INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 6506-2

Third edition 2014-10-01

Metallic materials — Brinell hardness test —

Part 2:

Verification and calibration of testing machines

Matériaux métalliques — Essai de dureté Brinell — Partie 2: Vérification et étalonnage des machines d'essai





COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2014

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Coi	ontents	Page
Fore	reword	iv
1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	1
3	General conditions	1
4	Direct verification 4.1 General 4.2 Measurement of the test forces 4.3 Measurement of the properties of the indenter ball 4.4 Calibration of the indentation diameter measuring syst 4.5 Verification of the testing cycle	
5	Indirect verification	4
6	Intervals between verifications	
7	Verification report/calibration certificate	6
Ann	nex A (informative) Uncertainty of measurement of the verificatesting machine	
Ann	nex B (normative) Verification of hardness testing machines th specified force/time profile	
Bibli	oliography	15

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: Foreword - Supplementary information

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Hardness testing*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 6506-2:2005), which has been technically revised.

ISO 6506 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Metallic materials* — *Brinell hardness test*:

- Part 1: Test method
- Part 2: Verification and calibration of testing machines
- Part 3: Calibration of reference blocks
- Part 4: Table of hardness values

Metallic materials — Brinell hardness test —

Part 2:

Verification and calibration of testing machines

1 Scope

This part of ISO 6506 specifies methods of direct and indirect verification of testing machines used for determining Brinell hardness in accordance with ISO 6506-1, and also specifies when these two types of verification has to be performed.

The direct verification involves checking that individual machine performance parameters fall within specified limits whereas the indirect verification utilizes hardness measurements of reference blocks, calibrated in accordance with ISO 6506-3, to check the machine's overall performance.

If a testing machine is also to be used for other methods of hardness testing, it has to be verified independently for each method.

This part of ISO 6506 is applicable to both fixed location and portable hardness testing machines. For machines that are incapable of satisfying the specified force-time profile, the direct verification of force and testing cycle can be modified by the use of <u>Annex B</u>.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 376, Metallic materials — Calibration of force-proving instruments used for the verification of uniaxial testing machines

ISO 6506-1:2014, Metallic materials — Brinell hardness test — Part 1: Test method

ISO 6506-3, Metallic materials — Brinell hardness test — Part 3: Calibration of reference blocks

ISO 6507-1, Metallic materials — Vickers hardness test — Part 1: Test method

3 General conditions

Before a Brinell hardness testing machine is verified, the machine shall be checked to ensure that it is properly set up in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Especially, it should be checked that

- a) the mount holding the ball-holder slides correctly in its guide,
- b) every ball-holder with a ball used during the calibration is firmly held in the mount,
- c) the test force is applied and removed without shock, vibration, or overrun and in such a manner that the readings are not influenced, and
- d) if the indentation diameter measuring system is integrated into the machine,
 - the change from removing the test force to measuring mode does not influence the diameter measurements,

ISO 6506-2:2014(E)

- the illumination does not affect the diameter measurements, and
- the centre of the indentation is in the centre of the field of view, if necessary.

4 Direct verification

4.1 General

- **4.1.1** Direct verification should be carried out at a temperature of (23 ± 5) °C. If the verification is made outside this temperature range, this shall be reported in the verification report.
- **4.1.2** The instruments used for verification shall be traceable to the SI.
- **4.1.3** Direct verification involves
- a) measurement of the test forces,
- b) measurement of the diameter, hardness, and density of the indenter ball,
- c) calibration of the indentation diameter measuring system, and
- d) measurement of the testing cycle.

For each of the above items, direct verification also includes assessment of the results against the specified tolerances.

4.2 Measurement of the test forces

- **4.2.1** Each test force shall be measured within the working range of the testing machine. When the force is applied in open-loop mode by a lever or other system employing a mechanical advantage, this shall be done at no fewer than three positions of the indenter uniformly spaced throughout its range of movement during testing.
- **4.2.2** Three measurements shall be made for each force at each position of the indenter. Immediately before each measurement is taken, the indenter shall be moved in the same direction as during testing.
- **4.2.3** The force shall be measured by one of the following two methods:
- by means of a force-proving instrument in accordance with ISO 376 Class 1 or better;
- by balancing against a force, accurate to ± 0.2 %, applied by means of calibrated masses or another method with the same accuracy.
- **4.2.4** Each measurement of a force shall be within $\pm 1,0$ % of the nominal test force (see Formula A.2), as defined in ISO 6506-1.

