



Edition 1.0 2016-01

# **TECHNICAL** REPORT



Fibre optic communication system design guides -Part 14: Determination of the uncertainties of attenuation measurements in fibre plants





#### THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED Copyright © 2016 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

rved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form ans, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC ave an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or member National Committee for further information.

**IEC Central Office** Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11 3, rue de Varembé Fax: +41 22 919 03 00 CH-1211 Geneva 20 info@iec.ch Switzerland www.iec.ch

#### About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

#### About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

#### IEC Catalogue - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

The stand-alone application for consulting the entire bibliographical information on IEC International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and other documents. Available for PC, Mac OS, Android Tablets and iPad.

#### IEC publications search - www.iec.ch/searchpub

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and also once a month by email.

#### Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing 20 000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in 15 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

#### IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

65 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

#### IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: csc@iec.ch.





Edition 1.0 2016-01

# TECHNICAL REPORT



Fibre optic communication system design guides –

Part 14: Determination of the uncertainties of attenuation measurements in fibre plants

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 33.180.01

ISBN 978-2-8322-3111-1

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

#### CONTENTS

FOREWO	FOREWORD						
INTROD	INTRODUCTION						
1 Sco	1 Scope						
2 Norr	native references	8					
3 Tern	ns, definitions and abbreviations	8					
3.1	Terms and definitions	8					
3.2	Abbreviations	.10					
4 Ove	rview of uncertainty	.10					
4.1	What is uncertainty?	.10					
4.2	Origin of uncertainties	.10					
4.3	What may not be considered as uncertainty?	.10					
5 Fibro	e cabling attenuation measurement	.11					
5.1	Measurement methods	.11					
5.2	Sources of uncertainty to be considered	.11					
5.2.1	1 Analysis	.11					
5.2.2	2 Uncertainties due to the environment	.14					
5.2.3	3 Uncertainties due to operator skills	.14					
5.2.4	4 Uncertainties due to measurement methods	.14					
5.2.5	5 Uncertainties due to measuring instruments	.14					
5.2.6	6 Uncertainties due to the setup	.16					
5.2.7	7 Uncertainties due to cabling	.16					
6 Unc	ertainties estimation	.17					
6.1	Measurement model	.17					
6.2	Accumulation of uncertainties	.18					
7 Gen	eral representation of the equation using sensitivity coefficients	.19					
8 Calo	ulation	22					
8.1	Combined standard uncertainty	.22					
8.2	Expanded uncertainty	.22					
8.3	Determination of the coverage factor k	.22					
8.3.	1 General approach	.22					
8.3.2	2 Discussion	.22					
8.3.3	3 Typical values of degree of freedom	.23					
Annex A	(normative) Mathematical basis	.24					
A.1	General	.24					
A.2	Type A evaluation of uncertainty	.24					
A.3	Type B evaluation of uncertainty	.24					
A.4	Determining the combined standard uncertainty	.25					
A.5	Reporting	.26					
Annex B	(informative) Measurement methods	.27					
B.1	Measurement methods as per IEC 61280-4-1 and 61280-4-2	.27					
B.1.	1 General	.27					
B.1.	2 Measurement configuration	.27					
B.1.	3 One-cord reference configuration	.27					
B.1.4	4 Two-cord reference configuration	.28					
B.1.	5 Three-cord reference configuration	.28					

B.2	Measurement methods as per ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014	28				
B.2.1	General	28				
B.2.2	Channels	29				
B.2.3	Links	30				
Annex C (	normative) Uncertainties evaluation	31				
C.1	Type A uncertainties	31				
C.1.1	General	31				
C.1.2	Evaluation of optical source instability and associated uncertainties	31				
C.2	Type B uncertainties	31				
C.2.1	General	31				
C.2.2	Evaluation of the power meter noise	31				
C.2.3	Elements to be considered for power meter stability analysis	32				
C.2.4	Evaluation of the centre wavelength dependence	32				
C.2.5	Spectral width dependence	34				
C.2.6	Evaluation of the uncertainties due to MM launch conditions	34				
C.2.7	Evaluation of the PDL	35				
C.2.8	Uncertainty of absolute power measurement	36				
Annex D (	normative) Typical values of uncertainties	37				
Annex E (	informative) Linear to dB scale conversion of uncertainties	38				
E.1	Definition of decibel	38				
E.2	Conversion of relative uncertainties	38				
Bibliograp	hy	39				
Figure 1 -	Fish bone analysis	13				
Figure 2 -	Measurement model	17				
Figure B.1	- Measurement configuration	27				
Figure B.2	2 – One-cord reference measurement	27				
Figure B.3	B – Two-cord reference measurement	28				
Figure B.4	- Three-cord reference measurement	28				
Figure B.5	– Measurement on channel	29				
Figure B 6	6 – Channel reference measurement	29				
Figure B 7	/ _ Link measurement configuration	30				
Figure D.7		20				
		30				
Figure C.1	– Typical spectral response of a fibre	33				
Figure C.2	2 – Uncertainties due to the launch conditions for a given loss	35				
Table 1 –	Source of uncertainty (raw list)	11				
Table 2 –	Uncertainties due to measuring instruments	15				
Table 3 –	Uncertainties due to the setup	16				
Table 4 –	Uncertainties due to cabling	16				
Table 5 –	Sensitivity coefficients for IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280-4-2 methods	20				
Table 6 –	Table 6 – Sensitivity coefficients for ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014 methods					
Table 7 – Values of $k_{05}$ for different values of $v$ 23						
Table 8 – Typical values of $y_0$						
	Typical values of $v_i$	23				
Table C.1	- Spectral attenuation coefficients	33				

- 4 - IEC TR 61282-14:2016 © IEC 2016

Table C.2 – Sensitivity coefficients	33
Table D.1 – Typical values of uncertainties	37

#### INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

#### FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM DESIGN GUIDES -

## Part 14: Determination of the uncertainties of attenuation measurements in fibre plants

#### FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC 61282-14, which is a technical report, has been prepared by subcommittee 86C: Fibre optic systems and active devices, of IEC technical committee 86: Fibre optics.

This publication contains an attached file titled, "Supplemental Data for Section 8", in the form of an Excel spread sheet. This file is intended to be used as a complement and does not form an integral part of the standard.

The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting				
86C/1339/DTR	86C/1351/RVC				

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

A list of all parts in the IEC 61282 series, published under the general title *Fibre-optic communication system design guides*, can be found on the IEC website.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

#### IEC TR 61282-14:2016 © IEC 2016 - 7 -

#### INTRODUCTION

The determination of the uncertainty of every measurement is a key activity, which should be performed by applying dedicated methods as extensively presented in reference documents such as ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, Guide to the uncertainty of measurement (GUM).

This Technical Report shows a practical application of these methods for the determination of the measurement uncertainty of the attenuation of fibre optic cabling using optical light sources and power meters as defined in IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280-4-2.

It includes the review of all contributing factors to uncertainty (such as launch conditions, spectral width, stability of source, power meter polarization, resolution, linearity, quality of test cord reference connectors, etc.) to determine the overall measurement uncertainty. The Technical Report applies to the measurement of single mode or multimode fibres without restrictions to the fibre parameters, including mode field diameter, core diameter and numerical aperture. However, numerical values given in Clause C.2 and typical values given in Annex D are not valid for multimode fibres types A2, A3 and A4.

The list of uncertainties presented in this Technical Report is related to this particular application and should be reconsidered if measurement conditions are not compliant to measurement requirements defined by IEC 61280-4-1 and 61280-4-2.

