

Standard Practice for Obtaining Colorimetric Data from a Visual Display Unit Using Tristimulus Colorimeters¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1455; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

This practice provides directions for correcting the results obtained with tristimulus colorimeters when measuring the tristimulus values or chromaticity coordinates of colored displays. Tristimulus colorimeters approximate the CIE color matching functions $\bar{x}(\lambda)$, $\bar{y}(\lambda)$, $\bar{z}(\lambda)$ to make these measurements. The errors generated in measuring colors on a display may be minimized using this practice.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This practice is intended as an aid for improving the accuracy of colorimetric measurements made with tristimulus colorimeters on visual display units, such as cathode ray tubes (CRTs) and self-luminous flat-panel displays. It explains a useful step in the analysis of colorimetric data that takes advantage of the fact that light from such displays consists of an additive mixture of three primary colored lights. However, it is not a complete specification of how such measurements should be made.
- 1.2 This practice is limited to display devices and colorimetric instruments that meet linearity criteria as defined in the practice. It is not concerned with effects that might cause measurement bias such as temporal or geometric differences between the instrument being optimized and the instrument used for reference.
- 1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

E284 Terminology of Appearance

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E12 on Color and Appearance and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E12.06 on Display, Imaging and Imaging Colorimetry.

E1336 Test Method for Obtaining Colorimetric Data From a Visual Display Unit by Spectroradiometry

E1341 Practice for Obtaining Spectroradiometric Data from Radiant Sources for Colorimetry

2.2 ISO/CIE Standard:

ISO 11664–1:2007(E)/CIE S 014–1/E:2006 Joint Standard ISO/CIE Standard: Colorimetry Part 1 – CIE Standard Colorimetric Observers³

3. Terminology

- 3.1 *Definitions*—Unless otherwise stated, definitions of appearance terms in Terminology E284 are applicable to this practice.
 - 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.2.1 calibration, n—in reference to a tristimulus colorimeter, the process performed outside of this practice to adjust the tristimulus colorimeter to provide the best possible results for average or predefined conditions.
- 3.2.2 optimization, n—in reference to a tristimulus colorimeter, the process performed pursuant to this practice to adjust the tristimulus colorimeter or to interpret its readings to provide better results when applied to a particular display device.
- 3.2.3 compatible, adj—in reference to a tristimulus colorimeter, one so designed as to automate the procedure described in this practice.

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 Tristimulus colorimeters comprised of three or four detector channels are, in general, not amenable to accurate calibration that holds for all manner of usage with different

Current edition approved July 1, 2016. Published August 2016. Originally approved in 1992. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as E1455-03 (2010). DOI: 10.1520/E1455-16.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Currently available from CIE (Commission International on Illumination), http://www.techstreet.com.

illuminated devices and objects. This is because the spectral responsivities of their detector channels do not exactly match the defined Commission Internationale de L'Éclairage (CIE) $\bar{x}(\lambda)$, $\bar{y}(\lambda)$, $\bar{z}(\lambda)$ functions. Factory or subsequent calibration reflects judgments and compromises that may not be readily apparent. Nevertheless, this practice provides guidance on how such a tristimulus colorimeter may be optimized for use with a particular video display device, providing better accuracy with that device than its more general calibration provides. An optimization matrix transforms the instrumental (measured) CIE X, Y, Z values into adjusted X, Y, Z values that are closer to the ideal. This matrix is determined by reference to a colorimeter with higher intrinsic accuracy. The method derives from the fact that the color stimulus functions from display devices are linear combinations of three primary functions and are not entirely arbitrary.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This practice may be applied when tristimulus colorimeters are used to measure the colors produced on self-luminous video display devices such as CRTs and flat-panel displays, including electroluminescent (EL) panels, light emitting diodes (LEDs) field emission displays (FEDs), and back-lit liquid crystal displays (LCDs). This practice is not meant to be a complete description of a procedure to measure the color coordinates of a display. Rather, it provides a method for obtaining more accurate results when certain conditions are met. It may be used by any person engaged in the measurement of color on display devices who has access to the requisite equipment.

5.2 This practice defines a class of tristimulus colorimeters that may be said to be compatible with this practice.

6. Background of Practice

6.1 Colorimetry:

6.1.1 Color measurement instruments consist, in general, of means to measure radiometric power as transmitted through a number of bandpass filters. Most commonly, electrical devices are used to measure the filtered light. They may be used with different filters in succession, or multiple devices may be used concurrently. In instruments called spectroradiometers, the radiometric power is measured through a large number (typically 30 to 500) of narrowband filters. (Practice E1341 describes how a monochromator or polychromator (spectrograph) may be employed to filter and measure light in separate bands on the order of 1-nm wide.) In instruments called tristimulus colorimeters, the radiometric power is measured through three or four wideband filters. These filters may be constructed from dispersive elements (prisms and gratings) or from materials with selective spectral transmission or reflection. The latter may be either uniform or comprised of different patches, in a mosaic pattern, that provide the desired overall effect.