4.3 Measurement of the properties of the indenter ball

- **4.3.1** The indenter consists of a ball and an indenter holder. The verification applies only to the ball.
- **4.3.2** For the purpose of verifying the size and the hardness of the balls, a minimum of two balls, selected at random from a batch, shall be tested. Each sampled ball shall be verified for size and hardness and then discarded.
- **4.3.3** The balls shall be polished and free from surface defects.

- **4.3.4** The user shall either measure the balls to ensure that they meet the following requirements or shall obtain balls from a supplier certifying that the following conditions are met.
- **4.3.4.1** The diameter shall be determined by taking the mean value of not less than three single values of diameter measured at different positions on the ball. No single value shall differ from the nominal diameter by more than the tolerance given in $\underline{\text{Table 1}}$.

Ball diameter	Tolerance
mm	mm
10	±0,005
5	±0,004
2,5	±0,003
1	+0.003

Table 1 — Tolerances for the different ball diameters

- **4.3.4.2** The characteristics of the tungsten carbide composite balls shall be as follows:
- a) Hardness: The hardness shall be not less than 1 500 HV, when determined in accordance with ISO 6507-1. The tungsten carbide composite ball can be tested directly on its spherical surface or by sectioning the ball and testing on the ball interior.
- b) Density: $\rho = (14.8 \pm 0.2) \text{ g/cm}^3$.

The density can be determined from the sampled balls, prior to hardness testing, or from the whole batch. The following chemical composition is recommended:

- tungsten carbide (WC): balance;
- total other carbides: 2,0 %;
- cobalt (Co): 5,0 % to 7,0 %.

4.4 Calibration of the indentation diameter measuring system

- **4.4.1** For systems where the indentation diameter is measured directly, the scale of the system shall be graduated to permit estimation of the diameter to within ± 0.5 %. The indentation diameter measuring system shall be calibrated for every objective lens, and for each incorporated line scale, in two perpendicular measurement axes (if applicable), by measurements made on a standard scale at a minimum of four intervals, arranged centrally in the field of view, for each working range. For each measurement, the magnitude of the difference between the measured and reference values shall not be greater than 0.5 % (see Formula A.7).
- **4.4.2** For systems where the indentation diameter is calculated from a measurement of projected area, the system shall be calibrated for every objective lens by measurements of a range of at least four standard circular reference images covering the range of areas measured. The maximum error shall not exceed $1\,\%$ of the area.
- **4.4.3** All systems shall also be verified by making measurements of certified reference indentations, such as those on hardness reference blocks calibrated in accordance with ISO 6506-3. For each ball size, at least four indentations, covering the working range of diameters, shall be measured by each objective lens. During these measurements, the type of illumination shall remain unchanged. No mean measured diameter shall differ from the certified mean diameter of the reference indentation by more than 0,5 %.

4.5 Verification of the testing cycle

- **4.5.1** The testing cycle is to be verified by the testing machine manufacturer at the time of manufacture and when the testing machine undergoes repair which might have affected the testing cycle. Verification of the testing cycle at other times is as specified in <u>Table 4</u>.
- **4.5.2** The testing cycle shall be verified to conform with the testing cycle defined in ISO 6506-1, taking into account any uncertainty associated with the timing measurements.

5 Indirect verification

5.1 Indirect verification should be carried out at a temperature of (23 ± 5) °C by means of reference blocks calibrated in accordance with ISO 6506-3. If the verification is made outside of this temperature range, this shall be reported in the verification report.

The test and bottom surfaces of the reference blocks and the surfaces of indenters shall not contain any additives or corrosion products.

5.2 The testing machine shall be verified for each test force and for each size of ball used. For each test force, at least two reference blocks shall be selected from the hardness ranges specified in <u>Table 2</u> (for $0.102 \times F/D^2 = 30$) and <u>Table 3</u> (for other force-diameter indices).

