת עֲלִיָה כו׳, וּמִכָּל מָקוֹם הַרֵי עִיקַר הַמְשָׁכָתָן לְמַעְלָה הוּא בְּשַׁבָּת דַּוְקָא וּמִינֵיה מִתְבָּרְכִין כּוּלְהוּ יוֹמִין, וְעַל דֶרֶךְ זֶה דְעִיקַר הַהַמְשָׁכָה לְמַעְלָה בְּיוֹם הַכִּפּוּרִים אֶלָא שֶׁהַגִּילוּי לְמַשָּה הוּא בְּסוּכּוֹת בְּכִחִינַת מַקִיף וּבַשָׁמִינִי עֵצֶרֶת בְּפָנִימִיוּת כו׳)

וּמַה שֶׁמֵאִיר בְּגִילּוּי בְּרֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה הוּא בְּחִינוֹת כֶּתֶר וְחָכְמָה כו׳

וּבַּיּוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי יְקִימֵנוּ וְנִחְיֶה לְפָנָיו הוּא בְּיוֹם הַכְּפּוּרִים דְּיוֹם הַכְּפּוּרִים הוּא בְּחִינַת עַתִּיק בְּחִינַת רַדְלָ״א, וְלָכֵן יוֹם הַכִּפּוּרִים אוּן בּוֹ אֲכִילָה וּשְׁתִיָה בְּדוּגְמָא דְלֶעָתִיד שֶׁלֹא יַכְּבָּוֹו חֵיוּתָם מֵאֲכִילָה וּשְׁתִיָה כִּי אָם וְנִחְיֶה לְפָנָיו שֵׁיהִיוּ חַיִּים בְּבְחִינַת הַחֵיוּת הָעַצְמִי דְאֵין סוֹף, בְּדוּגְמָא כָזֹאת הוּא בִיוֹם הַכִּפּוּרִים גַּם כֵּן כו׳

ַוְאֶפְּשֶׁר יֵשׁ לוֹמַר דְּכְחִינַת הַמְשָׁכַת הָעַצְמוּת שֵׁיּהְיֶה לֶעָתִיד יִהְיֶה גַם כֵּן בַּתוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת אֶלָּא שֶׁהוּא לְמַעְלָה מְתוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת דְעַכְשָׁיו רַק שֶׁנָּשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל מַמְשִׁיכִים זֶה עַל יְדֵי עַבוֹדַה כו׳.

וְהָעִנְיָן דְּהָגֵּה יָדוּעַ שֶׁיֵשׁ תּוֹרַת ה׳ וְתוֹרָתוֹ, וְכֵן

178. See Berachot 17a.

179. Though we explained before that Torah and *mitzvot* stem from Or *Ein Sof* that is subsumed within G-d's

and the provide a process way to be an experimental process of the second state of the

Essence, and not His very Essence, still, due to the revelation of Essence in the Messianic Era, Torah and *mitzvot* will also be affected, and there will be a manifestation of a higher level of Torah and *mitzvot*—as they are found in the divine Essence. Nonetheless, this too derives solely from Israel's divine service, since they are essentially rooted in *Atzmut*.

180. Psalms 1:2.

speaks of Yom Kippur as being the level of *atik* and the level of *radl'a*, since both *atik* and *radl'a* are likewise beyond *memalei* and *sovev* (though they certainly do not represent G-d's Essence).

"His Torah,"<sup>181</sup> and with respect to *mitzvot*, "G-d's *mitzvot*"<sup>182</sup> and "His *mitzvot*."<sup>183</sup> Now "G-d's Torah" and "G-d's *mitzvot*" refer to Torah and *mitzvot* as they have been elicited and revealed.<sup>184</sup> Although they are superior to the world in quality and in station since they express the underlying, inner dimension, nevertheless, they are still not on the level of Essence.

"His Torah" and "His *mitzvot*," on the other hand, refer to the Torah and *mitzvot* within His Essence, higher than any outflow or revelation. As the verse declares: "Man never knew her worth; only *Elokim* understood her way."<sup>185</sup> *Elokim* here signifies the essential concealment of *Ein Sof*.<sup>186</sup> *Likkutei Torah*, in the explanation to the verse *Ki ka'aretz totzi*, states that *Elokim* refers to *atik*,<sup>187</sup> which alone "understood her way." In other words, "by knowing His own Essence He knows the Torah."<sup>188</sup>

## ONCE AND FUTURE TORAH

This aspect of Torah will be revealed in the future time, as it states, "A new Torah will come forth from Me."<sup>189</sup> This means that a new

181. Ibid. The verse in full reads: "His desire is in G-d's Torah, and in His Torah he meditates day and night." remain within His Essence— beyond revelation.

185. Job 28:23, referring to the To-rah.

182. Leviticus 4:2, 13, 22.

183. Deuteronomy 5:10; 7:9; 8:2; 27:10.

184. The expression "G-d's Torah" and "G-d's mitzvot" (Torat Havaya and mitzvot Havaya) refers to Torah and mitzvot as they have descended into the four letters of the Tetragramaton (the name Havaya)—yud (indicating tzimtzum), hey (spreading out after the previous tzimtzum), vav (descending to lower levels), hey (spreading out into worldly existence) —which connotes revelation. However, "His Torah" and "His mitzvot" indicate that the Torah and mitzvot

186. HE'ELEM HA'ATZMI D'EIN SOF. The name Elokim generally refers to the concealment of G-dliness within creation and nature (thus the numerical value of *Elokim* is equal to hateva, nature). This name is the source of plurality (as it is the only name of G-d with an "-im" suffix, indicating the plural form) and limited existence. Thus Elokim signifies the aspect of constriction and severitymiddat hadin (see Bereishit Rabbah 33:3: 35:3)-so that G-dliness is invisible because it is covered with physical creation. Here, however, the name *Elokim* represents a level which cannot be revealed because it is far בַּמִּצְוֹת מִצְוַת ה׳ וּמִצְוֹתָו. וְהְנֵה תּוֹרֵת ה׳ וּמִצְוַת ה׳ הַיְינוּ הַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת כְּמוֹ שֶׁהֵם בְּרָחִינַת הַמְשָׁרָה וְגִילוּי שֶׁאֵינָם בְּחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת עם הֵיוֹת שֶׁקָרְמוּ לְמַעֲלַת וּמַדְרֵיגַת הָעוֹלָם כְּחִינַת בְּרָחִינַת הַפְּנִימִיוּת כו׳ מִכָּל מְקוֹם אֵינָם בִּרְחִינַת הַעַצְמִיוּת מַמֵּשׁ כו׳.

