

Standard Test Method for Fabric Stability of Vinyl-Coated Glass Yarn Insect Screening and Louver Cloth¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D4912/D4912M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This test method provides a procedure for evaluating fabric stability by measuring the resistance to yarn slippage of filling yarns over warp yarns, or warp yarns over filling yarns in vinyl-coated glass yarn insect screening and louver cloth.
- 1.2 The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in non-conformance with the standard.
- 1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D76 Specification for Tensile Testing Machines for Textiles D123 Terminology Relating to Textiles

D4028 Specification for Solar Screening Woven from Vinyl-Coated Fiber Glass Yarn

D7018 Terminology Relating to Glass Fiber and Its Products

3. Terminology

- 3.1 For all terminology relating to D13.18, Glass Fiber and Its Products, refer to Terminology D7018.
- 3.1.1 The following terms are relevant to this standard: atmosphere for testing textiles, fabric stability.
- 3.2 For all other terms related to textiles, refer to Terminology D123

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Samples of the vinyl-coated glass yarn insect screening or louver cloth are subjected to a breaking force test where yarns have been severed within the gage length area. The force required to break the fused bond between yarn components and slip yarn segments in one direction over yarn segments in the opposite direction is reported as the *fabric stability*.

5. Significance and Use

- 5.1 This test method is considered satisfactory for acceptance testing of commercial shipments since the method has been used extensively in the trade for acceptance testing.
- 5.1.1 In cases of a dispute arising from differences in reported test results when using this test method for acceptance testing of commercial shipments, the purchaser and the supplier should conduct comparative tests to determine if there is a statistical bias between their laboratories. Competent statistical assistance is recommended for the investigation of bias. As a minimum, the two parties should take a group of test specimens which are as homogeneous as possible and which are from a lot of material of the type in question. The test specimens should then be randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. The average results from the two laboratories should be compared using Student's t-test for unpaired data and an acceptable probability level chosen by the two parties before the testing begins. If a bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected or the purchaser and the supplier must agree to interpret future test results in the light of the known bias.
- 5.2 Vinyl-coated glass yarn insect screening and louver cloth are subjected to a heating process to fuse the warp yarns to the filling yarns of the woven structure. The force at which yarns in one direction move over yarns in the opposite direction is a measure of the bond of fusion. The degree of the bond of fusion on the vinyl-coated glass yarn insect screening and louver cloth is used for process control. Fabric stability was formerly called resistance to yarn slippage.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Tensile Testing Machine*—In case of dispute a constant-rate-of-extension, CRE, tensile testing machine as described in Specification D76 will be used. When agreed upon between the

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.18 on Glass Fiber and its Products.

Current edition approved Feb. 1, 2014. Published March 2014. Originally approved in 1989. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as D4912–07. DOI: 10.1520/D4912-14.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

purchaser and the supplier, a constant-rate-of-traverse tensile, CRT, testing machine can be used.

6.1.1 The clamp faces shall be rubber or smooth metallic faced at least 10 mm [0.4 in.] wider than the specimen width and with a minimum of 25 mm [1 in.] in the direction of application of force.

Note 1—The covering of the metallic faces with approximately a 3-mm [0.11-in.] thick cardboard or other suitable material has been found useful for preventing crushing of the specimen.

7. Sampling and Number of Specimens

- 7.1 Lot Size—A lot is defined as a single shipment of a single type of glass textile. A lot may constitute all or part of a single customer order.
- 7.2 Lot Sample—As a lot sample for acceptance testing, take the number of rolls of insect screening or louver cloth directed in an applicable material specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier. Consider rolls of insect screening or louver cloth to be the primary sampling unit. In the absence of such an agreement, take the number of rolls specified in Table 1.

TABLE 1 Number of Rolls of Fabric in the Lot Sample

Total Length of	Number of Lot	
m	[ft]	Sampling Units
245 or less	[800 or less]	2
246 to 6700, inclusive	[801 to 22 000, inclusive]	3
6701 and over	[22 001 and over]	5

Note 2—An adequate specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier requires taking into account the variability between rolls of insect screening or louver cloth and between test specimens from a swatch or roll of insect screening or louver cloth to produce a sampling plan with meaningful producer's risk, consumer's risk, acceptable quality level, and limiting quality level.

- 7.3 Laboratory Sample—As a laboratory sample for acceptance testing, proceed as directed in an applicable material specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier. In the absence of such an agreement, use the lot sampling units for the laboratory sampling units. Take a full width swatch, 2 m [2 yd] long, from each selected lot sampling unit of screening or louver cloth. Take swatches after first discarding a minimum of 1 m [1 yd] from the very outside of the roll.
- 7.4 Test Specimens—From each swatch in the laboratory sample, cut five specimens in each the warp and filling direction, 50 by 125 mm [2 by 5 in.] with the long dimension respectively parallel to the warp and filling yarns. Cut alternate lengthwise yarns as shown in Fig. 1. Cut yarns equidistant from the center of the specimen length having three widthwise yarns between the yarns cut above the center of the specimen length (Cut A) and the yarns cut below the center of the specimen length (cut B). Ensure each lengthwise yarn has only one cut. The short direction is the direction of test. Take test specimens from each swatch in the laboratory sample in such a way that no specimen is closer than one tenth the width of the swatch from the selvage with no two specimens cut parallel to the warp containing the same set of warp ends or if cut parallel to




FIG. 1 Screening, Nonmetallic, Insert; Cut Pattern of Specimen

the filling, containing the same set of filling picks, and the specimens from different swatches are each taken from a different part of the width of the swatches.

8. Procedure

8.1 Condition the laboratory samples without preconditioning for period of at least 5 h in the atmosphere for testing glass textiles, unless otherwise specified.