The reference document for general uncertainty calculations is ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, and this report does not intend to replace it; it only represents an example and should be used in conjunction with ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008. A brief introduction to the determination of measurement uncertainty according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 is given in Annex A.

This Technical Report is associated with a calculation spreadsheet (Excel) containing practical calculations.

#### FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SYSTEM DESIGN GUIDES -

## Part 14: Determination of the uncertainties of attenuation measurements in fibre plants

#### 1 Scope

This part of IEC 61282, which is a Technical Report, establishes the detailed analysis and calculation of the uncertainties related to the measurement of the attenuation of both multimode and single mode optical fibre cabling using optical light sources and power meters.

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61280-4-1:2009, Fibre-optic communication subsystem test procedures – Part 4-1: Installed cable plant – Multimode attenuation measurement

IEC 61280-4-2:2014, Fibre-optic communication subsystem test procedures – Part 4-2: Installed cable plant – Single-mode attenuation and optical return loss measurement

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3 Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)

#### 3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations

#### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

#### 3.1.1

### attenuation

reduction of optical power induced by transmission through a medium such as cabling, given as L (dB)

$$L_{dB} = 10 \log_{10}(P_{in}/P_{out})$$

where P<sub>in</sub> and P<sub>out</sub> are the power, typically measured in mW, into and out of the cabling

#### 3.1.2

#### calibration

set of operations that establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between the values of quantities indicated by a measuring instrument and the corresponding values realized by standards

#### 3.1.3

#### encircled flux

EF

fraction of the radial-weighted cumulative near field power to the total radial-weighted output power as a function of radial distance from the optical centre of the core

#### 3.1.4

#### measurement repeatability

measurement precision under a set of repeatability conditions of measurement

#### 3.1.5

#### measurement reproducibility

reproducibility measurement precision under reproducibility conditions of measurement

### 3.1.6 polarization dependent loss

#### PDL

maximum variation of insertion loss due to a variation of the state of polarization (SOP) over all the SOPs

#### 3.1.7

#### nonlinearity

NL

for a power meter, the relative difference between the *response* at a given power P and the response at a reference power  $P_0$ :

$$nl_{P/P_0} = \frac{r(P)}{r(P_0)} - 1$$

Note 1 to entry: The nonlinearity is equal to zero at the reference power.

#### 3.1.8

#### uncertainty of measurement

quantified doubt about the result of a measurement

#### 3.1.9

#### stability

ability of a measuring instrument to keep its performance characteristics within a specified range during a specified time interval, all other conditions being the same

#### 3.1.10

#### repeatability condition

condition of measurement that includes the same measurement procedure, same operators, same measuring system, same operating conditions and same location, and replicates measurements on the same or similar objects over a short period of time

#### 3.1.11

#### reproducibility condition

condition of measurement that includes different locations, operators, measuring systems, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects

#### 3.1.12

#### standard uncertainty

u

uncertainty of a measurement result expressed as a standard deviation

Note 1 to entry: For further information, see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3.

#### 3.1.13

#### uncertainty type A

type of uncertainty obtained by a statistical analysis of a series of observations, such as when evaluating certain random effects of measurement

Note 1 to entry: See Annex A and ISO/IEC Guide 98-3.

#### 3.1.14

#### uncertainty type B

type of uncertainty obtained by means other than a statistical analysis of observations, for example an estimation of probable sources of uncertainty, such as when evaluating systematic effects of measurement

Note 1 to entry: See Annex A and ISO/IEC Guide 98-3.

#### 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following acronyms apply.

- APC angled physical contact (description of connector style)
- CW continuous wave
- LSPM light source power meter
- OPM optical power meter
- PC physical contact (description of connector style that is not angled)

#### 4 Overview of uncertainty

#### 4.1 What is uncertainty?

According to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM), the uncertainty of a measurement is the quantified doubt that exists about the result of any measurement. For every measurement, even the most careful, there is always a margin of doubt.

For example, when measuring the attenuation of fibre optic cabling, the operator may observe a variation of the displayed power level on the power meter and be unable to know which value should be recorded. This variation of the displayed value is an element of doubt regarding the result of the measurement.

#### 4.2 Origin of uncertainties

Uncertainties come from: measurement devices, the item to be measured, the measurement process, operator skills, references used, and the environment.

#### 4.3 What may not be considered as uncertainty?

Unknown parameters that contribute directly or indirectly to the quantity to be measured cannot be considered as uncertainties. For example, when measuring a cabling, mode field diameter or numerical aperture of different fibres of cabling are unknown; however, mismatch of these parameters cause the measured attenuation.

Also, poor knowledge of measurement conditions generates uncertainties but is not directly an uncertainty. A common example is the wavelength of the optical source: If the wavelength of the source is known with an uncertainty smaller than 1 nm, the measurement condition can be specified precisely. Conversely, if the wavelength of the source is known to be within a range of 40 nm, the possible variation of the attenuation of the device under test should be estimated based on the typical variation of attenuation over the wavelength range for a given length of fibre.

#### 5 Fibre cabling attenuation measurement

#### 5.1 Measurement methods

Three attenuation measurement methods use an optical light source and power meter (LSPM) to measure input and output power levels of the cabling under test to determine the attenuation. These measurement methods are designated respectively, one-cord, three-cord and two-cord reference method.

The main functional difference between these methods is the way the input power level, known as the reference power level ( $P_{in}$ ), is measured (see Annex B).

Refer to IEC 61280-4-1 and 61280-4-2 for more details.

NOTE Measurement methods presented in ISO/IEC 14763-3 have different names and are slightly different. See Clause B.2.

#### 5.2 Sources of uncertainty to be considered

#### 5.2.1 Analysis

An extensive analysis of the source of uncertainties to be considered has been conducted. This resulted in the sorted source of uncertainty given in Table 1.

Source of uncertainty	Type of origin	Index
Measurement source instability (power deviation over time)	Measurement devices	01
Source wavelength	Measurement devices	02
Source spectrum (spectral width)	Measurement devices	03
Laser speckle	Measurement devices	04
Launch condition for multimode fibres (dependent upon the compliance or noncompliance with the EF template and a function of the attenuation of the measured cabling)	Measurement devices	05
Power meter non linearity	Measurement devices	06
Power meter reading resolution	Measurement devices	07
Power meter spatial uniformity	References used	08
Power meter polarization sensitivity	Measurement devices	09
Power meter noise	Measurement devices	10
Power meter stability	Measurement devices	11
Power meter calibration	References used	12
Reference connector / fibre attenuation uncertainty	References used	13
Connector mating repeatability (Reference or cabling)	References used/ item to be measured	14
Connector PDL	References used / item to be measured	15
Reflections (FP cavity)	References used / item to be measured	16
Connector end face cleanliness	Operator skills	17
Fibre handling	Operator skills	18
Calculation errors	Measurement process	19
Numerical aperture of the fibre	Item to be measured	20
Core diameter of the fibre or mode filed diameter	Item to be measured	21
Fibre non linearity	Item to be measured	22
Temperature	Environment	23
Humidity	Environment	24

#### Table 1 – Source of uncertainty (raw list)

Some of the uncertainties listed in Table 1 are negligible or need to be grouped together to be estimated; however, some of them apply to different domains. Figure 1 presents an organised list of these uncertainties.