אָכָל עָנְיַן תּוֹרָתוֹ וּמִצְוָתוֹ הוּא כְּמוֹ שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה וּמִצְוֹת הֵם בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ שֶׁלְמַעְלָה מִבְחִינַת הַמְשָׁכָה וְגִילוּי הֵם בְּבְחִינַת עַצְמוּת מַמָּשׁ שֶׁלְמַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת הַמְשָׁכָה וְגִילוּי כו׳, וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב לֹא יָדַע אֲנוֹש עֶרְכָּה רַק אֱלֹקִים הַבִין דַרְכָּה כו׳, וַאלֹקִים הוּא בְּחִינַת הֶעְלֵם הָעַצְמִי דְאֵין סוֹף וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּלִקוּטֵי תוֹרָה בְּהַבֵּיאוּר דְכִי כָאָרֶץ תּוֹצִיא נְמְחָה דַּאלֹקִים הוּא בְּחִינַת עַתִּיק וְהוּא לְכַדּוֹ הַבִין דַּרְכָה, וְהַיִנוּ מַה שֶׁבִּיִדִעַת עַצְמוּתוֹ יוֹדַעַ אֶת הַתּוֹרָה כו׳.

וּבְחִינָה זוֹ דְתוֹרָה יִתְגַּלֵה לֵעָתִיד לָבֹא כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב תוֹרָה

too elevated to be in the category of revelation. This is known in Chasidut as *he'elem ha'atzmi d'Ein Sof*—the essential concealment of the *Ein Sof*.

187. See footnote 177.

188. Beyediat Atzmuto yodea et ha-Torah, in the Hebrew. Tanya chap. 4 reads: "The Torah, which is the wisdom and will of the Holy One, blessed be He, and His glorious Essence are one, since He is both the Knower and the Knowledge... as explained above in the name of Maimonides." (Hilchot Yesodei Hatorah 2:10.) Hence, by knowing Himself, He knows the Torah. Other sources state, "By knowing Himself, He knows everything" (Maharal, Derech Chaim 2:1, Akeidat Yitzchak, Shaar 21).

The point is that since this level of

construction and the second

Torah and *mitzvot* is found within His Essence, it can only be understood by G-d Himself.

189. Cf. Isaiah 51:4. The verse actually states, "Torah will come forth from Me." Vavikra Rabbah 13:3 comments: "A new Torah will come forth from Me-a new insight into Torah will come forth from Me." This refers to the revelation of the level of atik, which has never completely been revealed (Pri Eitz Chaim, Shaar Hakriat Shema, ch. 15. See also R. DovBer of Lubavitch, Shaar HaEmunah 89a ff; Shaar Hatefillah 56d and references there). I.e., a level of Torah currently only "with Me" (with G-d) will be revealed in the future time. Accordingly, it may be regarded as a "new" Torah.

See Sefer Hasichot 5751 p. 566 ff, where this subject is discussed at length.

insight into Torah will come forth from *Me*. That will be the revelation of Torah's level within His Essence.

This is effected by the divine service of the souls of Israel, who [in fact] elicit the pristine 'Atzmut level' of Torah and mitzvot. This is the concept of giving birth in His likeness, i.e., an extra measure of light [is revealed] that transcends the transmission of Torah [as it is] by itself.<sup>190</sup> For the transmission of Torah by itself as it was given from Above is only what flows out and is revealed. (And this too was elicited only for the sake of the Jewish people.) But through divine service, one elicits the 'Atzmut level' of Torah. This is the concept of growth, which only the souls of Israel can accomplish, since they are rooted in Atzmut.<sup>191</sup>

# A CUP OF BLESSING

According to all of the above, it is understood why *Knesset Yisrael* is called "cup."<sup>192</sup> This alludes to her role as recipient, and to her elic-

190. Our Sages explain in the Midrash (Midrash Rabbah, Chayei Sarah chap. 61) that an additional outflow (tosefet) of blessing from the Holy One, blessed be He, is greater than the primary (ikkar) life force with which creation was originally endowed with. However, there is a difference between them: the original life force is a "gift" not dependent on man's divine service; moreover, it is a fixed quantity that does not change. The tosefet, however, is not a gift. It is dependent upon man's service and changes according to the quality and intensity of man's service.

Ikkar (or shoresh) and tosefet are sometimes compared to dew and rain. "Dew never ceases" (Yalkut Shimoni, I Kings chap. 17), whereas rainfall depends on our prayers and good deeds. As it says, "For G-d had not sent rain upon the earth and there was no man to work the soil" (Genesis 2:5), on which Rashi comments: "He had not sent rain *because* there was no one to work the soil, and no one to recognize the benefit of rain. But when Adam was created he recognized its importance and he prayed for rain and it fell, and the trees and vegetation grew."