6.1.2 No matter how many filters are used, or in what manner, the goal of the measurement process is to determine tristimulus values X, Y, Z, as defined by ISO in its Standard

ISO 11664–1:2007(E)/CIE S 014–1E:2006 and the CIE in its publication Publ. 015(1).⁴ For light with a color stimulus function $\Phi(\lambda)$,

$$X = k \int_{360 \text{ nm}}^{830 \text{ nm}} \Phi(\lambda) \bar{x}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$Y = k \int_{360 \text{ nm}}^{830 \text{ nm}} \Phi(\lambda) \bar{y}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$Z = k \int_{300 \text{ nm}}^{830 \text{ nm}} \Phi(\lambda) \bar{z}(\lambda) d\lambda$$
(1)

where:

k is 683 lm/W for emissive devices, such as displays, and $\bar{x}(\lambda)$, $\bar{y}(\lambda)$, $\bar{z}(\lambda)$ are color-matching functions. While the standard definition of X, Y, Z requires the use of the CIE 1931 2° color-matching functions, the mathematics described in this practice would also be applicable to any other set of color-matching functions, such as the CIE 1964 10° functions.

6.1.3 In practice, color measurement instruments compute X, Y, Z by the summation of the signals as measured through the various filters, each signal being multiplied by an appropriate calibration factor. In matrix notation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{m} \\ Y_{m} \\ Z_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{X1} C_{X2} C_{X3} \dots C_{Xf} \\ C_{Y1} C_{Y2} C_{Y3} \dots C_{Yf} \\ C_{Z1} C_{Z2} C_{Z3} \dots C_{Zf} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{1} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{3} \\ \vdots \\ F_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where:

 F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , through F_f are the electrical signals from the f filtered detectors and the C_{ij} are calibration coefficients. $X_{\rm m}$, $Y_{\rm m}$, $Z_{\rm m}$ have subscripts to indicate that they are measured values rather than ideal ones.

6.1.4 In this practice, we presume that the color measuring instrument is linear: that each signal F_a is strictly proportional to the received optical power, that any zero-offset (background in darkness) is removed, that the proportionality for signal F_a is not affected by the value of signal F_b , and in the case of closely packed detectors (such as charge-coupled device (CCD) detector elements) no signal F_a spills over and affects signal F_b as it approaches saturation. These presumptions are amenable to experimental verification using methods beyond the scope of this practice (2).

6.1.5 The values of the matrix elements C_{ij} may be determined using criteria that depends on the design and intended application of the instrument. The full extent of this subject is beyond the scope of this practice. However, in general, for spectroradiometers ($f \approx 30$ to 500), $C_{\mathrm{X}j}$ reflects the tabulated value of (λ) near the center wavelength of Filter j as well as the spectral responsivity of the corresponding detector channel. (Likewise, $C_{\mathrm{Y}j}$ and $C_{\mathrm{Z}j}$ vary with (λ).) For tristimulus colorimeters, the choice of C_{ij} is discussed further, below. As a

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

general matter, the instrument designer should choose passbands and matrix elements that balance accuracy, sensitivity, and other design requirements.

6.1.6 Tristimulus colorimeters are generally designed with filters that are intended to match the spectral responsivities of their detector channels to the CIE color matching functions. For such an instrument,

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{\rm m} \\ Y_{\rm m} \\ Z_{\rm m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{X1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{Y2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{Z3} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

where:

the non-zero C_{ij} matrix elements represent adjustable gains of the detector channels. However, the $\bar{x}(\lambda)$ function has two distinct lobes. This may be dealt with by splitting the lobes into two functions, $\bar{x}_{\rm short}(\lambda)$ and $\bar{x}_{\rm long}(\lambda)$, each with a separate filter $(F_1$ and F_2 , respectively). For such an instrument,

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{\rm m} \\ Y_{\rm m} \\ Z_{\rm m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{X1} C_{X2} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{Y3} 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{Z4} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \\ F_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Alternatively, the $\bar{z}(\lambda)$ function properly scaled may serve as the $\bar{x}_{\text{short}}(\lambda)$, since they have a similar shape,

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{\rm m} \\ Y_{\rm m} \\ Z_{\rm m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{\rm X1} & 0 & C_{\rm X3} \\ 0 & C_{\rm Y2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{\rm Z3} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} F_{1} \\ F_{2} \\ F_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

In all of these cases, it is difficult to realize an exact match between the CIE color-matching functions and the actual spectral responsivities of the corresponding detector channels. This means that no choice of C_{ij} will provide perfect calibration for all applications of the instrument. The criteria for setting the C_{ij} might not be well documented for a particular instrument.

