INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 16140-1

First edition 2016-06-15

Microbiology of the food chain — Method validation —

Part 1: **Vocabulary**

Microbiologie de la chaîne alimentaire — Validation des méthodes — Partie 1: Vocabulaire





COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2016, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland Tel. +41 22 749 01 11 Fax +41 22 749 09 47 copyright@iso.org www.iso.org

Con	tents	Page
Forew	vord	iv
Introd	duction	v
1	Scope	1
2	Terms and definitions	1
Riblio	ngranhy	12

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: Foreword - Supplementary information

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Microbiology*.

This first edition of ISO 16140-1, together with ISO 16140-2, cancels and replaces ISO 16140:2003, which has been technically revised. It also incorporates the Amendment ISO 16140:2003:Amd.1:2011.

ISO 16140 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Microbiology of the food chain* — *Method validation*:

- Part 1: Vocabulary
- Part 2: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods against a reference method

The following parts are under preparation:

- Part 3: Protocol for the verification of reference and validated alternative methods implemented in a single laboratory
- Part 4: Protocol for single-laboratory (in-house) method validation
- Part 5: Protocol for factorial interlaboratory validation of non-proprietary methods
- Part 6: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods for microbiological confirmation and typing

Introduction

The use of validated methods is an important requirement for obtaining reliable results with a specific method. It also facilitates the comparability of results obtained with the same method in different laboratories. Validation procedures covered by ISO 16140 (all parts) involve various aspects of validation, such as validation of alternative (proprietary) methods, single laboratory validation, validation of (alternative) methods using a limited number of laboratories, and verification of methods (demonstration of a laboratory to correctly apply a validated method). In addition, there is a close link to ISO 17468 describing the procedure for the validation of the standard methods themselves.

Microbiology of the food chain — Method validation —

Part 1:

Vocabulary

1 Scope

This part of ISO 16140 defines general terms and definitions relating to method validation of microbiology in the food chain.

This part of ISO 16140 is applicable to the validation of methods for the analysis (detection or quantification) of microorganisms in

- products intended for human consumption,
- products intended for animal feeding,
- environmental samples in the area of food and feed production, handling, and
- samples from the primary production stage.

2 Terms and definitions

2.1

acceptability limit

AL

maximum positive or negative acceptable difference between the *reference value* (2.60) (or if not known, the accepted reference value) of a *sample* (2.69) and an individual result obtained when applying the operating procedure of an analytical method

Note 1 to entry: Because *accuracy* (2.2) is defined as 'the closeness of agreement between a measured quantity value and an assigned quantity value of a measurand', acceptability limits can be interpreted as the maximum measure of the lack of accuracy for *quantitative methods* (2.57).

2.2

accuracy

measurement accuracy

closeness of agreement between a measured quantity value and an assigned quantity value of a measurand

Note 1 to entry: The concept 'measurement accuracy' is not a quantity and is not given a numerical quantity value. A measurement is said to be more accurate when it offers a smaller measurement error.

Note 2 to entry: The term 'measurement accuracy' should not be used for measurement trueness (2.77) and the term measurement precision (2.51) should not be used for 'measurement accuracy', which, however, is related to both these concepts.

Note 3 to entry: 'Measurement accuracy' is sometimes understood as closeness of agreement between measured quantity values that are being attributed to the measurand.

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

accuracy profile

graphical representation of the capacity of measurement of the *quantitative method* (2.57), obtained by combining acceptability intervals and β -expectation tolerance intervals (2.8), both reported to different levels of the *reference value* (2.60)

Note 1 to entry: For a given measurement method, different accuracy profiles can be drawn, depending on the experimental design where data were collected: under *repeatability conditions* (2.64) or *reproducibility conditions* (2.67), for different matrices, etc.

Note 2 to entry: Calculations of accuracy profile elements depend on experimental design.