Figure 1 – Fish bone analysis

#### 5.2.2 Uncertainties due to the environment

It is assumed that environmental parameters (temperature and humidity) generate negligible variations of the attenuation of the fibre and that fibre environmental conditions are reported as measurement conditions.

Temperature and humidity can generate source and power meter instability. This instability shall be reported in 5.2.5 (see also C.1.2).

NOTE This corresponds to uncertainties reported as index 23 and 24.

#### 5.2.3 Uncertainties due to operator skills

It is assumed that operators follow approved procedures for connector end face inspection and cleaning, so the connector attenuation is as expected.

It is also assumed that operator skills do not create additional variations to those included with connector mating repeatability.

NOTE This corresponds to uncertainties reported as index 17 and 18.

#### 5.2.4 Uncertainties due to measurement methods

Measurement methods do not affect the uncertainties directly, as different numbers of connectors are used depending on the method used. The accumulation of uncertainties takes into account the correct amount of uncertainties related to the connectors.

Calculation errors due to truncation of results may exist in this type of measurement, especially if measurements are controlled by an external computer. However, most of the time, users simply calculate the attenuation by an embedded dBr (decibel relative) function that can be assumed to have no more error than the rounding error of the optical power meter (see 5.2.5).

NOTE This corresponds to uncertainty reported as index 19.

#### 5.2.5 Uncertainties due to measuring instruments

Table 2 provides a list of the uncertainties to be taken into account for the measurement devices group.

Reference / Symbol	Index	Description	Concerned element	Apply to P <sub>in</sub>	Apply to P <sub>out</sub>	Other condition
5.2.5.1 <i>u<sub>Pstab</sub></i>	01	Relative uncertainty arising from the instability of the optical source and any other instabilities (assumed to include instability due to multiple reflections)	Source	Yes	Yes	
5.2.5.2 u <sub>λs</sub>	02	Relative attenuation uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the optical source wavelength. See C.2.4 and C.2.5	Source	No	Yes	lf unknown
5.2.5.3 UMMLC	05	Relative uncertainty due to the multimode launch condition;	Source and cabling	No	Yes	ММ
		See C.2.6				
5.2.5.4 <sup>u</sup> Lin	06	Relative uncertainty arising from the non-linearity of the power meter. This contribution will only be considered when using the same power meter for the measurement of $P_{in}$ and of $P_{out}$ .	Power meter	No	Yes	One power meter
5.2.5.5 <sup><i>u</i></sup> Displ <sub>i</sub>	07	Relative uncertainty arising from the finite display resolution of power meter <i>i</i>	Power meter	Yes	Yes	
5.2.5.6 <i>u</i> <sub>Pspace</sub>	08	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter spatial uniformity. Only significant for single mode measurement and when using a different type of connector for $P_{in}$ and of $P_{out}$ and using the same power meter for the measurement of $P_{in}$ and $P_{out}$ .	Power meter	Yes	Yes	If APC and PC connectors are used. One power meter
5.2.5.7 <sup>u</sup> PDR <sub>i</sub>	09	Relative uncertainty arising from the polarization dependency of power meter <i>i</i> (see C.2.7)	Power meter	Yes	Yes	SM
5.2.5.8 u <sub>Pnoise</sub>	10	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter noise Becomes negligible if power level remains 30 dB over the power meter noise level (see C.2.2)	Power meter	Yes	Yes	
5.2.5.9 <sup><i>u</i></sup> PM <sub>stabin</sub> <sup><i>u</i></sup> PM <sub>stabout</sub>	11	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter instability (see C.2.3)	Power meter	Yes	Yes	
5.2.5.10 <sup>U</sup> abs <sub>Pin</sub> <sup>U</sup> abs <sub>Pout</sub>	12	Relative uncertainties of the absolute power measurements of $P_{in}$ and of $P_{out}$ . These uncertainties need to be considered only when performing measurements of $P_{in}$ and $P_{out}$ using two different power meters. The use of two different power meters is not recommended (see also C.2.8)	Power meter	Yes	Yes	If two different power meters are used

Table 2 – Uncertainties due to measuring instruments

When measuring fibre optic cabling, and assuming the spectrum of the sources used is symmetrical, the variation of the spectral width does not cause variation of the attenuation of the cabling. Hence, uncertainties due to the spectral width are assumed to be negligible.

NOTE This corresponds to uncertainty reported as index 03.

The speckle due to a laser source used to measure a multimode cabling may affect the stability of the power meter measurements. However, this would occur only if the power meter

detector is not spatially uniform; hence uncertainties due to laser source speckle are assumed to be negligible.

NOTE This corresponds to uncertainty reported as index 04.

#### 5.2.6 Uncertainties due to the setup

Table 3 provides a list of the uncertainties to be taken into account for the setup group.

Reference / Symbol	Index	Description	Concerned element	Apply to P <sub>in</sub>	Apply to P <sub>out</sub>	Other condition
5.2.6.1	13	Mating reproducibility (setup)	Ref cords	Yes	No	
$u_{\mathrm{Mreprod}}$						
5.2.6.2 u <sub>Mating</sub>	14	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating	Ref cords	Yes	No	Dependent upon the method used
5.2.6.3 u <sub>CPDL</sub>	15	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the reference APC connectors	Ref cords	Yes	Yes	SM and APC

Table 3 – Uncertainties due to the setup

Mismatch of reference cord fibre parameters like core diameter (or mode field diameter) and numerical aperture may generate variation of the connector attenuation. Uncertainty due to reference cord fibre parameters is assumed to be included in the relative uncertainty of the attenuation of the reference connectors.

NOTE 1 This corresponds to uncertainties reported as index 20 and 21.

Reflections may exist between the optical input port of the power meter and the cabling connector. Multiple reflections may exist in all optical connectors causing variation of the source and/or higher loss. Uncertainty due to multiple reflections is assumed to be included in the relative stability of the source and in the attenuation of the reference connectors.

NOTE 2 This corresponds to uncertainty reported as index 16.

#### 5.2.7 Uncertainties due to cabling

Table 4 provides the list of the uncertainties to be taken into account for the cabling group.

Reference	Index	Description	Concerned element	Apply to P <sub>in</sub>	Apply to P <sub>out</sub>	Other condition
5.2.7.1 u <sub>Mreproduc</sub>	13	Mating reproducibility (cabling)	Ref cords	No	Yes	
5.2.7.2 u <sub>Mating</sub>	14	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating to cabling connectors	Cabling	No	Yes	Quantity of connectors depends on method used.
5.2.7.3 u <sub>CPDL</sub>	15	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the cabling APC connectors	Cabling	No	Yes	SM and APC

Table 4 – Uncertainties due to cabling

Mismatch of reference cord fibre parameters such as core diameter (or mode field diameter) and numerical aperture may generate variation of the connector attenuation. Uncertainty due

to reference cord fibre parameters is assumed to be included in the relative uncertainty of the attenuation of the reference connectors.

NOTE 1 This corresponds to uncertainties reported as index 20 and 21.

Fibre cabling non-linearities such as Raman scattering or Brillouin scattering should be considered if a high power source is used. However, when using common sources having a maximum output power lower than 1 mW (0 dBm), fibre cabling non linearity is negligible.

NOTE 2 This corresponds to uncertainty reported as index 22.