6.1.7 It is generally believed that spectroradiometers, with their many detector channels, may be calibrated to yield superior measurements of X, Y, Z for diverse applications. Nevertheless, the relative simplicity of tristimulus colorimeters and their commensurately lower cost have made them popular where the highest accuracy is not required.

6.2 Self-Luminous Displays:

6.2.1 Å self-luminous display, such as a CRT, an electroluminescent (EL) panel, a field emission display (FED), light emitting diodes (LED) or a back-lit liquid crystal display (LCD) generates colored light by the proportional superposition (addition) of primary colored lights $\Phi_r(\lambda), \; \Phi_g(\lambda), \; \Phi_b(\lambda).$ The subscripts represent red, green, and blue, the primary colors of an additive set. An arbitrarily colored patch on the visual display has one and only one color stimulus function $\Phi(\lambda),$

$$\Phi(\lambda) = a\Phi_r(\lambda) + b\Phi_p(\lambda) + c\Phi_h(\lambda) \tag{6}$$

where a, b, care coefficients that are determined by the display electronics.

6.2.2 The display electronics vary *a*, *b*, *c* over the face of the display in order to generate a colored image. For this practice, we presume that the display electronics may be set to make *a*,

b, c uniform (perhaps after averaging nonobvious finestructure) over a sufficient area of the display to permit measurements to be made on that area.

6.2.3 It is a requirement for the applicability of this practice that the display device behaves as stated in Eq 6. This practice does not represent that any particular display device will act as predicted by Eq 6, though those within the mentioned classes of devices might do so. The procedure for experimental verification of this property for a specific display device is beyond the scope of this practice (3).

6.3 Colorimetric Measurement of Displays:

6.3.1 Each of the primary color stimulus functions $\Phi_r(\lambda)$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$, $\Phi_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda)$ stimulates responses in the f detector channels that may be represented by a vector F (that is, F_r , F_g , F_h). Given their construction, these vectors are linearly independent. (None of the three can be expressed as a linear combination of the other two.) While F is an element of an f-dimensional vector space, it is clear that only a three-dimensional subspace is spanned by the F's of all possible color stimulus functions following Eq 6. Further, the mapping of F into $(X_{\rm m}, Y_{\rm m}, Z_{\rm m})$ space by Eq 2 remains three dimensional. In other words, there is a one-to-one mapping of the vector (a, b, c) onto (X, Y, Z) by application of Eq 1; and, for a particular instrument with a fixed calibration matrix C, there is also a one-to-one mapping of the vector (a, b, c) onto $(X_{\rm m}, Y_{\rm m}, Z_{\rm m})$. From this we deduce that a matrix **R** exists that can be used to translate (X_m, Y_m, Z_m) values into actual (X, Y, Z) values.

6.3.2 A colorimeter that takes advantage of this fact must provide means for implementing the matrix R. That is, all f filtered detector signals should contribute linearly toward the computation of each output, $X_{\rm m}$, $Y_{\rm m}$, $Z_{\rm m}$, instead of using different detectors for each output. This idea was reported as long ago as 1973 by Wagner (4), and it has been expanded upon and rediscovered by others since then (5-10).

6.3.3 On the basis of this property, a tristimulus colorimeter can be optimized for use on a self-luminous display by the proper derivation of a matrix R for that display. We proceed on the assumptions that the components are sufficiently stable, and that similarly built displays have similar enough spectral primaries to make a derivation of R worthwhile. However, these assumptions should be quantified before accuracy claims are made in any specific situation.

6.3.4 On the basis of this property, a tristimulus colorimeter designed for use with displays need not produce signals F that are close to CIE tristimulus values. Signal/noise may be improved by matching the spectral responsivities of the filtered detectors to the emission spectra of the primary colors. In such designs, it is especially important to use a matrix R that is specific to the particular $\Phi_r(\lambda)$, $\Phi_g(\lambda)$, $\Phi_b(\lambda)$.

7. Optimization

7.1 General:

7.1.1 Given the existence of a matrix R, how is it determined? Experimentally, the problem is one of comparing the data X, Y, Z from a reference colorimeter with the data $X_{\rm m}$, $Y_{\rm m}$, $Z_{\rm m}$ from the colorimeter being optimized, for a number of color samples at different display settings. From these data, R is calculated.

7.1.2 This practice is not directly concerned with the absolute accuracy of the measurements. It concerns the transfer of calibration from a reference instrument to another instrument, regardless of the absolute accuracy of the reference.