2.4

alternative method

method submitted for validation

method of analysis that detects or quantifies, for a given category of products, the same *analyte* (2.6) as is detected or quantified using the corresponding *reference method* (2.59)

Note 1 to entry: The method can be proprietary. The term 'alternative' is used to refer to the entire 'test procedure and reaction system'. This term includes all ingredients, whether material or otherwise, required for implementing the method.

2.5

alternative method result

final result of the qualitative or quantitative analysis for the alternative method (2.4)

2.6

analyte

component represented in the name of a measurable quantity

[SOURCE: ISO 17511:2003, 3.2]

Note 1 to entry: For food microbiology, this means a microorganism, group of microorganisms, or its products (e.g. toxins) quantified or detected by the method of analysis.

Note 2 to entry: Possible targets of the techniques that are used for detection or enumeration of the analyte can be DNA/RNA, proteins, lipopolysaccharides, or others.

2.7

assigned value

value that serves as an agreed-upon reference for comparison

Note 1 to entry: It is normally derived from or based on experimental work.

2.8

β-expectation tolerance interval

β-ETI

range of values within which a stated proportion of the population is expected to lie

Note 1 to entry: The stated proportion represents the probability that a value falls between an upper and lower bound of a distribution.

Note 2 to entry: Tolerance intervals tend towards a fixed value as the *sample* (2.69) size increases.

2.9

bias

measurement bias

estimate of a systematic measurement error, or the systematic difference between the quantitative assigned value (2.7) and the average of measurement *replicate* (2.65) results

blind replicates

set of samples (2.69) submitted to evaluate performance in which the presence and/or concentration of the analyte (2.6) is unknown to the analyst

Note 1 to entry: Within validation (2.81) studies, blind replicates (2.10) are used within the interlaboratory study (2.33). The organizing laboratory (2.45) prepares samples (2.69) and sends them to the collaborators (2.13). These samples are labelled (marked) in such a way that the collaborator (2.13) does not know if they contain the analyte (2.6), or not.

2.11

category

group of sample (2.69) types (2.78) of the same origin

EXAMPLE Heat-processed milk and dairy products.

2.12

certified reference material

CRM

reference material (2.58) characterized by a metrologically valid procedure for one or more specified properties, accompanied by a certificate that provides the value of the specified property, its associated uncertainty, and a statement of metrological traceability

Note 1 to entry: Adapted from ISO Guide 30 and ISO Guide 35.

2.13

collaborator

individual laboratory technician, who works completely independently from other collaborators, using different sets of blind *samples* (2.69) or *test portions* (2.75)

2.14

combined standard deviation

combined standard uncertainty

standard measurement uncertainty that is obtained using the individual standard uncertainties associated with the input quantities in a measurement model

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

2.15

confidence interval

value $(1 - \alpha)$ of the probability associated with a confidence interval or a statistical coverage interval

EXAMPLE Confidence intervals can be obtained for arithmetic means, standard deviations, regression coefficients, etc.

Note 1 to entry: $(1 - \alpha)$ is often expressed as a percentage.

2.16

confidence level

specific probability of obtaining some result from a *sample* (2.69) if it did not exist in the population as a whole

Note 1 to entry: The usual levels of probability are 95 % or 99 %, but any level can be used.

2.17

confirmation procedure or test

procedure or test which is carried out to verify a presumptive result

Note 1 to entry: Not all methods have a confirmation procedure.

ISO 16140-1:2016(E)

2.18

count

observed number of objects

EXAMPLE Colonies or plaques.

2.19

coverage factor

number larger than one by which a combined standard measurement uncertainty is multiplied to obtain an expanded measurement uncertainty

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

2.20

detection level

<qualitative methods> minimum concentration of organisms that produce evidence of growth in
a liquid medium with a probability of P = 0.95 when inoculated into a defined culture medium and
incubated under defined conditions

Note 1 to entry: The theoretical level that conforms to this definition is three viable cells in an inoculum volume.

Note 2 to entry: The term 'sensitivity' (2.71) is discouraged for detection level.