Here Rabbi Shalom DovBer explains how this principle applies to the Torah as well. The Torah as it was given to the Jewish people had to go through a contraction in order to pertain to this world. However, the divine service of the Jewish people who fulfill Torah and *mitzvot* elicits the Torah as it is in G-d's Essence (*Atzmut*). (See Vayedaber Elokim in Likkutei Torah, Bamidbar 15c; Sefer Hamaamarim 5678, p. 314 ff.)

191. Though the *maamar* explains that there is a level of Torah that is rooted in *Atzmut* which will be revealed in the Messianic Era, Israel is still ultimately higher, which is why חַדָּשָׁה מֵאִתִּי תַצֵּא, חִידּוּשׁ תּוֹרָה מֵאָתִי תַצֵּא, וְהוּא שֶׁיִּתְגַּלֶּה בְּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁהִיא בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ כו׳.

וְזֶה נַצְשָּׁה עַל יְדֵי הָעֲבוֹדָה דְנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל עַרְשָׁיו שְׁמַמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה וּמְצְוֹת כְּמוֹ שֶׁהֵן בְּעַצְמוּתוֹ מַמְשׁ, שֶׁזֶהוּ עִנְיַן הַהוֹלְדָה בְּדוֹמָה לוֹ מַמְשׁ כו׳ שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת תּוֹסֶפֶת אוֹר לְמַעְלָה מַהַמְשָׁכַת הַתּוֹרָה מִצַּד עַצְמָה בְּחִינַת תּוֹסֶפֶת אוֹר לְמַעְלָה מַהַמְשָׁכַת הַתּוֹרָה מִצַּד עַצְמָה כו׳. דְּהַמְשָׁכַת הַתּוֹרָה מִצַד עַצְמָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁנִיתְנָה מִלְמַעְלָה כו׳. דְּהַמְשָׁכַת הַתּוֹרָה מִצַד עַצְמָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁנִיתְנָה מִלְמַעְלָה הוּא רַק כְּמוֹ שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּרָחִינַת הַמְשָׁכָה וְגִילוּי כו׳ וּגִע הַזְ כְּמוֹ שְׁהַתּוֹרָה הִיא בְּרָחִינַת הַמְשָׁכָה וְגִילוּי כו׳ הוּא רַק כְּמוֹ שְׁהַתּוֹרָה הָיא בְּרָחִינַת הַמְשָׁכָה וְגִילוּי כו׳ מְעֵבוֹדָה מַמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁהִיא בְּבְחִינַת עַבוֹדָה מַמְשָׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שָׁהִיא בַּבְחִינַת מַבּד מַמְשָׁיכִים בְּחִינַת הַעָּבְשָׁר בְּמוֹ שָּהִיא בְּבָחִינַת מַצָּד מַעְלָת נִשְׁמָתִם שָׁמּוּשְׁרָשִים בְּרַחִינַת הָעַצְמוּת כו׳. וּעַל כִּי כַּל הנ״ל יוּבן מָה שִׁבְנִשְׁה עַל יְדֵי נְשָׁמוֹת כוּישָׁרָא כּוֹם

ַוְעַל פִּי כָּל הנ״ל יוּבַן מַה שָּכְּגָטָת יִשְׁרָאֵל נְקְרֵאת כּוּס שֶׁבָּזֶה מְרוּמָז מַה שֶׁנִּשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הֵם בְּחִינַת מְקַבְּלִים, וְעוֹד

this level of Torah is elicited through Israel's divine service. To explain: Torah is divine revelation and expression, that which reveals G-d's wisdom and will. The two levels in Torah (Torah as it is now and Torah as it will be in the future time) are the two different ways that any form of "expression" can be viewed. When looking at an expression on its own, as a somewhat 'separate" entity, it can appear to "exist," being of a certain defined substance-albeit expressing something greater than itself. But when looking at the expression in the context of the source, or "expresser," the particular expression to a certain extent loses its identity; it is just the "expresser" expressing. This is true of Torah as well. Torah as it is now appears as somewhat of a defined quantity, having a certain "being," though it ultimately expresses a higher truth-G-d's wisdom and will. But in the future time, when G-d Himself will be manifest, Torah will be viewed in the context of G-d-the "Expresser"-and thus any pecieved self-contained identity will be lost, revealing it true being: nothing but G-d's expression. That is the meaning of the level of "Torah as it is found within the divine Essence," i.e., Torah viewed in the context of Essence. Israel, on the other hand, is not "expression" but actual essence; rooted in G-d's Essence not as an expression of His Essence, but as a part of Him (so to speak). Thus, through their divine service, they have the power to elicit the manifestation of G-d's Essence in the Messianic Era-a phenomenon that will affect Torah as well.

192. Rabbi Shalom DovBer now refers to the question raised on page 26 of Discourse One. itation of additional illumination from within the Emanator. In simple terms, a cup is made to hold wine or water, and similarly, the souls of Israel are vessels to collect within them G-dly light and outpouring. That is why they are called "cup," for they are a vessel for receiving.

As explained earlier, however, with regard to "the day of His wedding," the souls of Israel receive the flow primarily from Above—i.e., the light of Torah, which is generally internal—as an inner and essential influx. Thus they are called "cup," along the lines of our Sages' directive, "A person should not drink from his cup while looking at another,"<sup>193</sup> for a woman is called "cup" because she receives the seminal drop. Similarly, *Knesset Yisrael* is called "cup" by virtue of receiving the Torah.<sup>194</sup>

For this reason the *Zohar*, *Bo* 43a, teaches: "The Sages instituted ten rules concerning a cup of blessing,<sup>195</sup> since the Torah was given in the Ten Commandments." The Ten Commandments incorporate the entire Torah and therefore contain 620 letters.<sup>196</sup> Thus, to ensure that a cup is a suitable container for this aspect, the Sages instituted ten rules.<sup>197</sup>

# A TALE OF TWO LETTERS

Raya Mehemna<sup>198</sup> Parshat Eikev, p. 273b explains that "cup" corresponds to [the letter] hey, which requires ten things, corresponding to [the letter] yud.<sup>199</sup> Ramaz explains that the yud refers to yesod

193. *Nedarim* 20b. A euphemism for intimate relations. It is forbidden to think of another person while one is intimate with one's spouse.