#### **6** Uncertainties estimation

#### 6.1 Measurement model

The attenuation L is expressed as the ratio of the input power to the output power level of the cabling under test as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 – Measurement model

$$L_{dB} = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(P_{in} / P_{out}) \tag{1}$$

where

P<sub>in</sub> is the input power

Pout is the output power

$$L = P_{\rm in} / P_{\rm out} \tag{2}$$

The relative uncertainty of the power ratio is calculated according to Formula 13 of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 as follows:

$$u_L^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_i}^2 + 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial P_j} \cdot u(P_i, P_j)$$
(3)

where

 $u_{Pi}$  are the uncertainties related to the measurements of power levels, and

 $P_i$ , and  $u(P_i, P_i)$  are the covariance.

For the purposes of this Technical Report, it is supposed that uncertainties that may be correlated, like the stability of the source and the effect of multiple reflections, were grouped together.

This does not apply to  $P_{in}$  and  $P_{out}$  when read from a single power meter. To avoid analysis of the covariance of these two strongly correlated readings, the following measurement model is used:

$$P_{\text{in}} = k_{\text{c}} P_{\text{in-read}}$$

$$P_{\text{out}} = k_{\text{c}} k_{\text{lin}} P_{\text{out-read}}$$
(4)

- where
- $k_{\rm c}$  is the power meter calibration factor, and
- *k*<sub>lin</sub> is the deviation created on *P*<sub>out</sub> by the non-linearity.

Applying this model to the attenuation measurement L shows that the calibration factor should not be taken into account, while the non-linearity shall be considered for  $P_{out}$  only.

$$L_{\rm in} = \frac{k_{\rm c} P_{\rm in-read}}{k_{\rm c} k_{\rm lin} P_{\rm out-read}} = \frac{P_{\rm in-read}}{k_{\rm lin} P_{\rm out-read}}$$
(5)

Therefore, Equation (3) yields the following simplified equation:

$$u_L^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_i^2}^2$$
(6)

By calculating the partial derivatives using the previous equation, one gets:

$$u_L^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_i}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_i^2} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_{\text{in}}}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{in}}^2} + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_{\text{out}}}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{out}}^2}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{P_{\text{out}}}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{in}}^2}^2 + \left(\frac{-P_{\text{in}}}{P_{\text{out}}^2}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{out}}^2}^2$$
(7)

It is common to express the uncertainties  $u_{P_{in}}$  and  $u_{P_{out}}$  in a relative form, namely:

$$u_{n_{P_{in}}} = u_{P_{in}} / P_{in}$$
 and  $u_{n_{P_{out}}} = u_{P_{out}} / P_{out}$ 

This can be achieved by dividing Equation (7) by  $L^2$ , namely:

$$\left(\frac{u_L}{L}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{in}}}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{P_{\text{out}}}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{in}}}^2 + \left(\frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{in}}}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{-P_{\text{in}}}{P_{\text{out}}^2}\right)^2 \cdot u_{P_{\text{out}}}^2 = \left(\frac{u_{P_{\text{in}}}}{P_{\text{in}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{P_{\text{out}}}}{P_{\text{out}}}\right)^2 \tag{8}$$

This can be finally written as:

$$\left(\frac{u_L}{L}\right)^2 = u_n \frac{2}{P_{\text{in}}} + u_n \frac{2}{P_{\text{out}}}$$
(9)

#### 6.2 Accumulation of uncertainties

The relative uncertainties  $u_{n_{R_{n}}}$  and  $u_{n_{P_{out}}}$  can be expressed as the accumulation of the previously defined relative uncertainties.

As there are many possible measurement configurations, the accumulation of uncertainties can only be analytically presented for a particular example. The calculation reported below applies to the measurement of a single mode link having PC connectors, using the one cord method with a single power meter and a single set of reference cables.

#### IEC TR 61282-14:2016 © IEC 2016 – 19 –

NOTE Calculation results for the other configurations are provided in Table 6.

$$u_{\mathsf{n}_{P_{\mathsf{in}}}} = \sqrt{u_{P_{\mathsf{stabin}}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{Displ_{in}}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{PDR}_{\mathsf{in}}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{Pnoisein}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{PM}_{\mathsf{stabin}}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{Mreprodin}}^2 + u_{\mathsf{Matingin}}^2}$$
(10)

$$u_{n_{P_{\text{out}}}} = \sqrt{\frac{u_{P_{\text{stabout}}}^2 + u_{\lambda s}^2 + u_{\text{Lin}}^2 + u_{\text{Displout}}^2 + u_{\text{PDR}_{\text{out}}}^2 + u_{\text{Pnoiseout}}^2 + u_{\text{PM}_{\text{stabout}}}^2}}{+ u_{\text{Mreprodout}}^2 + u_{\text{Matingout}}^2}$$
(11)

This leads to the following formula:

$$\frac{u_{\rm L}}{L} = \sqrt{\frac{u_{\rm Pstabin}^2 + u_{\rm Displ_{in}}^2 + u_{\rm PDR}_{in}^2 + u_{\rm Pnoisein}^2 + u_{\rm PM}_{\rm stabin}^2 + u_{\rm Mreprodin}^2 + u_{\rm Matingin}^2 + u_{\rm Pstabout}^2 + u_{\rm As}^2} + u_{\rm As}^2$$
(12)

Assuming the  $P_{in}$  and  $P_{out}$  related terms are equal, grouping the terms gets:

$$\frac{u_{\rm L}}{L} = \sqrt{2u_{P_{\rm stab}}^2 + 2u_{\rm Displ}^2 + 2u_{\rm PDR}^2 + 2u_{\rm Pnoise}^2 + 2u_{\rm PM_{\rm stab}}^2 + 2u_{\rm M_{\rm reprod}}^2 + 2u_{\rm Mating}^2 + u_{\lambda s}^2 + u_{\rm Lin}^2}$$
(13)

The combined expanded uncertainty will finally be given by:

$$\frac{U_{\rm L}}{L} = k \cdot \frac{u_{\rm L}}{L} \tag{14}$$

where k is the coverage factor.

If needed, the logarithmic value of the uncertainty will finally be calculated using the simplified conversion method (see Annex E):

$$\left(\frac{U_{\rm L}}{L}\right)_{\rm dB} = \frac{10}{\ln(10)} \frac{U_{\rm L}}{L} \tag{15}$$

#### 7 General representation of the equation using sensitivity coefficients

Equation 13 can be expressed using the following general form:

$$\left(\frac{u_{\mathsf{L}}}{L}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[c_i^2 \cdot u_{n_i}^2\right]$$
(16)

where  $c_i^2$  represent the coefficients of Equation 13, hereafter called sensitivity coefficients.

Table 5 reports the value of the sensitivity coefficients  $c_i$  for different measurement conditions as defined by IEC 61280-4-1 and 61280-4-2.

Table 6 reports the value of the sensitivity coefficients  $c_i$  for measurement conditions defined in ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014.

NOTE As in Equation (13), it is assumed that  $P_{in}$  and  $P_{out}$  related terms are equal.