2.21

environmental sample

sample (2.69) from a surface of equipment or from the production environment, or from water used in the manufacturing process

2.22

exclusivity study

study involving pure *non-target strains* (2.44), which can be potentially cross-reactive, but are not expected to be detected or enumerated by the *alternative method* (2.4)

2.23

false-negative test result

negative result by the tested method that is actually confirmed as a positive result

2.24

false-positive test result

positive result by the tested method that is actually confirmed as a negative result

2.25

feed

feedstuff

any material or product intended to be, or reasonably expected to be, used for animal consumption

2.26

fitness for purpose

degree whether data produced by a measurement process enables a user to make technically and administratively correct decisions for a stated purpose

2.27

food

foodstuff

any material or product intended to be, or reasonably expected to be, used for human consumption

2.28

fractional recovery

validation (2.81) criterion that is satisfied when replicate (2.65) samples (2.69) of either the alternative method (2.4) or reference method (2.59) yield 50 % (range 25% - 75%) positive responses

homogeneity

condition of being of uniform structure or composition with respect to one or more specified properties

Note 1 to entry: A *reference material* (2.58) is said to be homogeneous with respect to a specified property if the property value, as determined by tests on *samples* (2.69) of specified size, is found to lie within the specified uncertainty limits, the samples being taken either from different supply units (bottles, packages, etc.) or from a single supply unit.

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 30:1992, 2.6]

2.30

identification procedure or test

procedure or test yielding the identity of the *analyte* (2.6)

2.31

inclusivity study

study involving pure *target strains* (2.74) to be detected or enumerated by the *alternative method* (2.4)

2.32

in-house reference material

IRM

non-certified material or substance, produced by one laboratory, one or more of whose property values are sufficiently homogeneous and well established to be used for *validation* (2.81)

2.33

interlaboratory study

study performed by multiple laboratories testing identical *samples* (2.69) at the same time, the results of which are used to estimate alternative-method performance parameters

Note 1 to entry: The aim of an interlaboratory study is to determine the variability of the results obtained in different laboratories using identical samples.

2.34

item

single specified food (2.27), feed (2.25), environmental, or primary production matrix (2.38)

EXAMPLE Food *category* (2.11): heat-processed milk and dairy products; food *type* (2.78): pasteurized dairy product; food item: milk-based desserts.

2.35

level of detection

LOD_x

<qualitative methods> measured analyte (2.6) concentration, obtained by a given measurement
procedure, for which the probability of detection (2.53) is x

EXAMPLE LOD₅₀ is the level of detection for which 50 % of tests give a positive result.

Note 1 to entry: The term 'level of detection' is used for qualitative methods in microbiology based on *replicate* (2.65) analyses with three different inoculation levels of the target *analyte* (2.6) in a tested *matrix* (2.38). The replicates are analysed, and the number of positive results is recorded (e.g. 20 %, 70 %, and 100 %) respectively at each inoculation level. These data are then used to determine the number of cells that would give 50 % positive using a generalized linear model (see ISO 16140-2). This differs from the procedure used for chemical and physical methods for which a 'limit of detection' is defined as the lowest quantity of an analyte that can be distinguished from the absence of that analyte with a stated *confidence level* (2.16).

2.36

limit of quantification

LOQ

limit of determination

<quantitative methods> lowest analyte (2.6) concentration that can be quantified with an acceptable
level of precision (2.51) and trueness (2.77) under the conditions of the test

ISO 16140-1:2016(E)

2.37

line of identity

two-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system, where the identity line is the y = x line

2.38

matrix (product)

all the components of the *sample* (2.69)

2.39

method comparison study

study, performed by the *organizing laboratory* ($\underline{2.45}$) to compare the *alternative method* ($\underline{2.4}$) with the *reference method* ($\underline{2.59}$)

2.40

negative agreement

NA

agreement when the qualitative alternative method (2.4) and reference method (2.59) both present a negative test result (2.43)

2.41

negative control

sample (2.69) in which the target analyte (2.6) is either absent or below the detection level (2.20) of the method used