Note 3—In any event, 24 h is considered ample exposure to bring the samples to moisture equilibrium.

- 8.2 Select the force range of the tensile testing machine such that the maximum force required to separate the specimen occurs between 10 and 90 % of the full-scale force range. Secure the specimen centrally in the clamps of the tensile testing machine, taking care that the long dimension is as nearly as possible parallel to the direction of application of the force. Ensure that the tension in the specimen is uniform across the clamped width.
- 8.3 When using air-actuated clamps set the air pressure to 275 \pm 15 kPa [40 \pm 2 psi]. When using manual clamps, ensure no slippage of the specimen occurs in the clamps.
- 8.4 Adjust the tensile testing machine in the starting position to a distance of 75 \pm 1 mm [3 \pm 0.05 in.] from nip to nip of the clamps along the specimen axis.
- 8.5 Operate the CRE tensile testing machine with a pulling speed of 125 \pm 5 mm/min [5 \pm 0.2 in./min], and when agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier, a CRT tensile machine with a pulling speed of 300 \pm 10 mm/min [12 \pm 0.5 in./min].

Note 4—Values for fabric stability show no statistical difference at 95% confidence limit when using the tensile testers and rates of operation

specified in 8.4. Refer to Specification D4028 for comparison.

8.6 Activate the tensile testing machine and record the maximum force required to separate the specimen into two sections as indicated by slippage of the yarns out of the woven pattern or out of the vinyl casing at the location between the cut lines. If a specimen slips in the jaws, breaks at the edge of, or in the jaws, or if for any reason attributed to faulty operation the result falls markedly below the average for the set of specimen, discard the result and take another specimen. Continue this procedure until the required number of acceptable specimens have been tested for each, the warp and filling direction.

9. Report

- 9.1 Report that the specimens were tested as directed in Test Method D4912/D4912M. Include the product description and the type tensile testing machine used.
- 9.2 Report, for each laboratory sampling unit of insect screening or louver cloth, the average newtons [pounds-force] for each, the warp, and filling direction.
- 9.3 Report, for the lot average, the average newtons [pounds-force] for each, the warp, and filling direction for all tested laboratory sampling units.

10. Precision and Bias

10.1 Summary—In 95 out of 100 cases when comparing two averages of five determinations each, the differences should not exceed the following amounts when all of the determinations are taken by the same well trained operator using the same piece of test equipment and specimens randomly drawn from the same sample of material but tested at different times.

Warp Direction—9.6 % of the average Fill Direction—8.8 % of the average

Larger differences are likely to occur under all other conditions. This test method has no bias since the true value of fabric stability can only be defined in a specific test method. The basis for this summary and for evaluations made under other conditions is explained in 10.2 - 10.4.

10.2 Interlaboratory Test Data³—An interlaboratory test was run in 1986 in which randomly drawn specimens of vinyl-coated glass yarn insect screening were tested in each of four laboratories. Each laboratory used two operators, each of whom tested five specimens of each material in each the warp and filling direction at different times. The components of variance expressed as coefficients of variation are listed in Table 2.

Note 5—The square roots of the components of variance are being reported to express the variability as a percent of the average fabric stability rather than as the square of that unit of measure.

10.3 *Precision*—For the components of variance reported in Table 2, two averages of observed values should be considered

TABLE 2 Coefficients of Variation, Vinyl Coated Glass Yarn Insect Screening, Percent of Average

Material Direction	Single-Operator Component, %	Within- Laboratory Component, %	Between- Laboratory Component, %
Warp			
Single-material	7.8	4.1	0.0
Multi-material	9.8	4.1	6.0
Fill			
Single-material	7.1	5.0	2.8
Multi-material	7.1	5.0	5.1

significantly different at the 95% probability level if the difference equals or exceeds the critical differences listed in Table 3.

TABLE 3 Critical Differences for the Conditions Noted, Vinyl-Coated Glass Yarn Insect Screening, 95 % Probability Level, Percent of Average^A

Number of Observations in Each Average	Single-Operator Precision, %	Within-Laboratory Precision, %	Between- Laboratory Precision, %			
Warp, Single-Material Comparisons						
1	21.6	24.3	24.3			
2	15.3	18.9	18.9			
5	9.6	14.8	14.8			
10	6.8	13.1	13.1			
Warp, Multi-Material Comparisons						
1	22.3	25.0	30.0			
2	16.2	19.8	25.8			
5	11.1	15.8	22.9			
10	8.8	14.3	21.9			
Fill, Single-Material Comparisons						
1	19.7	24.0	25.3			
2	13.9	19.6	21.1			
5	8.8	16.3	18.1			
10	6.2	15.1	17.0			
Fill, Multi-Material Comparisons						
1	19.7	24.0	27.8			
2	13.9	19.6	24.1			
5	8.8	16.3	21.5			
10	6.2	15.1	20.6			

^A To convert the values of the critical differences to fabric stability, pounds, multiply the critical difference by the average of the two specific sets of data being compared and then divide by 100.

Note 6—Since the interlaboratory test included only four laboratories, estimates of between-laboratory precision should be used with special caution.

Note 7—The tabulated values of the critical differences should be considered to be a general statement particularly with respect to between-laboratory precision. Before a meaningful statement can be made about any two specific laboratories, the amount of statistical bias if any, between them must be established, with each comparison based on recent data obtained on specimens taken from a lot of material of the type being evaluated and nearly homogeneous as possible and then randomly assigned in equal numbers to the two laboratories.

10.4 *Bias*—The procedure in this test method has no bias because the value of fabric stability can be defined only in terms of a test method.

11. Keywords

11.1 fabric stability; vinyl-coated glass; insect screening; louver cloth

³ Supporting data have been filed at ASTM International Headquarters and may be obtained by requesting Research Report RR:D13-1077.



ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).