	Source / Sensitivity coefficients		Single mod	e	Multimode				
Reference	Name	1-cord	3-cord	2-cord	1-cord	3-cord	2-cord		
5.2.5.1	Instability of the optical source	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.5.2	Relative uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the optical source wavelength	1	1	1	1	1	1		
5.2.5.3	Relative uncertainty due to multimode launch condition	0	0	0	1	1	1		
5.2.5.4	Relative uncertainty due to non-linearity of the power meter <sup>a)</sup>	1	1	1	1	1	1		
5.2.5.5	Relative uncertainty due to finite display resolution of power meter	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.5.6	Relative uncertainty due to power meter spatial uniformity <sup>b)</sup>	1,414	1,414	1,414	0	0	0		
5.2.5.7	Relative uncertainty due to polarization dependency of power meter	1,414	1,414	1,414	0	0	0		
5.2.5.8	Relative uncertainty due to power meter noise	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.5.9	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter instability	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.5.10	Relative uncertainties of the absolute power measurements <sup>c)</sup>	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.6.1	Mating reproducibility (setup)	0	1,414	1	0	1,414	1		
5.2.6.2	Repeatability of the reference connectors mating	0	1,414	1	0	1,414	1		
5.2.6.3	PDL of the reference APC connectors <sup>d)</sup>	1	1,732	1,414	0	0	0		
5.2.7.1	Mating reproducibility (cabling)	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.7.2	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating to cabling connectors	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414		
5.2.7.3	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the cabling APC connectors <sup>d)</sup>	1,732	1,732	1,732	0	0	0		
NOTE Values in blue characters depend on the associated note. Values in <b>bold</b> characters denote uncertainties that depend on other parameters (see Annex C).									
<sup>a)</sup> Only when using only one power meter. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if two different power meters are used.									
<sup>b)</sup> Only when using both PC and APC connectors. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if the same type of connector is used.									
<sup>c)</sup> Only when using two different power meters. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if only one power meter is used.									

#### Table 5 – Sensitivity coefficients for IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280-4-2 methods

<sup>d)</sup> Only when using APC connectors. The coefficient shall be equal to zero when PC connectors are used.

Copyright International Electrotechnical Commission

	Source / Sensitivity coefficients	Sing	le mode	Mul	timode			
Reference	Name	Channels	Links	Channels	Links			
5.2.5.1	Instability of the optical source	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414			
5.2.5.2	Relative uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the optical source wavelength	1	1	1	1			
5.2.5.3	Relative uncertainty due to multimode launch condition	0	0	1	1			
5.2.5.4	Relative uncertainty due to non-linearity of the power meter <sup>a)</sup>	1	1	1	1			
5.2.5.5	Relative uncertainty due to finite display resolution of power meter	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414			
5.2.5.6	Relative uncertainty due to power meter spatial uniformity <sup>b)</sup>	1,414	1,414	0	0			
5.2.5.7	Relative uncertainty due to polarization dependency of power meter	1,414	1,414	0	0			
5.2.5.8	Relative uncertainty due to power meter noise	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414			
5.2.5.9	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter instability	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414			
5.2.5.10	Relative uncertainties of the absolute power measurements <sup>c)</sup>	1,414	1,414	1,414	1,414			
5.2.6.1	Mating reproducibility	0	0	0	0			
5.2.6.2	Repeatability of the reference connectors mating	0	0	0	0			
5.2.6.3	PDL of the reference APC connectors <sup>d)</sup>	1	1	0	0			
5.2.7.1	Mating reproducibility (cabling)	0	1,414	0	1,414			
5.2.7.2	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating to cabling connectors	0	1,414	0	1,414			
5.2.7.3	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the cabling APC connectors <sup>d)</sup>	1,732	1,732	0	0			
NOTE Values in blue characters depend on the associated note. Values in <b>bold</b> characters denote uncertainties that depend on other parameters (see Annex C).								
<sup>a)</sup> Only when	using only one power meter. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if two different power	r meters are used.						
<sup>b)</sup> Only when using both PC and APC connectors. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if the same type of connector is used.								
<sup>c)</sup> Only when using two different power meters. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if only one power meter is used.								

#### Table 6 – Sensitivity coefficients for ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014 methods

<sup>d)</sup> Only when using APC connectors. The coefficient shall be equal to zero when PC connectors are used.

#### 8 Calculation

#### 8.1 Combined standard uncertainty

Determine the individual uncertainties contributions reported in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 using measurement device supplier data and Annex C.

Combine individual uncertainties contributions using Equation 16 and Table 5 or Table 6, to determine  $\frac{u_{\rm L}}{I}$ .

#### 8.2 Expanded uncertainty

Calculate the expanded uncertainty from:

$$\frac{U_{\rm L}}{L} = k \times \frac{u_{\rm L}}{L} \tag{17}$$

where k is the coverage factor.

#### 8.3 Determination of the coverage factor *k*

#### 8.3.1 General approach

Usually, the level of confidence for reporting is chosen to 95 % as a default value, and hence the associated value of *k* is 2 (see Annex A for more details).

#### 8.3.2 Discussion

The statement in 8.3.1 is only valid if the effective degree of freedom  $v_{\text{eff}}$  is large (e.g. >50), which is true most of the time. However,  $v_{\text{eff}}$ , could be lower in some cases, especially when a significant contribution is not well known.

The effective degree of freedom  $v_{\rm eff}$  can be calculated from ISO/IEC 98-3:2008 equation G.2b:

$$v_{\text{eff}} = \frac{u_L^4}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i u_i^4}{v_i}}$$
(18)

where  $v_i$ , are the degrees of freedom of each individual uncertainty equal to:

- *n*-1, for a single quantity estimated from the arithmetic mean of *n* independent observations (measurement and type A uncertainties);
- $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\Delta u_i}{u_i} \right]^{-2}$  for type B uncertainties having the quantity in large brackets equal to the

relative uncertainty of  $u_i$ . Refer to GUM:1995, Annex G<sup>1</sup> for more details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement* (GUM), published in 1993, corrected and reprinted in 1995. This publication has been replaced by ISO/IEC 98-3:2008 (see Bibliography).

Then, if  $v_{eff} < 50$ , k for a given value of v can be extrapolated from Table G.2 of GUM:1995<sup>2</sup>, which is partially reproduced in Table 7.

ν	k <sub>95</sub>	v	k <sub>95</sub>						
1	12,71	6	2,45	11	2,2	16	2,12	25	2,06
2	4,3	7	2,36	12	2,18	17	2,11	30	2,04
3	3,18	8	2,31	13	2,16	18	2,10	35	2,03
4	2,78	9	2,26	14	2,14	19	2,09	40	2,02
5	2,57	10	2,23	15	2,13	20	2,09	45	2,01

Table 7 – Values of  $k_{95}$  for different values of  $\nu$ 

#### 8.3.3 Typical values of degree of freedom

Table 8 provides typical values of  $v_i$ , for different contributions.

Reference	Name	v <sub>i</sub>	Remark
5.2.5.1	Instability of the optical source	200	
5.2.5.2	Relative uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the optical source wavelength	200	
5.2.5.3	Relative uncertainty due to multimode launch condition	3,7 at 850 nm 4,5 at 1 300 nm	$\frac{\Delta u_i}{u_i}$ =0,368 at 850 nm and 0,333 at 1300 nm
5.2.5.4	Relative uncertainty due to non-linearity of the power meter	200	
5.2.5.5	Relative uncertainty due to finite display resolution of power meter	∞	No uncertainty on this value
5.2.5.6	Relative uncertainty due to power meter spatial uniformity	200	
5.2.5.7	Relative uncertainty due to polarization dependency of power meter	200	
5.2.5.8	Relative uncertainty due to power meter noise	20 000	
5.2.5.9	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter instability	200	
5.2.5.10	Relative uncertainties of the absolute power measurements	200	
5.2.6.1	Mating reproducibility (setup)	5 000	
5.2.6.2	Repeatability of the reference connectors mating	5 000	
5.2.6.3	PDL of the reference APC connectors	200	
5.2.7.1	Mating reproducibility (cabling)	5 000	
5.2.7.2	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating to cabling connectors	5 000	
5.2.7.3	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the cabling APC connectors	200	

Table 8 – Typical values of  $v_i$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement* (GUM), published in 1993, corrected and reprinted in 1995. This publication has been replaced by ISO/IEC 98-3:2008 (see Bibliography).