7.2 Noiseless Data:

7.2.1 For clarity, we first consider the case in which the measuring instruments are free of noise. We define vectors \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{m} as:

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \boldsymbol{m} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\rm m} \\ Y_{\rm m} \\ Z_{\rm m} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

where:

each is an element of its corresponding vector space, and both derive from the same (a, b, c) setting on a display. The matrix \mathbf{R} maps between them:

$$n = R m \tag{8}$$

7.2.2 This relationship may be stated for more than one such pairs of vectors (for multiple display settings) at the same time:

$$N = R M \tag{9}$$

where:

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 X_2 X_3 \dots X_i \\ Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 \dots Y_i \\ Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 \dots Z_i \end{bmatrix}$$
 (10)

and

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{m1} X_{m2} X_{m3} \dots X_{mi} \\ Y_{m1} Y_{m2} Y_{m3} \dots Y_{mi} \\ Z_{m1} Z_{m2} Z_{m3} \dots Z_{mi} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

7.2.3 When matrices N and M have exactly three columns, and when their columns are linearly independent, R may be easily determined:

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{N} \, \mathbf{M}^{-1} \tag{12}$$

The determination of matrix \mathbf{R} in this case requires the reference values and the test colorimeter measurements of exactly three distinct colors on the display. In other words, \mathbf{R} can be obtained by measuring \mathbf{M} for each primary color (red, green, blue) of a display.

7.3 Real-World Transformations of X, Y, Z:

7.3.1 In practice, neither measurements of n nor m are made with perfect accuracy. Noise affects the measurements, the linearity presumptions in 6.1.4 and 6.2.3 may not be perfectly true, and there may be other unexpected systematic effects that affect the data. One way to reduce such effects of measurement imperfection in applying the matrix correction is to determine R by using more than three color samples and by using statistical methods based on the least square minimization, as demonstrated by obtaining R by measurement of eight colors

of a display (Practice E1455-92). This method, however, was found to be not effective enough to reduce the effect of measurement noise. This method was improved by applying corrections for the absolute scale of measured tristimulus values for each color of the display, based on the first results from applying Practice E1455-92, and performing another path of calculation, introducing weights for each color in the minimization process (Practice E1455-96). This method improved the performance significantly from the Practice E1455-92. However, the calculation process has become considerably complicated. Another method has become available, in which the effect of the fluctuations of signals common to all detector channels is theoretically eliminated (9). For example, the effects of measurement errors due to display flicker (causing variation in measured luminance) and instability of display (causing a change of display luminance when reference instrument is measured and when target instrument is measured) are eliminated. This method requires measurements of four colors of a display—each primary color plus white. The R matrix is obtained in such a way that the chromaticity errors are reduced to zero for all the four colors, and the correction is valid for all other colors. Correction for Y can also be implemented optionally. This method is theoretically more robust than the earlier ASTM methods for correction of measured chromaticity, and shown to perform equal to or better than Practice E1455-96, while the measurement and calculation process are much simpler. This method (commonly called Four-Color method) is described in the sections below.

7.3.2 Principles of the Method—The primary colors (red, green, and blue) and white of a display are measured by a target instrument (a colorimeter being optimized) and a reference instrument (a calibrated colorimeter or spectroradiometer). From the chromaticity coordinates $(x_{m,R}, y_{m,R})$, $(x_{m,G}, y_{m,G})$, $(x_{m,B}, y_{m,B})$ of red, green, and blue, measured by the target instrument, the relative tristimulus values $M_{rel,RGB}$ of the primary colors from the target instrument are expressed as:

$$M_{\text{rel},RGB} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\text{rel},m,R} & X_{\text{rel},m,G} & X_{\text{rel},m,B} \\ Y_{\text{rel},m,R} & Y_{\text{rel},m,G} & Y_{\text{rel},m,B} \\ Z_{\text{rel},m,R} & Z_{\text{rel},m,G} & Z_{\text{rel},m,B} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{\text{rel}} & X_{\text{rel$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} x_{\text{m,R}} \ x_{\text{m,G}} \ x_{\text{m,B}} \\ y_{\text{m,R}} \ y_{\text{m,G}} \ y_{\text{m,B}} \\ z_{\text{m,R}} \ z_{\text{m,G}} \ z_{\text{m,B}} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} k_{\text{m,R}} 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ k_{\text{m,G}} 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ k_{\text{m,B}} \end{array} \right]$$

where:

$$\begin{split} z_{\rm m,R} &= 1 - x_{\rm m,R} - y_{\rm m,R} \\ z_{\rm m,G} &= 1 - x_{\rm m,G} - y_{\rm m,G} \\ z_{\rm m,B} &= 1 - x_{\rm m,B} - y_{\rm m,B} \end{split}$$

 $k_{\rm m,R}, k_{\rm m,G}, k_{\rm m,B}$ are the relative factors to relate the measured chromaticity coordinates to the relative tristimulus values.