The two reference blocks shall be taken from different hardness ranges, if possible.

- **5.3** If this indirect verification is not the one immediately following a direct verification, the reference indentation on each reference block shall be measured, with the same type of illumination that was used during the machine's previous direct verification. For each indentation, the difference between the mean measured value and the certified mean diameter shall not exceed 0,5 %. If preferred, this check can instead be made on a similarly sized indentation in a separate reference block.
- **5.4** On each reference block, five indentations shall be uniformly distributed over the test surface and measured. The test shall be made in accordance with ISO 6506-1.
- **5.5** For each reference block, let d_1 , d_2 , d_3 , d_4 , and d_5 be the mean values of the measured diameters of the indentations, arranged in increasing order of magnitude, and

$$\overline{d} = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5}{5} \tag{1}$$

5.6 The repeatability, r, of the testing machine under the particular verification conditions is calculated as:

$$r = d_5 - d_1 \tag{2}$$

The repeatability, $r_{\rm rel}$, expressed as a percentage of \bar{d} , is calculated as:

$$r_{\rm rel} = 100 \times \frac{d_5 - d_1}{\overline{d}} \tag{3}$$

- **5.7** The repeatability of the testing machine is satisfactory when r_{rel} does not exceed the values specified in Table 2 or Table 3.
- **5.8** For each reference block, let H_1 , H_2 , H_3 , H_4 , and H_5 be the hardness values corresponding to the five indentations, with the mean hardness, \overline{H} , being given by

$$\bar{H} = \frac{H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4 + H_5}{5} \tag{4}$$

5.9 The error, *E*, of the testing machine under the particular verification conditions is calculated from the following formula:

$$E = \overline{H} - H_{C} \tag{5}$$

where

 H_{C} is the certified hardness of the reference block.

The relative error, E_{rel} , is calculated, as a percentage of H_C from the following formula:

$$E_{\rm rel} = 100 \times \frac{\bar{H} - H_{\rm C}}{H_{\rm C}} \tag{6}$$

The error of the testing machine, expressed as a percentage of the certified hardness of the reference block, shall not exceed the values given in <u>Table 2</u> and <u>Table 3</u>.

Table 2 — Repeatability and error of the testing machine for force-diameter index = 30

Hardness range	Hardness	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Permissible repeatability, $r_{\rm rel}$,} \\ \textbf{of the testing machine} \\ \% \end{array}$	
1	$H_{\rm C}$ < 250 HBW	3,0	±3,0
2	H _C from 250 HBW to 450 HBW	2,5	±2,5
3	<i>H</i> _C > 450 HBW	2,0	±2,0

Table 3 — Repeatability and error of the testing machine for other force-diameter indices

	$0.102 \times F/D^2 = 10$	$0.102 \times F/D^2 = 5$	$0,102 \times F/D^2 \leq 2,5$	Permissible	Permissible
Hardness range	Hardness	Hardness	Hardness	repeatability $r_{\rm rel}$ of the testing machine $\%$	error $E_{\rm rel}$ of the testing machine $\%$
1	<i>H</i> _C < 100 HBW	<i>H</i> _C < 70 HBW	<i>H</i> _C < 70 HBW	3,0	±3,0
2	H _C from 100 HBW to 200 HBW	H _C from 70 HBW to 100 HBW	N/A	3,0	±3,0
3	$H_{\rm C} > 200 \; {\rm HBW}$	$H_{\rm C} > 100 \; {\rm HBW}$	N/A	3,0	±3,0

5.10 The determination of the uncertainty of measurement of the calibration results of the hardness testing machine is given in $\underline{\mathsf{Annex}}\ \mathsf{A}$.

6 Intervals between verifications

The specifications for the direct verifications are given in Table 4.

Indirect verification shall be performed at least once every 12 months and after a direct verification has been performed.