194. I.e., *Knesset Yisrael* is referred to as "cup" not only because, generally speaking, they receive G-dly light, but (more specifically) because they receive the Torah in an intimately internal manner.

195. Berachot 51a lists the ten criteria for a "cup of blessing," i.e., a cup over which the Blessing after a Meal is recited. The cup must be: 1) washed on the outside; 2) washed on the inside; 3) diluted (if the wine would otherwise be too strong); 4) full; 5) surrounded (either by one's students or other cups). 6) One should be properly attired (as for prayer). 7) The cup must be taken with both hands; 8) placed in the right hand; 9) lifted-up one handbreadth; 10) looked at (concentrated upon).

196. 613 letters, corresponding to the 613 commandments of the Torah, plus seven letters for the seven rabbin-

זאת מַה שֶׁמַּמְשִׁיכִים בְּחִינַת תּוֹסָפּוֹת אוֹרוֹת בְּהַמַּשְׁפִּיעַ כו׳. דְעַל פִּי פָשׁוּט הֲרֵי הַכּּוֹס נַעֲשֶׂה לְקַבֵּל בְּתוֹכוֹ יַיִן אוֹ מֵיִם וּכְמוֹ כֵן נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁהֵם כֵּלִים לְקַבֵּל בְּתוֹכָן אוֹר וְשָׁפַע אֱלֹקִי הֲרֵי הֵם נִקָרָאִים כּוֹס שֵׁהֵם כָּלִי לְקַבֶּל.

אָמְנָם עִיקַר עִנְיַן קַבְּלַת הַשָּׁפַע דְּנִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל הוּא בְּרְחִינַת הַהַשְׁפָּעָה פְּנִימִיּוּת וְעַצְמוּת כנ״ל בְּעָנְיַן בְּיוֹם חֶתוּנָּתוֹ שֶׁהוּא אוֹר הַתּוֹרָה שֶׁהִיא בְּרְחִינַת פְּנִימִיוּת בְּכְלָל כו׳ כנ״ל וְעַל שֵׁם זֶה נִקְרְאוּ כוֹס עַל דֶרֶךְ מַה שֶׁאָמְרוּ בּוֹתֵינוּ זִכְרוֹנָם לְבְרָכָה לֹא יִשְׁתָּה אָדָם בְּכוֹס זֶה וְיִתֵּן עֵינָיו בְּכוֹס אַחֵר, שֶׁהָאשָׁה נִקְרֵאת כּוֹס מִפְּנֵי שֶׁמְקַבֶּלֶת שַׁינָיו בְּכוֹס אַחֵר, שֶׁהָאשָׁה נִקְרֵאת כּוֹס מִפְנֵי שֶׁמְקַבֶּלֶת שַׁינִין בְּכוֹס אַחֵר, שָׁהָאשָׁה נִקְרֵאת כּוֹס מִפְנֵי שָׁמְקַבֶּלֶת שַׁם שָׁמָקַבְּלִים בַּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה כו׳.

וְזֶהוּ דְאִיתָא בְּזֹהַר בּא דַף מ״ג עַמּוּד א׳ שֶׁלָכֵן תִּיקְנוּ עַשְׁרָה דְכָרִים בְּכוֹס שֶׁל בְּרָכָה לְפִי שֶׁהַתּוֹרָה נִיתְנָה בַּעֲשֶׁרָת הַדְּבְרוֹת שֶׁהֵן כְּלָלוּת כָּל הַתּוֹרָה שֶׁלָכֵן יֵשׁ בָּהֶם תר״ך אוֹתִיּוֹת כו׳ כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר, עַל כֵּן כְּדֵי שֶׁיִהְיֶה הַכּוֹס כְּלִי קִיבּוּל לְקַבֵּל בְּחֵינָה זוֹ תִיקְנוּ בוֹ גַם כֵּן עַשֶּׁרָה דְכָרִים כו׳.

וּבְרָעֲיָא מְהֵימָנָא פַּרְשַׁת עֵקֶב דַּף רע״ג סוֹף עַמּוּד ב׳ אִיתָא דְכוֹס הוּא הֵ״א הַצָּרִידְ עַשָּׁרָה דְבָרִים כְּנֵגֵד יוּ״ד,

198. Raya Mehemna is a partially extant Midrash by Rabbi Shimon bar Yochai, included in a section of the Zohar. In the course of its explaining the 613 mitzvot, it sets forth mystical descriptions of the human body.

199. Yud is the tenth letter of the Hebrew alphabet, and equals ten in the system of gematria, in which each letter is assigned a numerical value.

ical injunctions. See *Iggeret Hakodesh* chap. 29. The fact that the Ten Commandments contain 620 letters alludes to the fact that they incorporate the entire Torah.

<sup>197.</sup> I.e., since "cup" refers to receiving the transmission of Torah (as explained above), which was given in Ten Commandments, it too is associated with ten criteria, to render it a suitable container.

*abba*,<sup>200</sup> which illuminates *yesod z'a* and then flows into *malchut*—the letter *hey*.