Likewise, from the chromaticity coordinates $(x_{r,R}, y_{r,R})$, $(x_{r,G}, y_{r,G})$, $(x_{r,B}, y_{r,B})$ of red, green, and blue measured by the reference instrument, the relative tristimulus values $N_{rel,RGB}$ of the primary colors from the reference instrument are expressed as:

$$N_{\text{rel,RGB}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\text{rel,r,R}} & X_{\text{rel,r,G}} & X_{\text{rel,r,B}} \\ Y_{\text{rel,r,R}} & Y_{\text{rel,r,G}} & Y_{\text{rel,r,B}} \\ Z_{\text{rel,r,B}} & Z_{\text{rel,r,G}} & Z_{\text{rel,r,B}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{r,R} & x_{r,G} & x_{r,B} \\ y_{r,R} & y_{r,G} & y_{r,B} \\ z_{r,R} & z_{r,G} & z_{r,B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_{r,R} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{r,G} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_{r,B} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$z_{r,R} = 1 - x_{r,R} - y_{r,R}$$

$$z_{r,G} = 1 - x_{r,G} - y_{r,G}$$

$$z_{r,R} = 1 - x_{r,R} - y_{r,R}$$

 $k_{\rm r,R}, k_{\rm r,G}, k_{\rm r,B}$ are the relative factors to relate the measured chromaticity coordinates to the relative tristimulus values.

Based on the additivity of tristimulus values, when the chromaticity coordinates $(x_{m,W}, y_{m,W})$ and $(x_{r,W}, y_{r,W})$ for white of the display is measured by the target instrument and the reference instrument, respectively, the following relationships hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{m,W} \\ y_{m,W} \\ z_{m,W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{m,R} & x_{m,G} & x_{m,B} \\ y_{m,R} & y_{m,G} & y_{m,B} \\ z_{m,R} & z_{m,G} & z_{m,B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_{m,R} \\ k_{m,G} \\ k_{m,B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{r,W} \\ y_{r,W} \\ z_{r,W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{r,R} & x_{r,G} & x_{r,B} \\ y_{r,R} & y_{r,G} & y_{r,B} \\ z_{r,R} & z_{r,G} & z_{r,B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_{r,R} \\ k_{r,G} \\ k_{r,B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

The white color of the display can be of any intensity combination of the three primary colors. The values of $k_{\rm m,R}$, $k_{\rm m,G}$, $k_{\rm m,B}$ and $k_{\rm r,R}$, $k_{\rm r,G}$, $k_{\rm r,B}$ are obtained by solving Eq 15 and 16 as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{m,R} \\ k_{m,G} \\ k_{m,B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{m,R} & x_{m,G} & x_{m,B} \\ y_{m,R} & y_{m,G} & y_{m,B} \\ z_{m,R} & z_{m,G} & z_{m,B} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{m,W} \\ y_{m,W} \\ z_{m,W} \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{r,R} \\ k_{r,G} \\ k_{P} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{r,R} & x_{r,G} & x_{r,B} \\ y_{r,R} & y_{r,G} & y_{r,B} \\ z_{r,R} & z_{r,G} & z_{r,B} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{r,W} \\ y_{r,W} \\ z_{r,W} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

By entering the values of $k_{\rm m,R}$, $k_{\rm m,G}$, $k_{\rm m,B}$ and $k_{\rm r,R}$, $k_{\rm r,G}$, $k_{\rm r,B}$ into Eq 13 and 14, $M_{\rm rel,RGB}$ and $N_{\rm rel,RGB}$ are determined, then, the correction matrix $R_{\rm rel}$ is obtained by:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{rel}} = \mathbf{N}_{\text{rel,RGB}} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{rel,RGB}}^{-1} \tag{19}$$

If luminance value Y is not available or of no interest, $R_{\rm rel}$ is used to correct the chromaticity coordinates $(x_{\rm m}, y_{\rm m})$ of any color of the display measured by the target instrument. In this case, the relative tristimulus values of the measured color is taken as:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{\text{rel}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{\text{rel,m}} \\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{\text{rel,m}} \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{\text{rel,m}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{\text{m}} \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{\text{m}} \\ (1 - \boldsymbol{x}_{\text{m}} - \boldsymbol{y}_{\text{m}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

Then the corrected relative tristimulus values $M'_{\rm rel}$ of the measured color is obtained by:

$$\mathbf{M'}_{\text{rel}} = \begin{bmatrix} X'_{\text{rel,m}} \\ Y'_{\text{rel,m}} \\ Z'_{\text{rel,m}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_{\text{rel}} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{rel}}$$
 (21)

The corrected chromaticity coordinate is obtained by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_{m} \\ y'_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X'_{\text{rel,m}} / (X'_{\text{rel,m}} + Y'_{\text{rel,m}} + Z'_{\text{rel,m}}) \\ Y'_{\text{rel,m}} / (X'_{\text{rel,m}} + Y'_{\text{rel,m}} + Z'_{\text{rel,m}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

If the luminance values for the four colors $(Y_{\rm m,R}, Y_{\rm m,G}, Y_{\rm m,B}, Y_{\rm m,W})$ and $(Y_{\rm r,R}, Y_{\rm r,G}, Y_{\rm r,B}, Y_{\rm r,W})$ are also measured by the target instrument and the reference instrument, respectively, a correction matrix can be obtained for correction of absolute tristimulus values. In this case, The matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_{\rm rel}$ needs only to be scaled by an additional factor.