Table 4 — Direct verifications of hardness testing machines

Requirements of verification	Force	Indentation diameter measuring system	Test cycle	Indenter ^a
before setting to work first time	X	X	X	X
after dismantling and reassembling, if force, indentation diameter measuring system or test cycle are affected	x	x	х	
failure of indirect verification ^b	X	X	X	
indirect verification > 13 months ago	X	X	X	

a In addition, it is recommended that the indenter be directly verified or replaced after two years of use.

7 Verification report/calibration certificate

The verification report/calibration certificate shall include the following information:

- a) reference to this part of ISO 6506 (i.e. ISO 6506-2);
- b) method of verification (direct and/or indirect);
- c) identification data for the hardness testing machine;
- d) means of verification (reference blocks, elastic proving devices, etc.);
- e) diameter of the ball indenter and test force;
- f) verification temperature;
- g) result obtained;
- h) date of verification and reference to the verification institution;
- i) uncertainty of the verification results.

b Direct verification of these parameters can be carried out sequentially (until the machine passes indirect verification) and is not required if it can be demonstrated (e.g. by tests with a replacement indenter) that the indenter was the cause of the failure.

Annex A

(informative)

Uncertainty of measurement of the verification results of the hardness testing machine

A.1 General

The metrological chain necessary to define and disseminate hardness scales is shown in ISO 6506-1:2014, Figure C.1.

Measurement uncertainty analysis is a useful tool to help determine sources of error and to understand differences between measured values. This annex gives guidance on uncertainty estimation but the values derived are for information only, unless specifically instructed otherwise by the customer.

The criteria specified in this part of ISO 6506 for the performance of the testing machine have been developed and refined over a significant period of time. When determining a specific tolerance that the machine needs to meet, the uncertainty associated with the use of measuring equipment and/or reference standards has been incorporated within this tolerance and it would therefore be inappropriate to make any further allowance for this uncertainty by, for example, reducing the tolerance by the measurement uncertainty. This applies to all measurements made when performing a direct or indirect verification of the machine. In each case, it is simply the measured value resulting from the use of the specified measuring equipment and/or reference standards that is used to assess whether or not the machine complies with this part of ISO 6506. However, there might be special circumstances where reducing the tolerance by the measurement uncertainty is appropriate. This should only be done with the agreement of all parties involved.

A.2 Direct verification of the hardness testing machine

A.2.1 Measurement of the test forces

The combined relative standard uncertainty of the test force calibration is calculated according to the following formula:

$$u_F = \sqrt{u_{FRS}^2 + u_{FHTM}^2} \tag{A.1}$$

where

 u_{FRS} is the relative standard uncertainty of measurement of the force transducer (from calibration certificate) for k = 1;

 u_{FHTM} is the relative standard uncertainty of the test force generated by the hardness testing machine.

The uncertainty of measurement of the reference instrument, force transducer, is indicated in the corresponding calibration certificate. The following influence quantities should be considered for critical applications:

- temperature dependence;
- long-term stability;

interpolation deviation.

Depending on the design of the force transducer, the rotational position of the transducer related to the indenter axis of the hardness testing machine should be considered.

EXAMPLE

Uncertainty of measurement of the force transducer (from calibration certificate): $U_{FRS} = 0.12 \% (k = 2)$

Nominal test force: $F_{RS} = 1839 \text{ N}$

Table A.1 — Results of the test force calibration

Number of height position for test force	Series 1	Series 2	Series 3	Mean value	Relative deviation	Relative standard measurement uncertainty
calibration	F_1	F_2	F_3	\overline{F}	$\Delta F_{ m rel}$	$u_{F{ m HTM}}$
	N	N	N	N	%	%
1	1 835,0	1 836,6	1 837,9	1 836,5	-0,14	0,10
2	1 834,3	1 835,7	1 837,5	1 835,8	-0,17	0,12
3	1 831,0	1 839,5	1 835,3	1 835,3	-0,20	0,31

where

$$\Delta F_{\rm rel} = 100 \times \frac{\overline{F} - F_{\rm RS}}{F_{\rm RS}} \tag{A.2}$$

$$u_{FHTM} = 100 \times \frac{s_{F,i}}{\overline{F}} \times t$$
 (for three readings, $t = 1,32$) (A.3)

where

*s*_{E,i} is the standard deviation of the test force indication values in the *i*-th height position.