2.42

negative deviation

ND

negative result of the *alternative method* (2.4) when the corresponding *reference method* (2.59) result is positive

2.43

negative test result

test result (2.76) indicating the analyte (2.6) was not detected in a given test portion (2.75) as defined by the procedure of the qualitative method (2.56)

2.44

non-target strain

strain, defined according to the scope of the *reference method* (2.59) that would not reasonably be expected to be detected or enumerated by the *alternative method* (2.4)

2.45

organizing laboratory

expert laboratory

independent laboratory

laboratory with responsibility for managing all of the technical and statistical activities involved in the *validation* (2.81) study, i.e. *method comparison study* (2.39) and the *interlaboratory study* (2.33)

Note 1 to entry: The organizing laboratory is not involved in development and/or marketing of a *proprietary* method (2.55) that they will be validating.

2.46

outlier

member of a set of values which is inconsistent with other members of that set

[SOURCE: ISO 5725-1:1994, 3.21, modified]

Note 1 to entry: This extreme value normally appears randomly in less than 1 % of repetitive tests, but more frequently if abnormal situations occur. Statistical test procedures can be used to quantify this probability.

paired study

paired/matched data

study when the qualitative *reference method* (2.59) and *alternative method* (2.4) have a common first enrichment step

Note 1 to entry: In this case, only one *test portion* (2.75) of a *sample* (2.69) is used to obtain a result with the *reference method* (2.59) and the *alternative method* (2.4). The incubated broth is then used in the second procedure step of both the reference method and the alternative method. The results from both methods are strongly dependent upon each other.

2.48

positive agreement

PA

qualitative alternative method (2.4) and reference method (2.59) both present a confirmed positive test result (2.50) (confirmed positive results)

2.49

positive deviation

PD

(confirmed) positive result of the *alternative method* ($\underline{2.4}$) when the corresponding *reference method* ($\underline{2.59}$) result is negative

2.50

positive test result

test result (2.76) indicating the presence of the *analyte* (2.6) in a given test portion (2.75) as defined by the procedure of the method

Note 1 to entry: When the *reference method* (2.59) or *alternative method* (2.4) provides a preliminary positive test result requiring further testing to confirm this result, this test result can be considered as a presumptive positive test result. If the further testing specified by the method's procedure confirms that the test result can indeed be considered as being positive, the test result can be considered as a confirmed positive test result.

2.51

precision

measurement precision

closeness of agreement between indications or measured quantity values obtained by *replicate* (2.65) measurements on the same or similar objects under specified conditions

Note 1 to entry: Measurement precision is usually expressed numerically by measures of imprecision, such as standard deviation, variance, or coefficient of variation under the specified conditions of measurement.

Note 2 to entry: The 'specified conditions' can be, for example, *repeatability conditions* ($\underline{2.64}$) of measurement, intermediate precision conditions of measurement, or *reproducibility conditions* ($\underline{2.67}$) of measurement (see ISO 5725-3).

Note 3 to entry: Measurement precision is used to define measurement repeatability, intermediate measurement precision, and measurement reproducibility (2.66).

Note 4 to entry: Sometimes, 'measurement precision' is erroneously used to mean measurement accuracy.

2.52

primary production sample

sample (2.69) of animal faeces, or from the environment of animals or non-faecal samples from breeding flocks

2.53

probability of detection

POD

proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a *qualitative method* (2.56) for a given *matrix* (2.38) at a given *analyte* (2.6) level or concentration

processing

any action that substantially alters the initial product, including heating, smoking, curing, maturing, drying, marinating, extraction, extrusion, or a combination of those processes

Note 1 to entry: Processed products may contain ingredients that are necessary for their manufacture or to give them specific characteristics.

2.55

proprietary method

method with a trademark/brand name, which is owned and generally marketed by a commercial company

EXAMPLE Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods.

Note 1 to entry: Generally, some of the components of the method are undisclosed.