First, the absolute tristimulus values of the four colors measured by the target instrument are obtained by:

$$M_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{m,R} \\ Y_{m,R} \\ Z_{m,R} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{Y_{m,R}}{y_{m,R}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_{m,R} \\ y_{m,R} \\ (1 - x_{m,R} - y_{m,R}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)
$$M_{G} = \dots$$
$$M_{B} = \dots$$
$$M_{W} = \dots$$

Then, the ratios of Y values measured by the reference instrument to the Y values measured by the target instrument and corrected by R_{rel} matrix are obtained by:

$$K_{R} = \frac{Y_{r,R}}{R_{rel,2} \cdot M_{R}}$$

$$K_{G} = \dots$$

$$K_{B} = \dots$$

$$K_{W} = \dots$$

where:

 $R_{\text{rel},2}$ is the second row of R_{rel} matrix. The R matrix for correction of Y (and absolute tristimulus values) is then obtained by:

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\left(K_{\mathrm{R}} + K_{\mathrm{G}} + K_{\mathrm{B}} + K_{\mathrm{W}}\right)}{4} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{rel}} \tag{25}$$

When any color of the display is measured with the target instrument, the measured absolute tristimulus values are obtained by:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\mathrm{m}} \\ Y_{\mathrm{m}} \\ Z_{\mathrm{m}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{Y_{\mathrm{m}}}{y_{\mathrm{m}}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\mathrm{m}} \\ y_{\mathrm{m}} \\ (1 - x_{\mathrm{m}} - y_{\mathrm{m}}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

The corrected tristimulus values are obtained by:

$$\mathbf{M}' = \begin{bmatrix} X'_{\mathrm{m}} \\ Y'_{\mathrm{m}} \\ Z'_{\mathrm{m}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{M} \tag{27}$$

The corrected chromaticity coordinate is obtained by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_{m} \\ y'_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X'_{m}/(X'_{m} + Y'_{m} + Z'_{m}) \\ Y'_{m}/(X'_{m} + Y'_{m} + Z'_{m}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

8. Application

8.1 Optimization:

- 8.1.1 The optimization using this method requires a target instrument (a colorimeter being optimized) and a reference colorimeter that measure chromaticity coordinates (x,y) and, optionally, luminance Y. A correction matrix R or $R_{\rm rel}$ is obtained by this method that can correct the results measured by the target instrument into the results that would be measured by the reference instrument. The accuracy of the corrected results, therefore, depends on the accuracy of measurement by the reference instrument. The reference colorimeter must be a well-trusted instrument, such as a spectroradiometer being used according to Test Method E1336, or a tristimulus colorimeter with a much closer match to the CIE $\bar{x}(\lambda)$, $\bar{y}(\lambda)$, $\bar{z}(\lambda)$ functions than the colorimeter being optimized, or a tristimulus colorimeter whose relative spectral responsivity is known and spectral mismatch correction is applied.
- 8.1.2 The calibration needs to be done using the same type of display that is measured by the target colorimeter, in order for this method to be effective. The reduction of errors will not be effective if the optimization is done using one type of display and the obtained R or $R_{\rm rel}$ matrix is used for measurement of other types of display (10). If the target colorimeter is to measure multiple types of displays (for example, CRT, LCD, LED, etc.), the R or $R_{\rm rel}$ matrix must be obtained for each type of display. The display used for optimization using this method must produce each primary color (red, green, blue) and white. The intensity of each color can be independent with each other, but needs to be stable during each measurement session when the color is measured by both the target instrument and the reference instrument.
- 8.1.3 Measurements of chromaticity coordinates (and luminance Y) are made of the four colors with the target colorimeter and the reference instrument. These values are entered into Eq 17 and 18 to obtain $k_{\rm m,R}$, $k_{\rm m,G}$, $k_{\rm m,B}$ and $k_{\rm r,R}$, $k_{\rm r,G}$, $k_{\rm r,B}$ and then to calculate matrix $R_{\rm rel}$ in Eq 19. If there are no luminance (Y) data, optimization is complete.
- 8.1.4 If there are luminance (Y) data, these are entered into Eq 23 and 24 to calculate matrix R in Eq 25.
- 8.2 Manual Data Correction—Subsequent measurements made by the same tristimulus colorimeter on the same display are corrected using Eq 20-22 when there is no luminance data, or using Eq 26-28 when luminance data are included.

8.3 Automatic Data correction:

8.3.1 All tristimulus colorimeters have, in some form, an internal calibration matrix C that relates detector signals to tristimulus values, as described in Eq 2. C may be required to reduce the dimensionality of the instrument from f to 3.