In <u>Table A.2</u>, the maximum value of u_{FHTM} from <u>Table A.1</u> is used.

Table A.2 — Calculation of the uncertainty of measurement of the test force

Quantity	Estimated value	Relative limit values	Distribution type	Relative standard measurement uncertainty	Sensitivity coefficient	Relative uncertainty contribution
$X_{\rm i}$	x_{i}	a_{i}		$u(x_i)$	c_{i}	$u_{\rm rel}$
Force trans- ducer meas- urement	1 839 N		Normal	0,6 × 10 ⁻³	1	0,6 × 10 ⁻³
Generated test force	3,1 × 10 ⁻³					
Relative combined standard uncertainty, u_F						3,1 × 10 ⁻³
Relative expand	led uncertainty	of measurement	, $U_F(k=2)$			$6,2 \times 10^{-3}$

Table A.3 — Calculation of the maximum relative deviation of the test force including the uncertainty of measurement of the reference instrument

Relative deviation of test force	Expanded relative measurement uncertainty of test force	Max. relative deviation of test force including measurement uncertainty of reference instrument
$\Delta F_{ m rel}$	U_F	$\Delta F_{ ext{max}}$
%	%	%
-0,20	0,62	0,83

where

$$\Delta F_{\text{max}} = I \Delta F_{\text{rel}} I + U_F \tag{A.4}$$

The result of the example means that the deviation of the test force including the uncertainty of measurement of the reference instrument specified in 4.2, amounting to ± 1.0 % is complied with.

A.2.2 Calibration of the indentation diameter measuring system

The combined relative standard uncertainty of the indentation diameter measuring system is calculated as follows:

$$u_L = \sqrt{u_{LRS}^2 + u_{ms}^2 + u_{LHTM}^2} \tag{A.5}$$

where

 u_{LRS} is the relative uncertainty of measurement of object micrometer (reference standard)

from the calibration certificate for k = 1;

 u_{ms} is the relative uncertainty of measurement due to the resolution of the measuring sys-

tem;

 u_{LHTM} is the relative standard uncertainty of length measurement of the hardness testing

machine.

The uncertainty of measurement of the object micrometer (the reference standard for the indentation diameter measuring system) is indicated in its calibration certificate. The following influence quantities do not exert an essential influence on the uncertainty of measurement of the object micrometer:

- temperature dependence;
- long-term stability;
- interpolation deviation.

EXAMPLE

Uncertainty of measurement of the object micrometer: $U_{LRS} = 0,000 \text{ 5 mm} (k = 2)$

Resolution of the measuring system: $\delta_{ms} = 1.0 \ \mu m$

Table A.4 — Results of the calibration of the indentation diameter measuring system

Indication value of the object micrometer	Series 1	Series 2	Series 3	Mean value	Relative deviation	Relative standard measurement uncertainty
$L_{ m RS}$	L_1	L_2	L_3	\overline{L}	$\Delta L_{ m rel}$	$u_{L{ m HTM}}$
mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	%	%
1,000	1,002	1,003	1,001	1,002	0,20	0,13
2,000	2,001	2,003	2,001	2,002	0,08	0,08
3,000	3,002	3,002	3,001	3,002	0,06	0,03
4,000	4,001	4,003	4,002	4,002	0,05	0,03

where

$$u_{LHTM} = \frac{s_{L,i}}{\overline{L}} \times t$$
, (for three readings, $t = 1,32$) (A.6)

where

 $s_{L,i}$ is the standard deviation of the length indication values for the i-th indication value of the object micrometer.

$$\Delta L_{\rm rel} = \frac{\overline{L} - L_{\rm RS}}{L_{\rm RS}} \tag{A.7}$$

In <u>Table A.5</u>, the maximum value of u_{LHTM} from <u>Table A.4</u> is used, although these calculations should also be performed at every other reference length.