#### Annex A

#### (normative)

#### **Mathematical basis**

#### A.1 General

This annex summarises the form of evaluating, combining and reporting the uncertainty of measurement. It is based on ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 but does not relieve the need to consult this guide for more advice.

This annex distinguishes two types of evaluation of uncertainty of measurement. Type A is the method of evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of measurements on the same measurand. Type B is the method of evaluation of uncertainty based on other knowledge.

#### A.2 Type A evaluation of uncertainty

The type A evaluation of standard uncertainty can be applied when several independent observations have been made for a quantity under the same conditions of measurement.

For a quantity  $\overline{X}$  estimated from *n* independent repeated observations  $X_k$ , the arithmetic mean is:

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \tag{A.1}$$

This mean is used as the estimate of the quantity, that is  $x = \overline{X}$ . The experimental standard deviation of the observations is given by:

$$s(X) = \left[\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \overline{X})^2\right]^{1/2}$$
(A.2)

where

 $\overline{X}$  is the arithmetic mean of the observed values;

 $X_k$  are the measurement samples of a series of measurements;

*n* is the number of measurements; it is assumed to be large, for example,  $n \ge 10$ .

The type A standard uncertainty  $u_{typeA}(x)$  associated with the estimate x is the experimental standard deviation of the mean:

$$u_{\text{typeA}}(x) = s(\overline{X}) = \frac{s(X)}{\sqrt{n}}$$
(A.3)

#### A.3 Type B evaluation of uncertainty

The type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is the method of evaluating the uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observations. It is evaluated by scientific judgement based on all available information on the variability of the quantity.

If the estimate x of a quantity X is taken from a manufacturer's specification, calibration certificate, handbook, or other source and its quoted uncertainty U(x) is stated to be a multiple k of a standard deviation, the standard uncertainty u(x) is simply the quoted value divided by the multiplier.

$$u(x) = U(x) / k \tag{A.4}$$

If only upper and lower limit  $X_{max}$  and  $X_{min}$  can be estimated for the value of the quantity X, a rectangular probability distribution is assumed. The standard uncertainty is:

$$u(x) = \frac{\left( |X_{\max} - x|, |X_{\min} - x| \right)_{MAX}}{\sqrt{3}}$$
(A.5)

The contribution to the standard uncertainty associated with the output estimate y resulting from the standard uncertainty associated with the input estimate x is:

$$u(y) = c \ u(x) \tag{A.6}$$

where *c* is the sensitivity coefficient associated with the input estimate *x*, that is the partial derivative of the model function y(x), evaluated at the input estimate *x*.

$$c = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \tag{A.7}$$

The sensitivity coefficient c describes the extent to which the output estimate y is influenced by variations of the input estimate x. It can be evaluated by Equation (A.5) or by using numerical methods, that is by calculating the change in the output estimate y due to a change in the input estimate x from a model function. Sometimes it may be more appropriate to find the change in the output estimate y due to the change of x from an experiment.

#### A.4 Determining the combined standard uncertainty

The combined standard uncertainty is used to collect a number of individual uncertainties into a single number. The combined standard uncertainty is based on statistical independence of the individual uncertainties. It is calculated by root-sum-squaring all standard uncertainties obtained from type A and type B evaluations:

$$u_{\rm c}(y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i^2(y)}$$
(A.8)

where

*i* is the current number of individual contributions;

 $u_i(y)$  are the standard uncertainty contributions;

*n* is the number of uncertainties.

NOTE It is acceptable to neglect uncertainty contributions to this equation that are smaller than 1/10 of the largest contribution, because squaring them will reduce their significance to 1/100 of the largest contribution.

When the quantities above are to be used as the basis for further uncertainty computations, then the combined standard uncertainty,  $u_c$ , can be re-inserted into Equation (7).

Despite its partially type A origin,  $u_c$  should be considered as describing an uncertainty of type B.

#### A.5 Reporting

In calibration reports and technical data sheets, combined standard uncertainties shall be reported in the form of expanded uncertainties, together with the applicable level of confidence. Correction factors or deviations shall be reported. The expanded uncertainty U is obtained by multiplying the standard uncertainty  $u_c(y)$  by a coverage factor k:

$$U = k u_{\rm c}(y) \tag{A.9}$$

For a level of confidence of approximately 95 %, the default level, then k = 2. If a level of confidence of approximately 99 % is chosen, then k = 3. The above values for k are valid under some conditions (see ISO/IEC 98-3:2008). If these conditions are not met, larger coverage factors are to be used to reach these levels of confidence.

#### Annex B

#### (informative)

#### **Measurement methods**

#### B.1 Measurement methods as per IEC 61280-4-1 and 61280-4-2

#### B.1.1 General

Figure B.1, Figure B.2, Figure B.3 and Figure B.4 reproduce measurement configuration diagrams defined in IEC 61280-4-1:2009 and 61280-4-2:2014, Annexes A, B and C. For more details, refer to IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280-4-2.

#### **B.1.2** Measurement configuration



NOTE Reference grade terminations are shaded.

#### Figure B.1 – Measurement configuration

#### B.1.3 One-cord reference configuration



Key

LS light source

TC1 launch cord

PM power meter



#### B.1.4 Two-cord reference configuration



Key

LS	light source	TC2	receive cord
TC1	launch cord	РМ	power meter

#### Figure B.3 – Two-cord reference measurement

#### B.1.5 Three-cord reference configuration



#### B.2 Measurement methods as per ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014

#### B.2.1 General

Figure B.5, Figure B.6, Figure B.7 and Figure B.8 reproduce measurement configuration diagrams defined in ISO/IEC 14763-3.

#### B.2.2 Channels

#### **B.2.2.1** Measurement configuration



LS	light source	EQ1	equipment launch cord
TC1	launch cord	РМ	power meter

C cabling under test EQ2 equipment receive cord

#### Figure B.5 – Measurement on channel

#### **B.2.2.2** Channel reference configuration



Key

- LS light source
- TC1 launch cord
- EQ1 equipment launch cord
- PM power meter

#### Figure B.6 – Channel reference measurement

#### B.2.2.3 Uncertainties

For uncertainty aspects, this method is equivalent to the method in IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280 4-2 one-cord method, except for 5.2.7.1 and 5.2.7.2.

#### B.2.3 Links

#### **B.2.3.1** Measurement configuration



#### Figure B.7 – Link measurement configuration

#### B.2.3.2 Link reference configuration



#### Key

- LS light source
- TC1 launch cord
- PM power meter

#### Figure B.8 – Link reference measurement

#### B.2.3.3 Uncertainties

For uncertainty aspects, this method is equivalent to the method in IEC 61280-4-1 and IEC 61280-4-2 one-cord method.

#### Annex C

#### (normative)

#### **Uncertainties evaluation**

#### C.1 Type A uncertainties

#### C.1.1 General

Type A uncertainties are evaluated by the statistical analysis of a series of measurements. It is not the objective of this Technical Report to recommend the addition of extra measurements. However, in some cases, a statistical evaluation performed in real conditions can help to reduce a large value of uncertainty extracted from the documentation of the product.