- 8.3.2 A tristimulus colorimeter may, by design, incorporate a provision to transform a tentative determination of X, Y, Z (that is, $X_{\rm m}$, $Y_{\rm m}$, $Z_{\rm m}$) to a more accurate determination of X, Y, Z by the application of Eq 8. Such a colorimeter contains, in some form, an embodiment of matrix R. This matrix is distinguished from the C matrices shown in Eq 3-5 by the fact that it contains, in general, no zero elements. That is, all three inputs contribute to the determination of all three tristimulus values, with nine degrees of calibration freedom. C and C may be implemented jointly.
- 8.3.3 A tristimulus colorimeter may be described as compatible with this standard practice if and only if (1) it includes an implementation of matrix R or $R_{\rm rel}$, and (2) the user of the colorimeter has means to set the nine R or $R_{\rm rel}$ matrix elements to allow continued, automatic application of Eq 8. A compatible colorimeter may also provide means to compute R or $R_{\rm rel}$ by allowing the user to enter the true (x, y) or (x, y, Y) of each primary color and white of a display. These implementations may be either locally dedicated to the colorimeter or installed in a computer interfaced to the colorimeter.

9. Precision and Bias

- 9.1 The typical precision and bias of tristimulus value measurements made using this practice have yet to be determined. However, it is expected that the limits of precision and the origins of bias in such measurements will arise from causes outside of the scope of this practice, such as those discussed as follows:
- 9.1.1 This practice transfers the calibration from a well-trusted spectroradiometer or a colorimeter to another instrument, a tristimulus colorimeter. No matter how well the transfer is made, the bias of the final measurements will reflect the precision and bias of the reference device.
- 9.1.2 This practice is limited to discussion of the spectral characteristics of the instruments and does not consider any biases that might arise from the geometry of collection of radiant flux by either the tristimulus colorimeter or the reference device. For example, the spectral radiance of an active matrix liquid crystal display (AMLCD) can be highly directional. Care must be taken when such a display is used to transfer calibration between instruments with different numerical apertures. Also, displays exhibit spatial non-uniformities in their radiance. The inability of dissimilar instruments to measure the same area of a display can also limit the accuracy of this technique.
- 9.1.3 While this practice relives the effect of the temporal response characteristics of the target colorimeter or the reference device (for example, against flicker of displays), the user is reminded that the correction of luminance values (using Eq 27) is still liable to measurement errors due to display flicker and instability, particularly if the colorimeter and the reference instrument are dissimilar.
- 9.1.4 This practice presumes linear instrumental and display behavior, as described in 6.1.4 and 6.2.3. The measurements may be biased if these presumptions are incorrect.
- 9.1.5 This practice does not consider biases that might arise due to the environment in which it is performed. Specifically, ambient light may affect the measurements if they are not made

in a dark room. Changes in ambient temperature may affect the performance of either the tristimulus colorimeter or the reference device.

9.1.6 The selection of the filter passbands (6.1.1) and the selection of the C_{ij} matrix elements when f > 3 (6.1.3 - 6.1.6) are topics beyond the scope of this practice. These choices affect the precision of the technique by emphasizing or deemphasizing statistically significant differences between the detector channel signals that correlate to the differences in the tristimulus values of the light source. However, effects such as bandpass and wavelength errors of a spectroradiometer contribute to distorted color matching functions when tristimulus values are calculated from the spectral data, in which case, this ASTM method is effective, often for array-type spectroradiometers.

9.2 This practice is intended to help minimize the errors in the determination of the chromaticity coordinates (x, y) or (u', v'). No representation is made that this practice minimizes errors in the determination of absolute tristimulus values.

10. Keywords

10.1 calibration (tristimulus colorimeters); cathode ray tubes (CRTs); chromaticity; chromaticity coordinates; colorimetry; data analysis; flat-panel displays; instrumental measurement (color/light); light emitting diodes (LEDs); liquid crystal displays (LCDs); luminance; matrix transformation; self-luminous displays; tristimulus colorimeters; tristimulus values; visual/video display units (VDUs)

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

X1.1 A numerical example is given here for the case in which chromaticity coordinates and luminance of 10 colors of a CRT display were measured with a target instrument (a tristimulus colorimeter) and a reference instrument (a spectroradiometer), and the optimization procedure described in this ASTM standard practice was applied. Table X1.1 shows the results of the measurements and corrections for chromaticity coordinates (x, y). Table X1.2 shows the results of measurements and corrections for luminance Y. The obtained $R_{\rm rel}$ matrix and R matrix in this example are:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{rel}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0059 - 0.0181 & 0.0169 \\ 0.0290 & 0.9463 & 0.0055 \\ 0.0171 - 0.0305 & 1.0342 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and

$$\mathbf{R} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1.0218 - 0.0183 & 0.0172 \\ 0.0294 & 0.9612 & 0.0056 \\ 0.0173 - 0.0310 & 1.0505 \end{array} \right]$$

TABLE X1.1 Results for Measurement and Correction of Chromaticity Coordinates (x, y)