One may explain: there is *yud* of [the Name] *Havaya*, and there is *yud* of [the Name] *Ad-nai*.<sup>201</sup> These are *chochmah ila'ah* (higher wisdom) and *chochmah tata'ah* (lower wisdom) respectively,<sup>202</sup> which correspond to [the ideas of] true *bittul* (absolute self-nullification) and *bittul hayesh*<sup>203</sup> (existential self-nullification)—[the latter being] the acceptance of the yoke of Heaven. The ten criteria for a cup of blessing stem from the *yud* of [the Name] *Ad-nai*, which signifies *kabbalat ol*,<sup>204</sup> as our Sages teach, "Why does the *Shema* precede *Vehaya im shamo'a*<sup>205</sup> In order that one first accept upon oneself the

200. PARTZUF YESOD ABBA. As mentioned above, the ten sefirot exist not only as individual manifestations of divine attributes, but are also arranged in various distinct configurations, called *partzufim* ("visages" or "profiles"—sing. *partzuf*), each with ten sefirot of their own. All the *partzufim* are described by names that characterize the way they function as partzufim. The partzuf of chochmah is called abba. Yesod abba is the channel through which the flow from chochmah reaches the recipient. Now although yesod abba primarily becomes clothed in yesod imma (binah), i.e., chochmah imbues binah with some of its unique qualities, it also affects z'a and malchut. However, its effect on z'a is only peripheral, via binah, whereas chochmah illuminates mal*chut* directly and with great force. This is the meaning of the verse, "G-d established the earth (malchut) with chochmah" (Proverbs 3:19). In terms of our maamar this means that the ability to speak stems from an elevated level of chochmah, and conversely that speech has the ability to elicit the very depths of chochmah, as explained at length in the previous

maamar. (See Biurei Hazohar Tzemach Tzedek, pp. 561-2.)

Now, in Kabbalah and Chasidut it is explained that the four general categories of *sefirot*—chochmah, binah, z'a and malchut—correspond to the four letters of the divine name Havaya. Thus, the letter yud corresponds to chochma, the initial hey to binah, the vav to z'a, and the final hey to malchut.

Since, as explained above, yesod abba (chochmah) flows into malchut, that means that yud flows into hey. Thus, hey is the "cup" that receives the flow from yud, and therefore must have ten (the numerical equivalent of "yud") criteria to render it fitting.

# 201. The divine name comprised of the letters *alef*, *dalet*, *nun* and *yud*.

202. CHOCHMAH ILA'AH - CHOCHMAH TATA'AH. There are two primary aspects of *chochmah*. *Chochmah ila'ah* (the higher *chochmah*) is *chochmah* as it exists internally, i.e., receiving and being illuminated by the Infinite Light of *Or Ein Sof.* Regarding this Rabbi Schneur Zalman states in *Tanya* (note, chap. 35): "The light of the

# ּוּפֵירֵשׁ הָרַב מֹשֶׁה זַכּוּת שֶׁהַיוּ״ד זָהוּ עִנְיַן יְסוֹד אַבָּא שֶׁמֵאִיר בִּיסוֹד זַ״א וְנִמְשָׂוּ בִּהַמַּלְכוּת שֵׁהִיא אוֹת הֵ״א.

ַּוְאֶפְשָׁר לוֹמַר כִּי יֵשׁ יוּ״ד דַהוי׳ וְיוּ״ד דְּאד׳, וְהַיְינוּ חָכְמָה עִלְאָה וְחָכְמָה תַתָּאָה שֶׁהוּא בִּיטוּל אֲמִיתִי וּבִיטוּל הַיֵּשׁ בְּחִינַת קַבָּלָת עוֹל מַלְכוּת שָׁמַיִם כו׳, וַעֲשָׁרָה דְכָרִים בְּיַשׁ בְּחִינַת קַבָּלָת עוֹל מַלְכוּת שָׁמַיִם כו׳, וַעֲשָׁרָה דְכָרִים בְּכוֹס שָׁל בְּרָכָה הֵם מִבְּחִינַת יוּ״ד דְאד׳ שֶׁהוּא עִנְיַן קַבְּלַת עוֹל מַלְכוּת שָׁמַיִם וּרְמַאֲמָר לָמָה קַדְמָה שְׁמַע לְוּהָיָה אִם שַׁמַעַ בְּכִדֵי שֵׁיקַבֶּל עַלַיו עוֹל מַלְכוּת שַׁמִים

blessed *Ein Sof* does not become unified even in the world of *Atzilut*, unless it clothes itself first in the *sefirah* of *chochmah*—the reason being that the blessed *Ein Sof* is the true one Who is One alone and apart from whom there is nothing, and this is the level of *chochmah*." This aspect of *chochmah* is the *chochmah* of Torah, which would have been pertinent even if the worlds had not been created.

Chochmah tata'ah (the lower chochmah) is chochmah as it projects externally, i.e., as it flows downward to permeate and enliven the other sefirot. This aspect of chochmah is the chochmah of creation, and therefore would not exist had the worlds not been created (Sefer Halikkutim, Chochmah, chap. 36). See also following footnote.

203. TRUE BITTUL AND BITTUL HAYESH. As explained in the previous footnote, *chochmah* has two aspects. The primary quality of *chochmah ila'ah* is its state of nullification towards *Or Ein Sof. Tanya* (chap. 19) elaborates: "Now this is a general principle in the entire realm of holiness—it [holiness] is only that which is derived from *chochmah*, called 'supreme holiness,' whose existence is nullified in the light of the blessed *Ein Sof* which is clothed in it, so that it is not a thing apart. For this reason, it is called *koach mah* [power of humility and abnegation]." Thus, *chochmah ilaah* is true *bittul* (absolute nullification of self, or essential *bittul*).

Chochmah tata'ah, however, is the life-force of the lower worlds, as the verse states, "You have made them all with chochmah" (Psalms 104:24). Hence, the worlds are of some significance in the perspective of chochmah tata'ah, and the ultimate truth that "He is One Alone and apart from Him there is nothing" is not perceived in chochmah tata'ah, but only in chochmah ila'ah. Its self-nullification is incomplete, and is, therefore, called merely "bittul hayesh"—existential bittul, as opposed to the essential bittul of chochmah ila'ah.