2.56

qualitative method

method of analysis whose response is that the *analyte* ($\underline{2.6}$) is either detected or not detected, either directly or indirectly in a specified *test portion* ($\underline{2.75}$)

2.57

quantitative method

method of analysis whose response is the amount [count (2.18) or mass] of the analyte (2.6) measured either directly (e.g. enumeration in a mass or a volume), or indirectly (e.g. colour absorbance, impedance, etc.) in a specified test portion (2.75)

2.58

reference material

RM

material or substance whose property values are sufficiently homogeneous and well established to be used for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method, or for assigning values to materials

[SOURCE: ISO Guide 30:1992, 2.1, modified]

2.59

reference method

internationally recognized and widely accepted method

Note 1 to entry: For the purpose of this part of ISO 16140, these are ISO standards and standards jointly published by ISO and CEN or other regional/national standards of equivalent standing.

2.60

reference value

quantity value used as a basis for comparison with values of quantities of the same kind

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

2.61

relative level of detection

RLOD

level of detection (2.35) at P = 0.50 (LOD₅₀) of the (proprietary) *alternative method* (2.4) divided by the level of detection at P = 0.50 (LOD₅₀) of the *reference method* (2.59)

Note 1 to entry: For the purposes of alternative-method acceptance, the derived RLOD is checked with the *acceptability limit* (2.1) for conformity.

relative trueness

RT

degree of correspondence between the response obtained by the *reference method* ($\underline{2.59}$) and the response obtained by the *alternative method* ($\underline{2.4}$) on identical *samples* ($\underline{2.69}$)

2.63

repeatability

measurement repeatability

r

measurement precision under a set of repeatability conditions (2.64) of measurement

[SOURCE: [CGM, 2012, modified]

2.64

repeatability conditions

repeatability condition of measurement

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes the same measurement procedure, same operators, same measuring system, same operating conditions and same location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over a short period of time

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

Note 1 to entry: A condition of measurement is a repeatability condition only with respect to a specified set of repeatability conditions.

Note 2 to entry: In chemistry, the term 'intra-serial precision condition of measurement' is sometimes used to designate this concept.

2.65

replicate

repeating the analysis from different portions of the same sample (2.69) to obtain an independent measurement

2.66

reproducibility

measurement reproducibility

R

measurement precision under reproducibility conditions (2.67) of measurement

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

Note 1 to entry: Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-1 and ISO 5725-2.

2.67

reproducibility conditions

reproducibility condition of measurement

condition of measurement, out of a set of conditions that includes different locations, operators, measuring systems, and *replicate* (2.65) measurements on the same or similar objects

Note 1 to entry: The different measuring systems may use different measurement procedures.

Note 2 to entry: A specification should give the conditions changed and unchanged (to a practical extent).

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

2.68

reproducibility standard deviation

standard deviation of test results (2.76) obtained under reproducibility conditions (2.67)

[SOURCE: ISO 5725-1:1994, 3.19]

ISO 16140-1:2016(E)

2.69

sample

food (2.27), feed (2.25), environmental, or primary production specified item (2.34) to be included in the *validation* (2.81) as per the intended use of the method

EXAMPLE Food *category* (2.11): heat processed milk and dairy products; food *type* (2.78): pasteurized dairy product; food *item* (2.34): milk-based desserts; sample: vanilla ice cream.

2.70

scope of validation

analytes (2.6), matrices, and concentrations for which a validated method of analysis can be used satisfactorily

2.71

sensitivity

SE

ability of the reference method (2.59) or alternative method (2.4) to detect the analyte (2.6)

2.72

specificity

SP

ability of the reference method (2.59) or alternative method (2.4) not to detect the analyte (2.6)

2.73

systematic error

systematic measurement error

component of measurement error that, in *replicate* (2.65) measurements, remains constant or varies in a predictable manner

Note 1 to entry: An assigned quantity value for a systematic measurement error is a quantity value, or a measured quantity value of a measurement standard of negligible measurement uncertainty, or a conventional quantity value.