#### C.1.2 Evaluation of optical source instability and associated uncertainties

Evaluation of the source stability can be performed using the test configuration described in Figure B.2.

After source and power metre warm up, the source power measurement  $(P_{t,i})$  is recorded at a regular time interval  $(\Delta t)$  over a long period, making sure the number of individual measurements (m) is greater than 20.

If appropriate, the measurement period should be longer than the period of temperature variation of the measurement environment.

The standard deviation of the relative variation of power measurement can then be calculated from:

$$s_{P,m\Delta t} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (P_{t_i} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{t_i})^2} / \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{t_i}\right)$$
(C.1)

Then using Equation (A.3), the relative uncertainty due to optical source instability is

$$u_{\text{pstab}} = s_{P,m\Delta t}$$
 (C.2)

#### C.2 Type B uncertainties

#### C.2.1 General

Type B uncertainty evaluation is obtained by means other than a statistical analysis of observations. It should be evaluated by scientific judgement based on all available information. The following clauses provide guidance for evaluation.

#### C.2.2 Evaluation of the power meter noise

In some cases, information on the optical power meter noise is provided by the supplier. When this information is available, the relative uncertainty arising from the power meter noise is equal to the noise power (peak to peak) divided by the minimum measured power level. For example, if a power meter having a noise level of 100 pW peak to peak is used to measure a 30 dB attenuation cabling in conjunction with a 0 dBm source (1 mW), the relative uncertainty arising from the power meter noise is:

$$u_{P_{\text{noise}}} = \frac{P_{\text{noise}}}{P_{\text{out min}}} = \frac{100 \text{ pW}}{1 \text{ mW} / 1000} = 10^{(-10+3+3)} = 0,0001 \rightarrow 0,01\%$$
(C.3)

The noise generated by the photodiode and the electronics of the power meter limits the measurement range. If the optical power meter noise value is not available, it can be estimated as 20 % of the minimum value of the measurement range.

#### C.2.3 Elements to be considered for power meter stability analysis

Short and long term instability of the power meter cannot be easily separated from the instability of the source. When determining Type A uncertainty of the optical source as described in C.1.2, the instability of the power is also recorded.

If, during measurement, the power meter operates in environmental conditions used to determine source instability, then additional contribution should be considered equal to zero.

If the power meter operates in different environmental conditions (temperature and relative humidity), the additional contribution of uncertainty shall be determined from the documentation of the power meter.

In many cases, the documentation of the power meter may not be able to provide temperature and humidity dependence of the product. Therefore, it is recommended to characterise the stability of the source over the full range of environmental conditions applicable to both source and power meter over the duration of measurement.

#### C.2.4 Evaluation of the centre wavelength dependence

#### C.2.4.1 Principle

Assuming the cabling attenuation wavelength dependence is equivalent to the fibre attenuation wavelength dependence, Equation (A.8) can be used to determine the sensitivity factor of the uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the source wavelength.

Locally, the spectral attenuation of a fibre can be estimated using a polynomial equation such as:

$$\alpha_{(\lambda)} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \lambda + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 + \alpha_3 \lambda^3 + \alpha_4 \lambda^4 + \alpha_5 \lambda^5 + \alpha_6 \lambda^6$$
(C.4)

The derivative of this function is the sensitivity coefficient  $c_{(\lambda)}$ :

$$c_{(\lambda)} = \frac{\partial \alpha_{(\lambda)}}{\partial \lambda} = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \lambda + 3\alpha_3 \lambda^2 + 4\alpha_4 \lambda^3 + 5\alpha_5 \lambda^4 + 6\alpha_6 \lambda^5$$
(C.5)

where  $\lambda$  is the selected measurement wavelength (e.g. typically 850 nm, 1 300 nm, 1 310 nm or 1 550 nm).

Therefore, standard Equation (A.8) becomes:

$$u_{(\lambda s)} = c_{(\lambda)} \times u_{(\lambda)} \tag{C.6}$$

#### C.2.4.2 Application

The typical fibre spectral attenuation given Figure C.1 can be used to determine Equation (C.4) coefficients.



Red MM A1 type fibre Blue SM B1.3 type fibre

#### Figure C.1 – Typical spectral response of a fibre

Table C.1 provides coefficient values determined from the curve shown in Figure C.1.

	<b>Domain</b> nm	<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub>	α <sub>1</sub>	α2	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	α4	<i>a</i> <sub>5</sub>	α <sub>6</sub>
MM A1	800 to 1 350	5,24×10 <sup>-01</sup>	-2,94×10 <sup>-03</sup>	6,85×10 <sup>-06</sup>	-8,49×10 <sup>-09</sup>	5,88×10 <sup>-12</sup>	-2,16×10 <sup>-15</sup>	3,30×10 <sup>-19</sup>
SM B1.3	1 285 to 1 385	-7,51×10 <sup>-02</sup>	1,72×10 <sup>-04</sup>	-1,31×10 <sup>-07</sup>	3,32×10 <sup>-11</sup>	0	0	0
SM B1.3	1 385 to 1 675	1,38×10 <sup>-01</sup>	-3,62×10 <sup>-04</sup>	3,59×10 <sup>-07</sup>	-1,58×10 <sup>-10</sup>	2,61 <sup>-14</sup>		

Table C.1 – Spectral attenuation coefficients

Sensitivity coefficients determined from Table C.1 using Equation (C.6) are given in Table C.2.

Table C.2 – Sensitivity coeffic	cients
---------------------------------	--------

λ	Fibre	c(λ)(m <sup>-1</sup> )
850 nm	MM A1 fibre	-2,204×10 <sup>-06</sup>
1 300 nm	MM A1 f	-3,390×10 <sup>-07</sup>
1 310 nm	SM B1.3 f	-2,482×10 <sup>-07</sup>
1 550 nm	SM B1.3 f	-3,993×10 <sup>-08</sup>

Å	Fibre	c(λ)(m <sup>-1</sup> )
1 625 nm	SM B1.3 f	+1,655×10 <sup>-07</sup>

Example:

When the centre wavelength of a 1 310 nm single mode source is known with an uncertainty of 30 nm, the uncertainty contribution for one meter of fibre is:

$$\mu_{\lambda_s} = c(\lambda) \cdot U(\lambda) = -2,482 \cdot 10^{-7} \times 30 = -7,446 \cdot 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \tag{C.7}$$

This represents 0,032 dB/km.

#### C.2.5 Spectral width dependence

When the source spectrum is symmetrical, and when the spectral attenuation curve does not exhibit an inflexion point, the variation of spectral width does not impact the measurement.

#### C.2.6 Evaluation of the uncertainties due to MM launch conditions

The encircled flux limits are intended to constrain loss variation, relative to the loss measured by a source exactly on the target launch, to be no greater than the larger of  $\pm 0,1$  times the attenuation value in dB or the threshold value (see IEC 62614:2010, 5.6).

For example, a threshold value of 0,08 dB means that the loss variation is usually expected to be within  $\pm 0,1$  times the loss value for losses equal to or greater than 0,8 dB, and within 0,08 dB for losses less than 0,8 dB.

Recent analysis (to be published in 2016) is showing that at 1 300 nm and 50  $\mu$ m, loss variation may only be constrained within  $\pm 0.2$  times the attenuation value in dB. As uncertainties calculation should always be conservative, it is recommended to use this new value for 1 300 nm and 50  $\mu$ m.