204. Ad-nai is from the word adnut, meaning "L-rdship" or "sovereignty," of which one "accepts the yoke."

205. The first and second paragraphs of the *Shema* prayer, recited twice daily.

yoke of Heaven, and then the yoke of *mitzvot*."<sup>206</sup> Also, as the saying, "First accept upon yourselves My kingship, then accept My decrees"<sup>207</sup>—the *mitzvot*.<sup>208</sup> Thus, *kabbalat ol* is the cup and vessel for the revelation of the *yud* of *Havaya*.<sup>209</sup>

# THE RECEIVER GIVES

This all explains why *Knesset Yisrael* is called "cup," for she is a receptacle for *chochmah*, identified with Torah. Furthermore, one might add that just as a person drinks from his cup [i.e., benefits from the cup],<sup>210</sup> likewise [is this dynamic true in the spiritual realm, as alluded to in the words] "to the rain (*matar*) of heaven it will drink water."<sup>211</sup> Now the verse should have read "*from* the rain of heaven."<sup>212</sup> However, the explanation is that the term "to the rain of heaven" refers to *mayin d'churin*,<sup>213</sup> which descend from *chochmah ila'ah*, on High.<sup>214</sup> Given from Heaven, it [the Torah] is called *matar*, as in the verse, "May my teaching shower down like rain (*matar*)."<sup>215</sup> See the explanation, in *Likkutei Torah*, of the words *Torah tzivah*,<sup>216</sup> discussing [the prayer], "He causes the rain to fall."<sup>217</sup>

Knesset Yisrael—called 'the Land'—gives drink "to the rain of heaven."<sup>218</sup> This means that she draws out and so affects "the rain of heaven," i.e., the Torah as it is revealed, as explained above.<sup>219</sup> This is

206. Berachot 13a. The first paragraph of Shema discusses G-d's sovereignty, while the second discusses one's obligation to perform mitzvot.

207. Mechilta to Yitro 20:3.

208. See Meiri, Berachot 14b.

209. The point that the *maamar* is making may be explained as follows: We explained before that the recipient, or "cup," is associated with the number ten ("the Sages instituted ten rules concerning a cup of blessing..."), since it receives spiritual energy from yesod abba, chochmah, which corresponds to the yud of Havaya (yud being the numerical equiv-

alent of ten); for since it receives "ten," it too must have "ten." But, as the Ramaz explains, the recipient is malchut, the letter hey of Havaya, which corresponds to the number five! Where, then, do we see the number ten expressed in the recipient? The maamar therefore explains that more specifically the recipient refers to the yud of Ad-nai, which represents the idea of kabbolat of, and which is the cup and vessel for the yud of Havaya-true and complete bittul that is beyond mere kabbolat ol. Thus, the recipient does contain this element of "ten" (yud).

210. Indicating that the cup also contains the power of "transmission." הְּחִילָה וְאַחַר כַּךְ יְקַבֵּל עָלָיו עוֹל מִצְוֹת, וּכְמַאֲמָר קַבְּלוּ מַלְכוּתִי וְאַחַר כַּךְ קַבְּלוּ גְזַרוֹתַי בְּחִינַת הַמָּצְוֹת כו׳, דְּקַבְּלַת עוֹל מַלְכוּת שָׁמַיִם הוּא בְּחִינַת הַכּוֹס וְהַכְּלִי לְגִילּוּי יוּ״ד דְשׁם הוי׳ כו׳.

וְכָל זֶה הוּא מַה שֶׁבְּנֶסֶת יִשְׂרָאֵל נִקְרֵאת כּוֹס שֶהֵם כְּלִי לְקַבֵּל בְּחִינַת הַחָּכְמָה שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת הַתּוֹרָה כו׳. וְעוֹד זֹאת יֵשׁ לוֹמַר כִּי כְמוֹ הַכּּוֹס בּוֹ וְעַל יָדוֹ שוֹתֶה בַּעַל הַכּוֹס, כֵּן כְּתִיב לְמְטַר הַשְׁמָים תִּשְׁתָּה מָיִם, דַהְנָה לֵיה לְמֵימַר מִמְטַר, אָלָא הַפֵּירוּש כִּי לְמְטַר הַשְׁמָים תִּשְׁתָה מָיִם, דַהְנָה לֵיה לְמֵימַר מִמְטַר, אָלָא הַפֵּירוּש כִּי לְמְטַר הַשְׁמָים תִּשְׁתָּה מָיִם, דַהְנָה לֵיה לְמֵימַר מִמְטַר, זְנְקְרַאת מְטַר כִּי לְמְטַר הַשְׁמָים תַּשְׁתָה מָיִם, דַהְנָה לֵיה מִלְמַעְלָה מִבְּחִינַת חָכְמָה עִלָּאָה וְהִיא שֶׁנִּיתְנָה מו הַשְׁמַים וְנְקַרֵאת מְטָר כְּמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב יַעָרוֹף כַּמְטָר לְקָחִי דְקָאֵי עַל הַתּוֹרָה כַּי. וְעַיֵּן מַה שֶׁכָּתוּב בְּלָקוּטֵי תוֹרָה בְּהַבֵּיאוּר דְתוֹרָה צְנָה בְעָרְוַן מוֹרִיד הַגָּשָׁם

וּכְנֶסֶת יִשְׂרָאֵל הַנְּקְרֵאת אֶרֶץ תִּשְׁתֶּה לִמְטַר הַשְּׁמַיִם, רוֹצֶה לוֹמַר שֶׁהִיא תַּשְקָה וְתַשִׁפּיעַ לִמְטַר הַשָּׁמַיִם לְבָחִינַת

211. Deuteronomy 11:11. The verse is typically translated as "by the rain of heaven it will drink water," in reference to the Land of Israel; i.e., the Land of Israel will drink of the rain of heaven. However, the literal meaning is "to the rain of heaven...", which would seem to indicate that the Land of Israel will give water to "the rain of heaven"! Rabbi Shalom DovBer thus explains the deeper meaning of this verse, which rectifies its literal meaning as well.