Table A.5 — Calculation of the uncertainty of measurement of the indentation diameter measuring system

Quantity	Estimated value	Limit value	Distribution type	Relative standard measurement uncertainty	Sensitivity coefficient	Relative uncertainty contribution
$X_{\rm i}$	Xi	a_{i}		$u(x_i)$	$c_{\rm i}$	u_{i}
Reference standard length	1,0 mm		Normal	2,5 × 10 ⁻⁴	1	2,5 × 10 ⁻⁴
Measurement system resolution		±1,0 × 10 ⁻³	Rectangular	2,9 × 10 ⁻⁴	1	2,9 × 10 ⁻⁴
Measurement system 1,0 mm Normal $1,3 \times 10^{-3}$ 1 calibration						1,3 × 10 ⁻³
Relative combin	0,14					
Relative expand	ded uncertainty	of measureme	ent, U_L ($k = 2$), %			0,27

Table A.6 — Calculation of the maximum relative deviation of the indentation diameter measuring system including the uncertainty of measurement of the length reference instrument

Test length	Relative deviation of the indentation diameter measuring system	Expanded relative uncertainty of measure- ment	Maximum relative deviation of indentation diameter measuring system including measurement uncertainty of length reference instrument
$L_{ m RS}$	$\Delta L_{ m rel}$	U_L	$\Delta L_{ m max}$
	%	%	%
1,0 mm	0,20	0,27	0,47

where

$$\Delta L_{\text{max}} = I\Delta L_{\text{rel}} I + U_L \tag{A.8}$$

The result of the example means that the deviation of the indentation diameter measuring system including the uncertainty of measurement of the length reference instrument specified in $\underline{4.4}$ amounting to ± 0.5 %, is complied with.

A.2.3 Measurement of the indenter ball

The indenter, consisting of indenter tip (ball) and holder, cannot be verified *in situ*. The geometrical characteristics, physical properties, and chemical composition of the indenter ball (see 4.3) should be confirmed by a valid calibration certificate or test report of an accredited calibration or testing laboratory.

A.2.4 Measurement of the testing cycle

Verification of the testing cycle and associated uncertainty considerations are detailed in 4.5. An estimation of the uncertainty of measurement is therefore not necessary.

A.3 Indirect verification of the hardness testing machine

NOTE In this annex, the index "CRM (Certified Reference Material)" means, according to the definitions of the hardness testing standards, "Hardness Reference Block".

By indirect verification with hardness reference blocks, the overall function of the hardness testing machine is checked, and the repeatability and also deviation of the hardness testing machine from the certified hardness value are determined.

ISO 6506-2:2014(E)

The uncertainty of measurement of the indirect verification of the hardness testing machine follows from the formula:

$$u_{\rm HTM} = \sqrt{u_{\rm CRM}^2 + u_{\rm CRM-D}^2 + u_{\rm H}^2 + u_{\rm ms}^2} \tag{A.9}$$

where

 u_{CRM} is the calibration uncertainty of the hardness reference block according to the calibra-

tion certificate for k = 1;

 $u_{\mathsf{CRM-}D}$ is the hardness change of the hardness reference block since its last calibration due to

drift (negligible for use of the hardness reference block complying with the standard);

 $u_{\rm H}$ is the standard uncertainty of hardness testing machine when measuring CRM;

 $u_{\rm ms}$ is the standard uncertainty due to the resolution of the hardness testing machine.

EXAMPLE

Hardness reference block: $H_{CRM} = 100,0 \text{ HBW } 2,5/187,5$

Uncertainty of measurement of the hardness reference block: $U_{CRM} = 1.0 \text{ HBW } 2.5/187.5 \text{ } (k = 2)$

Resolution of the hardness testing machine: $\delta_{ms} = 0.5 \ \mu m$

Table A.7 — Results of the indirect verification

Number	Measured indentation diameter	Calculated hardness value
	d	Н
	mm	HBW
1	1,462 _{min}	101,1 _{max}
2	1,469	100,1
3	1,472 _{max}	99,6 _{min}
4	1,471	99,8
5	1,468	100,3
Mean value \overline{H}	1,468 4	100,2
Standard deviation $s_{ m H}$		0,60

$$\overline{b} = \overline{H} - H_{\text{CRM}}$$
 $\overline{b} = 100, 2 - 100, 0 = 0, 2 \text{ HBW}$
(A.10)

$$u_{\rm H} = \frac{t \times s_{\rm H}}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{A.11}$$