CRT Color	Referer	nce Inst.	Targe	et Inst	Origina	l Errors	Corrected	Target Inst.	Residu	al Error
Ch i Coloi	X	У	X	y	Δx	Δy	X	y	Δx	Δy
Full White	0.3232	0.3395	0.322	0.347	-0.0012	0.0075	0.3232	0.3395	0.0000	0.0000
Red	0.6300	0.3354	0.632	0.335	0.0020	-0.0004	0.6300	0.3354	0.0000	0.0000
Green	0.3109	0.5927	0.306	0.592	-0.0049	-0.0007	0.3109	0.5927	0.0000	0.0000
Blue	0.1503	0.0633	0.144	0.061	-0.0063	-0.0023	0.1503	0.0633	0.0000	0.0000
Cyan	0.2344	0.3410	0.232	0.352	-0.0024	0.0110	0.2341	0.3422	-0.0003	0.0012
Magenta	0.3277	0.1629	0.330	0.165	0.0023	0.0021	0.3281	0.1637	0.0004	0.0008
Yellow	0.4256	0.5025	0.420	0.504	-0.0056	0.0015	0.4255	0.5023	-0.0002	-0.0002
Color 8	0.3735	0.3406	0.373	0.347	-0.0005	0.0064	0.3738	0.3408	0.0003	0.0002
Color 9	0.3207	0.4069	0.318	0.415	-0.0027	0.0081	0.3204	0.4078	-0.0004	0.0009
Color 10	0.2817	0.2740	0.280	0.281	-0.0017	0.0070	0.2810	0.2735	-0.0007	-0.0005
RMS					0.0035	0.0059			0.0003	0.0006

TABLE X1.2 Results for Measurement and Correction of Luminance Y

CRT Color	Ref. Inst. Y (cd/m²)	Test Inst. Y (cd/m²)	Original Error (%)	Corrected Test Inst. (cd/m ²)	Residual Error (%)	
Full White	163.43	164.0	0.4	162.99	-0.3	
Red	36.01	35.6	-1.1	36.22	0.6	
Green	120.46	123.0	2.1	120.22	-0.2	
Blue	11.93	10.8	-9.5	11.91	-0.1	
Cyan	130.53	133.0	1.9	131.30	0.6	
Magenta	46.41	45.4	-2.2	47.09	1.5	
Yellow	151.11	155.0	2.6	152.92	1.2	
Color 8	104.28	105.0	0.7	104.72	0.4	
Color 9	142.07	145.0	2.1	143.17	0.8	
Color 10	94.00	94.9	1.0	94.83	0.9	
RMS			3.4		0.8	

REFERENCES

- (1) CIE Publ. 015 *Colorimetry*, available from http://www.techstreet.com.
- (2) Berns, R. S., Gorzynski, M. E., and Motta, R. J., "CRT Colorimetry. Part II: Theory and Practice," *Color Research and Application*, Vol 18, 1993, pp. 315–325.
- (3) Berns, R. S., Motta, R. J., and Gorzynski, M. E., "CRT Colorimetry. Part I: Theory and Practice," *Color Research and Application*, Vol 18, 1993, pp. 299–314.
- (4) Wagner, H. G., "Farbmessungen an Fernseh-Farbbildröhren nach dem Dreibereichsverfahren," ("Color Measurement on Color Television Tubes Using the Three-Range Method,") Farbe, Vol 22, 1973, pp. 31–43.
- (5) Lux, G., and Schanda, J., "On the Vectorial Representation of Basic Colour Perception and Its Use in Colour-Measurement," Reports of the Scientific Staff of the Research Institute for Technical Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1974, pp. 5–27.

- (6) Kokoschka, S., "Matrixkorrektur fehlangepaßter Dreibereichsfarbmeßgeräten," ("Matrix Correction of Wrongly Adjusted Tristimulus Colorimeters,") Farbe + Design, No. 11, 1979, pp. 22–25.
- (7) Erb, W., Krystek, M., and Budde, W., "A Method for Improving the Accuracy of Tristimulus Colorimeters," *Color Research and Application*, Vol 9, 1984, pp. 84–88.
- (8) Eppeldauer, G., Schanda, J., Lux, G., "Berendezés szinösszetevökés szinkoordináták meghatározására," ("Instrument to Determine CIE Tristimulus Values and Chromaticity Coordinates,") Hungarian Patent No. 168,258, Feb. 28, 1977 (Expired).
- (9) Ohno, Y., and Hardis, J., "Improved Matrix Method for Tristimulus Colorimetry of Displays," Proc., 8th Congress of the International Color Association, AIC Color 97 Kyoto, Vol 2, 1997, pp. 570–573.
- (10) Ohno, Y., and Brown, S., "Four-Color Matrix Method for Correction of Tristimulus Colorimeters—Part 2," Proc., IS&T Sixth Color Imaging Conference, 1998, pp. 65–68.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; http://www.copyright.com/