212. *Mimtar*, which means "from the rain..." The actual text, *limtar*, means "to the rain..."

213. Lit., "masculine waters"; connoting the male, transmitting aspect, or z'a. See footnote 74. In our context, this refers to Torah, the "male" element in its relationship with Israel.

214. As explained above, Torah stems from *chochmah ila'ah*—G-d's super-nal wisdom.

215. Deuteronomy 32:2.

216. Likkutei Torah, Devarim, 95a ff.

217. Liturgy, Amidah.

218. The Hebrew "*tishteh*" can be understood as an intransitive verb in the future tense—"she will drink"—or as a verb in the transitive form—"she will give to drink."

219. Israel becomes the "giver" in its relationship with Torah, eliciting the

the deeper concept expressed in the verse "the woman will court the man." $^{220}$ 

It is also written, "On that day, living water will flow from Jerusalem, half to the *Yam Hakadmoni*,"<sup>221</sup> which is the sea of *choch-mah*<sup>222</sup> (i.e., the Torah in the primordial *chochmah* of  $A'K^{223}$ ).

It is for this reason then that the souls of Israel are compared to a cup, as in the dictum "a person should not drink from his cup..." The giver is referred to as one who drinks from the cup; this means he is also a recipient. This is because of the high rank of the souls of Israel in their root, as explained above.

Essence of G-d (and ultimately, the essence of Torah itself), as described in detail above.

220. Jeremiah 31:21. That is, the feminine, receptive power will assume an active, leading role.

221. Zechariah 14:8. Literally, Yam Hakadmoni is the Eastern Sea, or Dead Sea. We have left it in the original to indicate the more mystical other sense of the words—the Primordial Sea, i.e., the sea of chochmah.

222. The sea of chochmah (the Torah in the primordial chochmah of A'K): The expression "the sea of chochmah" is first found in Zohar (II:19b) and in Sefer Habahir (authored by Rabbi Nechunya ben Hakana, second century Tanna, in Zohar III, Tosafot p. 301a). There, and in Shaarei Orah (Rabbi Yosef Gikatilia, Shaar Harishon, Sefirah Ha'asirit) the expression refers to malchut "because all the aspects of chochmah, which stem from supernal chochmah, flow into it, and all wisdom is drawn from it." The Arizal, however, explains (Shaar Hakavanot, הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁגִיתְּנָה מִן הַשְׁמַיִם כנ״ל. וְהַיְינוּ עַל דֶּרֶדְ נְקֵבָה תְּסוֹבֵב גָּכֶר

וּכְמוֹ שֶׁכָּתוּב וְהָיָה בַּיוֹם הַהוּא יֵצְאוּ מַיִם חַיִים מִירוּשָׁלַיִם הָצְיִים אֶל הַיָּם הַקַּדְמוֹנִי שֶׁהוּא בְּחִינַת יֵם הַחְכְמָה (וְהַיִינוּ הַתּוֹרָה כְּמוֹ שֶׁהִיא בִּכְחִינַת חָכָמָה הַקַּדוּמָה דִאַ״ק כו׳)

ַרְעַל כֵּן מִטַּעַם זֶה נִמְשָׁלוּ לְכוֹס וְכִלְשׁוֹן לֹא יִשְׁתֶּה בְּכוֹס זֶה הֲרֵי הַמַּשְׁפִּיעַ נִקְרָא שׁוֹתֶה בְּהַכּוֹס אִם כֵּן הוּא גַם כֵּן מְקַבֵּל כר, וַהַיִינוּ מִצַּד מַעֵלַת נִשְׁמוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּשָׁרְשָׁם כו׳ כנ״ל.

Derushei Kabbalat Shabbat, Derush 1—Havu Lashem) that the sea of chochmah refers to mocha stima'ah of arich anpin from where all the other sefirot draw their light.

Here Rabbi Shalom DovBer validates both of these explanations. On the one hand, *Knesset Yisrael* is called "cup" because she is a vessel in which to receive the *chochmah* of Torah. On the other hand, *Knesset Yisrael* is called the Land that gives drink "to the rain of heaven." Meaning, she waters and has an effect on "the rain of heaven," namely, the Torah that was

television and a second s

given from Heaven. In our analogy, the transmitter (the Torah) also "drinks from the cup." This means that the Torah also receives from the "cup"—*Knesset Yisrael*—because the root of the souls of Israel actually transcends the Torah. In a more profound sense, we may say that it is through the very act of receiving *from* the Torah that the Jewish people empower the Torah and imbue it with an even greater light, that of *Essence*.

223. CHOCHMAH HAK'DUMAH D'A'K. See footnote 109 for an explanation.

OTHER TITLES IN THE CHASIDIC HERITAGE SERIES

> (1) The second system in the second s second secon second sec

(1,1) = (1,1) + (1,1

### JOURNEY OF THE SOUL from Torah Or \$12.00 By Rabbi Schneur Zalman of Liadi

Translated by Rabbi Ari Sollish

Drawing upon the parallel between Queen Esther's impassioned plea to King Ahasuerus for salvation and the soul's entreaty to G-d for help in its spiritual struggle, this discourse examines the root of the soul's exile, and the dynamics by which it lifts itself from the grip of materiality and ultimately finds a voice with which to express its G-dly yearnings. Includes a brief biography of the author.

FLAMES from Gates of Radiance \$14.00 By Rabbi DovBer of Lubavitch Translated by Dr. Naftoli Loewenthal This discourse focuses on the multiple images of the lamp, the oil, the wick and the different hues of the flame in order to express profound guidance in the divine service of every individual. Although Flames is a Chanukah discourse, at the same time, it presents concepts that are of perennial significance. Includes the first English biography of the author ever published.