For t = 1,14, n = 5, and $s_{\rm H} = 0,60$ HBW follows:

 $u_{\rm H} = 0.31~{\rm HBW}$

A.4 Budget of uncertainty of measurement

Table A.8 — Budget of uncertainty of measurement

Quantity	Estimated value	Standard uncertainty of measurement	Distribution type	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty contribution	
$X_{\rm i}$	Xi	$u(x_i)$		c_{i}	$u_{\rm i}(H)$	
$u_{\rm CRM}$	100,0 HBW	0,50 HBW	Normal	1,0	0,50 HBW	
u_{H}	0 HBW	0,31 HBW	Normal	1,0	0,31 HBW	
$u_{ m ms}$	0 HBW	0,000 14 mm	Rectangular	-152,2 HBW/mm (see Note)	0,02 HBW	
u _{CRM-D}	0 HBW	0 HBW	Triangular	1,0	0 HBW	
Combined uncerta	0,59 HBW					
Expanded uncerta	1,17 HBW					
HBW: Brinell hardness						

NOTE The sensitivity coefficient follows from:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial d} = -\frac{H}{d} \cdot \frac{D + \sqrt{D^2 - d^2}}{\sqrt{D^2 - d^2}} \tag{A.12}$$

for H = 100,0 HBW, D = 2,5 mm, d = 1,469 mm.

Table A.9 — Maximum deviation of the hardness testing machine including the uncertainty of measurement

Measured hardness on the hardness testing machine	Expanded uncertainty of measurement	Deviation of the testing machine when calibrating with the reference block	Maximum deviation of the testing machine including uncertainty of measurement
Н	$U_{ m HTM}$	$\left \overline{b} \right $	$\Delta H_{ m HTMmax}$
	HBW	HBW	HBW
100,2 HBW 2,5/187,5	1,2	0,2	1,4

where

$$\Delta H_{\text{HTMmax}} = U_{\text{HTM}} + \left| \overline{b} \right| = 1.2 + 0.2 = 1.4 \text{ HBW}$$
(A.13)

Annex B

(normative)

Verification of hardness testing machines that are incapable of meeting the specified force/time profile

B.1 Procedure

For machines that, due to their design, are incapable of meeting the specified force/time profile, it is permissible to limit the direct verification to only the indentation measurement system and the indenter ball characteristics. The machine shall be verified indirectly on an annual basis and any hardness test results from machines thus verified shall use the designation HBW/P rather than HBW.

The indirect verification shall be carried out in accordance with $\underline{\text{Clause 5}}$, the only exception being that the time loading profile specified in ISO 6506-1, and referred to in $\underline{5.4}$, does not have to be followed. If the design of the machine is such that, in order to achieve optimal results, more than one force application is required at a given indentation site, the specified number of force applications shall be performed and this number recorded in the verification report. ISO 6506-1 test results obtained using such a machine shall only be valid if this specified number of force applications has been performed.

Bibliography

- [1] SAWLA A. *Uncertainty of measurement in the verification and calibration of the force measuring systems of testing machines*, Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific symposium on measurement of force, mass and torque (APMF) Tsukuba, Japan November 2000
- [2] WEHRSTEDT A., & PATKOVSZKY I. News in the field of standardization about verification and calibration of materials testing machines, May 2001. EMPA Academy, 2001
- [3] GABAUER W. Manual codes of practice for the determination of uncertainties in mechanical tests on metallic materials, The estimation of uncertainties in hardness measurements, Project No. SMT4-CT97-2165, UNCERT COP 14: 2000
- [4] POLZIN T., & SCHWENK D. Method for Uncertainty Determination of Hardness Testing; PC File for Determination, *Material prüfung* **44** (2002) 3, pp. 64 71
- [5] JCGM 100. (GUM 1995 with minor corrections), Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement. BIPM/IEC/IFCC/ILAC/ISO/IUPAC/IUPAP/OIML, 2008 [2.33]

