

An hourglass-shaped graphic with a globe in the top bulb and another globe in the bottom bulb. The top bulb is dark blue, and the bottom bulb is light blue. The hourglass is light gray. The globe in the top bulb is dark blue, and the globe in the bottom bulb is light blue. The hourglass is centered on the page.

WikiLeaks Document Release

<http://wikileaks.org/wiki/CRS-98-426>

February 2, 2009

Congressional Research Service

Report 98-426

*Amendments on the House Floor: Summary of Major
Restrictions*

Judy Schneider, Government and Finance Division

March 21, 2007

Abstract. The opportunities for Representatives to offer floor amendments to a bill or resolution depends on the procedures by which the House considers the measure. These procedures are outlined in this report.

WikiLeaks



CRS Report for Congress

Amendments on the House Floor: Summary of Major Restrictions

Judy Schneider
Specialist on the Congress
Government and Finance Division

The opportunities for Representatives to offer floor amendments to a bill or resolution depends on the procedures by which the House considers the measure. In summary:

- After general debate on a bill in *Committee of the Whole*, Members may offer whatever amendments they choose if (1) those amendments comply with applicable House rules and precedents, some of which are identified below, (2) Members offer their amendments at the appropriate times, and (3) the House has not adopted a special rule that prohibits consideration of some or all amendments to the bill. An amendment also can be proposed in a recommittal motion that is offered in the House after the Committee of the Whole completes action on the bill and reports it back to the House.
- *In the House, under the one-hour rule*, an amendment can be offered only by the Member who controls the floor or if that Member yields to a colleague for the purpose of offering an amendment. If the House votes to order the previous question on a bill after no more than one hour of debate, as it usually does, the effect of that vote is to prevent any floor amendments, except for an amendment that may be incorporated into a motion to recommit the bill.
- Under *suspension of the rules*, no floor amendments are in order. However, the Member offering the suspension motion may include amendments in the motion. If so, that Member moves to suspend the rules and pass the measure as amended. The House cannot vote separately on any such amendments.

General Restrictions on Amendments

The House's rules and precedents impose certain restrictions that apply generally to House floor amendments, regardless of the procedure under which they are offered.

In general, for example, an amendment is not in order (1) if the amendment is not in writing at the time it is offered; (2) if the amendment is in the third degree — that is, it is an amendment to an amendment to an amendment; (3) if the House already has acted on an identical amendment; (4) if the amendment only proposes to re-amend a portion of the bill that already has been amended; (5) if the amendment affects different parts of the bill and actually constitutes two or more amendments that can be offered *en bloc* only by unanimous consent; or (6) if the amendment is not offered at the time the Committee of the Whole is considering amendments only to the section or title of the bill that the amendment would affect. This list is not exhaustive, and there are exceptions to some of these general restrictions. For instance, an amendment to a substitute for an amendment is not considered to be a third degree amendment. Also, an amendment may re-amend something that already has been amended if it does so in the process of amending a larger part of the text in question.

The Germaneness Requirement

In addition to such general restrictions, clause 7 of House Rule XVI also requires that each amendment must be germane to the text it would amend. This principle can be difficult to apply in practice.

Volumes 10 and 11 of *Deschler-Brown Precedents of the House of Representatives* devote almost 2,000 pages to the germaneness rule and its application. To be germane, it is not sufficient that the amendment be relevant to the bill the House is considering or even to the section or title of the bill that the amendment would change. It is possible for an amendment to be relevant without satisfying the technical standards of germaneness.

In the commentary that follows the text of the germaneness rule in the *House Rules and Manual*, the House parliamentarian identifies three tests of germaneness: *subject matter*, *fundamental purpose*, and *committee jurisdiction*. To be germane: (1) “[a]n amendment must relate to the subject matter under consideration;” (2) “[t]he fundamental purpose of an amendment must be germane to the fundamental purpose of the bill;” and (3) “[a]n amendment when considered as a whole should be within the jurisdiction of the committee reporting the bill.”

None of these tests is always conclusive, nor is one of them necessarily more controlling than the others. Furthermore, an amendment may satisfy all three of these tests and still not be germane. To help explain this possibility, the parliamentarian also elaborates several principles of germaneness, including the following: (1) “[o]ne individual proposition may not be amended by another individual proposition even though the two belong to the same class;” and (2) “[a] specific subject may not be amended by a provision general in nature, even when of the class of the specific subject; but (3) “[a] general subject may be amended by specific propositions of the same class.”