2.74

target strain

strain, defined according to the scope of the *reference method* (2.59) that is expected to be detected or enumerated by the *alternative method* (2.4)

2.75

test portion

specified quantity of the *sample* (2.69) that is taken for analysis, e.g. 10 g, 25 g, 375 g of samples, or sponges for *environmental samples* (2.21), or boot socks for *primary production samples* (2.52)

2.76

test result

outcome of an analytical procedure or method

2.77

trueness

measurement trueness

closeness of agreement between the average of an infinite number of replicate (2.65) measured quantity values and a reference quantity value

Note 1 to entry: Measurement trueness is not a quantity and thus cannot be expressed numerically, but measures for closeness of agreement are given in ISO 5725 (all parts).

Note 2 to entry: Measurement trueness is inversely related to systematic measurement error, but is not related to random measurement error.

Note 3 to entry: Measurement accuracy should not be used for 'measurement trueness' and vice versa.

[SOURCE: JCGM, 2012, modified]

type

for a given *category* (2.11), a group of *items* (2.34) processed in a similar way, with similar intrinsic characteristics and a similar microbial ecology

EXAMPLE Food *category* (2.11): heat-processed milk and dairy products; food type: pasteurized dairy product.

2.79

unpaired study

unpaired/unmatched data

study when the qualitative reference method (2.59) and alternative method (2.4) have no common first enrichment step

Note 1 to entry: In this case, both the reference and alternative method use their own $test\ portion\ (2.75)$ from a $sample\ (2.69)$. These $test\ portions\ (2.75)$ originate from the same sample. The resulting data are called unpaired but are matched at the level of the sample. The results are still dependent upon each other as they originate from the same sample but due to the normal variation between test portions at a very low level of contamination, one test portion can be contaminated (and thus leads to a positive result) and the other test portion might not be contaminated (and thus does not lead to a positive result). The expected variation between results is, therefore, larger than for a $paired\ study\ (2.47)$.

2.80

unprocessed products

food (2.27) and *feedstuffs* (2.25) that have not undergone *processing* (2.54), and include products that have been divided, parted, severed, sliced, boned, etc.

EXAMPLE Unprocessed meat means meat that has not undergone any preserving process.

2.81

validation

establishment of the performance characteristics of a method and provision of objective evidence that the performance requirements for a specified intended use are fulfilled

2.82

validation sample

homogeneous naturally or artificially contaminated material with a known assigned value (2.7) used for a validation (2.81) study

Note 1 to entry: A validation sample can also be a known blank *sample* (2.69).

2.83

verification

demonstration that a validated method functions in the user's hands according to the method's specifications determined in the *validation* (2.81) study and is fit for its purpose

Note 1 to entry: Verification can also be applied to non-validated standardized reference methods (2.59).

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 3534-1:2006, Statistics Vocabulary and symbols Part 1: General statistical terms and terms used in probability
- [2] ISO 3534-2:2006, Statistics Vocabulary and symbols Part 2: Applied statistics
- [3] ISO 5725 (all parts), Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results
- [4] ISO 7218:2007, Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs General requirements and guidance for microbiological examinations
- [5] ISO 16140:2003, Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Protocol for the validation of alternative methods
- [6] ISO 17468, Microbiology of the food chain Technical requirements and guidance on establishment or revision of standard methods
- [7] ISO 17511:2003, In vitro diagnostic medical devices Measurement of quantities in biological samples Metrological traceability of values assigned to calibrators and control materials
- [8] ISO Guide 30:1992, Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials
- [9] ISO Guide 35:2006, Reference materials General and statistical principles for certification
- [10] Codex Alimentarius Commission, International Food Standard 2013, 21th Procedural Manual
- [11] Codex document: Guidelines on analytical terminology, CAC/GL 72-2009
- [12] JOINT COMMITTEE FOR GUIDES IN METROLOGY (JCGM). *International vocabulary of metrology Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*, 3rd edition (2008 version with minor corrections), 2012