\*

# THE MITZVAH TO LOVE

YOUR FELLOW AS YOURSELF from Derech Mitzvotecha \$9.00 By Rabbi Menachem Mendel of Lubavitch, the Tzemach Tzedek Translated by Rabbis Nissan Mangel and Zalman Posner

The discourse discusses the Kabbalistic principle of the "collective soul of the world of *Tikkun*" and explores the essential unity of all souls. The discourse develops the idea that when we connect on a soul level, we can love our fellow as we love ourselves; for in truth, we are all one soul. Includes a brief biography of the author.

#### 

#### TRUE EXISTENCE *Mi Chamocha 5629* \$12.00 By Rabbi Shmuel of Lubavitch

Translated by Rabbis Yosef Marcus and Avraham D. Vaisfiche This discourse revolutionizes the age-old notion of Monotheism, i.e., that there is no other god besides Him. Culling from Talmudic and Midrashic sources, the discourse makes the case that not only is there no other god besides Him, there is nothing besides Him—literally. The only thing that truly exists is G-d. Includes a brief biography of the author.

#### 

TRUE EXISTENCE The Chasidic View of Reality \$14.00 A Video-CD with Rabbi Manis Friedman Venture beyond science and Kabbalah and discover the world of Chasidism. This Video-CD takes the viewer step-by-step through the basic chasidic and kabbalistic view of creation and existence. In clear, lucid language, Rabbi Manis Friedman deciphers these esoteric concepts and demonstrates their modern day applications.

#### 

# YOM TOV SHEL ROSH HASHANAH 5659 Discourse One \$11.00

By Rabbi Shalom DovBer of Lubavitch

Translated by Rabbis Yosef Marcus and Moshe Miller

The discourse explores the attribute of malchut and the power of speech while introducing some of the basic concepts of Chasidism and Kabbalah in a relatively easy to follow format. Despite its title and date of inception, the discourse is germane throughout the year. Includes a brief biography of the author.

\*\*

#### GARMENTS OF THE SOUL

Vayishlach Yehoshua 5736

By Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson, the Lubavitcher Rebbe Translated by Rabbi Yosef Marcus

Often what is perceived in this world as secondary is in reality most sublime. What appears to be mundane and inconsequential is often most sacred and crucial. Thus at their source, the garments both physical and spiritual—of the human, both physical and spiritual, transcend the individual.

~~~~~

### THE UNBREAKABLE SOUL

Mayim Rabbim 5738 \$8.00

By Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson, the Lubavitcher Rebbe Translated by Rabbi Ari Sollish

The discourse begins with an unequivocal declaration: No matter how much one may be inundated with materialism, the flame of the soul burns forever. This discourse speaks to one who finds pleasure in the material world, yet struggles to find spirituality in his or her life.

~~~

### ON THE ESSENCE OF CHASIDUS

Kunteres Inyana shel Toras Hachasidus \$12.00 By Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson, the Lubavitcher Rebbe In this landmark discourse, the Lubavitcher Rebbe, Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson, explores the contribution of Chasidus to a far deeper and expanded understanding of Torah. The Rebbe analyzes the relationship Chasidus has with Kabbalah, the various dimensions of the soul, the concept of Moshiach and the Divine attributes all in this slim volume.

THERE ARE MANY IMPORTANT MANUSCRIPTS THAT ARE READY TO GO TO PRESS, BUT ARE WAITING FOR A SPONSOR LIKE YOU.

PLEASE CONSIDER ONE OF THESE OPPORTUNITIES AND MAKE AN EVERLASTING CONTRIBUTION TO JEWISH SCHOLARSHIP AND CHASIDIC LIFE.

FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT:

THE CHASIDIC HERITAGE SERIES

770 EASTERN PARKWAY

BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11213

CALL: 718.774.4000

E-mail: info@kehotonline.com

nya kalalandan milandar di makangi sa matan Tanakatika

a and a state of the second states of a second states and

Additional contribution and the set of the matrice and the

# COMING SOON!

# YOM TOV SHEL ROSH HASHANAH 5659

#### Discourse Three

By Rabbi Shalom DovBer of Lubavitch Translated by Rabbi Y. Eliezer Danzinger

#### 

#### THE ETERNAL BOND from Torah Or By Rabbi Schneur Zalman of Liadi

Translated by Rabbi Ari Sollish

This discourse explores the spiritual significance of brit milah, analyzing two dimensions in which our connection with G-d may be realized. For in truth, there are two forms of spiritual circumcision: Initially, man must "circumcise his heart," freeing himself to the best of his ability from his negative, physical drives; ultimately, though, it is G-d who truly liberates man from his material attachment.

#### \*\*\*

#### THE FOUR WORLDS

 $(x_1)^{(1)} (x_2) (x_3)^{(1)} (x_1)^{(1)} (x_2)^{(1)} (x_3)^{(1)} (x_3)^{(1)$ 

By Rabbi Yosef Yitzchak of Lubavitch Translated by Rabbis Yosef Marcus and Avraham D. Vaisfiche Overview by Rabbi Immanuel Schochet

At the core of our identity is the desire to be one with our source, and to know the spiritual realities that give our physical life the transcendental importance of the Torah's imperatives. In this letter to a yearning Chasid the Rebbe explains the mystical worlds of Atzilut, Beriah, Yetzira, and Asiya.

\*

and a construction and the state of the stat

# THE CHASIDIC HERITAGE SERIES

Yom Tov Shel Rosh Hashanah-5659-

DEDICATED BY THE BEN-ONI FAMILY

-----

~ • ~

נדפס לזכות

גולן ישי בן מרים יוכבד לאה בת ברכה ליפשה יונתן אהרן בן יוכבד לאה