Figure C.2 provides uncertainty values to be used for a given measured loss (having different wavelengths and fibres).

NOTE In the attenuation model, the uncertainties come from a limited number of connectors. The number of connectors is too small to allow the validity of the central limit theorem. Therefore the distribution of the uncertainty is considered as rectangular.



Figure C.2 – Uncertainties due to the launch conditions for a given loss

#### C.2.7 Evaluation of the PDL

PDL of an APC connector can be estimated assuming the transmitted power depending upon the polarization state of the light that may vary in any direction (e.g. from parallel to perpendicular).

$$PDL = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{Ts^2}{Tp^2}\right)$$
(C.8)

$$T_{s} = 1 - \left[\frac{n_{1}\cos\theta_{i} - n_{2}\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}\sin\theta_{i}\right)}}{n_{1}\cos\theta_{i} + n_{2}\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}\sin\theta_{i}\right)}}\right]^{2}$$
(C.9)

$$Tp = 1 - \left[\frac{n_1\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\sin\theta_i\right)} - n_2\cos\theta_i}{n_1\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\sin\theta_i\right)} + n_2\cos\theta_i}\right]^2$$
(C.10)

where

Ts and Tp are the transmitted coefficient for parallel and perpendicular polarization;

 $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are respectively the refractive index of the fibre and of the air;

 $\theta_i$  is the angle of the connector.

For example, at 1 550 nm, assuming the air index is 1,000 26 and the fibre index is 1,468 16, the PDL is 0,018 dB for an 8° angled connector.

#### C.2.8 Uncertainty of absolute power measurement

#### C.2.8.1 General

When two different power meters are used, the uncertainty due to the optical power metre absolute calibration  $u_{abs}$  needs to be determined from the absolute uncertainty at operating conditions of each optical power meters  $u_{abs\_operating,i}$ .

IEC 61315:2005, 6.2 can be used to determine  $u_{abs\_operating,i}$ . taking into consideration the absolute calibration at reference conditions and all operation conditions such as maximum span between calibration, ambiance temperature, power measuring range, wavelength range, etc.

#### C.2.8.2 Calculation

When  $u_{abs\_operating,i}$  is determined for each optical power meter, the uncertainty of absolute power measurement can be calculated from Equation C.11.

$$u_{abs} = \sqrt{(u_{abs\_operating,1})^2 + (u_{abs\_operating,2})^2}$$
 (C.11)

#### Annex D

#### (normative)

### Typical values of uncertainties

Table D.1 provides typical values of uncertainty contributions that can be used for calculation. The table also provides the correspondence with IEC TR 62627-04.

Reference	Source of uncertainty / Default value	Typical value	Comments	Reference IEC TR 62627-04 <sup>a</sup> ( <i>i</i> in Table 1)	
5.2.5.1	Relative uncertainty arising from the instability of the optical source <sup>a)</sup>	0,05 dB		(6)	
5.2.5.2	Relative attenuation uncertainty arising from the uncertainty of the optical source wavelength	$f(\lambda)$	See C.2.4		
5.2.5.3	Relative uncertainty due to the multimode launch condition	f(Att)	See C.2.6		
5.2.5.4	Relative uncertainty arising from the non-linearity of the power meter	0,005 dB		(4)	
5.2.5.5	Relative uncertainty arising from the finite display resolution of power meter	0,005 dB		(3)	
5.2.5.6	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter spatial uniformity <sup>b)</sup>	0		(5)	
5.2.5.7	Relative uncertainty arising from the polarization dependency of power meter	0,005 dB or 0,01 dB	PC connectors: 0,005 dB otherwise: 0,01 dB	(2)	
5.2.5.8	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter noise	f(noise level)	See C.2.2 noise level = 100 pW		
5.2.5.9	Relative uncertainty arising from power meter instability	0,004 dB			
5.2.5.10	Relative uncertainties of the absolute power measurements	0,2 dB			
5.2.6.1	Relative uncertainties due to mating reproducibility	0,1 dB		(9)	
5.2.6.2	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating	0,05 dB		(8)	
5.2.6.3	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the reference APC connectors	0,018 dB	Only if APC	(7)	
5.2.7.1	Relative uncertainties due to mating reproducibility	0,1 dB		(9)	
5.2.7.2	Relative uncertainty related to the repeatability of the reference connector mating to cabling connectors	0,05 dB		(8)	
5.2.7.3	Relative uncertainty related to the PDL of the cabling APC connectors	0,018 dB	Only if APC	(7)	
NOTE This table is not valid for multimode fibres type A2, A3 and A4.					
<ul> <li><sup>a)</sup> The typical value for 5.2.5.1 is higher than the equivalent typical value in IEC TR 62627-04, because the time between P<sub>in</sub> and P<sub>out</sub> measurements can be longer.</li> <li><sup>b)</sup> Only when using both PC and APC connectors. The coefficient shall be equal to zero if the same type of connector is used. See Tables 5 and 6.</li> </ul>					

Table D.1 – Typical values of uncertainties

#### Annex E

(informative)

#### Linear to dB scale conversion of uncertainties

#### E.1 Definition of decibel

The decibel is a submultiple of bel (1 dB = 0,1 B). This unit is used to express values of power level on a logarithmic scale. The power level is always relative to a reference power  $P_0$ :

$$L_{P/P_0} = 10 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{P}{P_0} \right) (dB)$$
(E.1)

where P and  $P_0$  are expressed in the same linear units.

#### E.2 Conversion of relative uncertainties

Similar to the previous definition, relative uncertainties,  $U_{\text{lin}}$ , or relative deviations, can be expressed in decibels:

$$U_{dB} = 10 \times \log_{10}(1 + U_{\%})$$
(E.2)

Reciprocally,  $U_{\text{lin}}$  can be expressed in % using:

$$U_{\%} = \left[10^{\left(\frac{U_{dB}}{10}\right)} - 1\right] \times 100$$
 (E.3)

For small values of  $U_{\text{lin}}$ , the first term of the applicable Taylor series can be used. Having:

$$\ln(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1^{n+1}}{n} x^n \text{ and } \log_{10} x = \frac{\ln x}{\ln(10)}$$
(E.4)

that leads to:

$$U_{\rm dB} = \frac{10}{\ln(10)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1^{n+1}}{n} U_{\rm lin}^{\ n} \approx \frac{10}{\ln(10)} U_{\rm lin} \tag{E.5}$$

and two useful expressions:

$$U_{dB} \approx 4.34 \times U_{lin} \Leftrightarrow U_{lin} \approx 0.23 \times U_{dB}$$
 (E.6)

#### Bibliography

IEC 61315:2005, Calibration of fibre-optic power meters

IEC 62614:2010, Fibre optics – Launch condition requirements for measuring multimode attenuation

IEC TR 62627-04, Fibre optic interconnecting devices and passive components – Part 04: Example of uncertainty calculation: Measurement of the attenuation of an optical connector

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)

ISO/IEC 14763-3:2014, Information technology – Implementation and operation of customer premises cabling – Part 3: Testing of optical fibre cabling

Bell, Stephanie: A Beginner's Guide to Uncertainty of Measurement. Measurement Good Practice Guide. No. 11 – NPL.

Birch, Keith: *Estimating Uncertainty in Testing*. Measurement Good Practice Guide. No. 36 – NPL.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

3, rue de Varembé PO Box 131 CH-1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland

Tel: + 41 22 919 02 11 Fax: + 41 22 919 03 00 info@iec.ch www.iec.ch