

Standard Test Method for Determination of Boron, Silicon, and Technetium in Hydrolyzed Uranium Hexafluoride by Inductively Coupled Plasma—Mass Spectrometer After Removal of Uranium by Solid Phase Extraction¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1771; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of boron, silicon and technetium in hydrolyzed uranium hexafluoride (UF₆) by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) after separation of the uranium by solid phase extraction.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. Some specific hazards statements are given in Section 7 on Hazards.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

C787 Specification for Uranium Hexafluoride for Enrichment

C859 Terminology Relating to Nuclear Materials

C996 Specification for Uranium Hexafluoride Enriched to Less Than 5 % $^{235}\mathrm{U}$

C1346 Practice for Dissolution of UF_6 from P-10 Tubes C1689 Practice for Subsampling of Uranium Hexafluoride D1193 Specification for Reagent Water

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of other standard terms in this test method, refer to Terminology C859.

3.2 Definitions:

3.2.1 *internal reference solution*, n—a solution containing non-analyte elements, the signal from which is used to correct for variation in the performance of a measuring instrument through the course of analyzing a batch of samples, thereby improving precision.

3.2.2 *method blank, n*—a solution which in so far as is practical duplicates the sample to be analyzed and passes through the same measurement process but does not initially contain significant quantities of any of the analytes to be measured.

3.2.2.1 *Discussion*—The method blank does not initially contain significant quantities of analyte, hence the value of any analyte measured may be assumed to be due to interference, matrix effects or contamination introduced as a consequence of sample processing, The contribution of such factors to the value measured on the genuine sample may therefore be eliminated by subtracting the measured value for the method blank, typically providing a better estimate for the true value of the quantity of analyte in the sample.

3.2.3 *recovery correction*, n—a factor applied to the measured value of the analyte in the sample to account for losses of analyte during sample processing.

3.2.3.1 *Discussion*—Some of the analyte originally present in a sample is likely to be lost during the process of preparing the sample for instrumental measurement, so that the measured value will typically be subject to negative bias. The proportion of analyte lost may be estimated by repeated measurement of a sample containing a known quantity of the analyte and a correction factor introduced to account for losses. Recovery correction is only required when analyte losses are significant when compared with overall measurement uncertainty.

3.2.4 *spike*, *n*—a known quantity of analyte added to a sample.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A 4 % by weight solution of UF_6 is initially prepared by reacting a quantity of UF_6 with water. Sub-sampling of UF_6 may be carried out as described in Practice C1689. Preparation

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C26.05 on Methods of Test.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2013. Published February 2013. DOI: 10.1520/ C1771-13

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

of the hydrolyzed solution may be carried out as described in Practice C1346. The laboratory may choose to adopt a simplified version of the standard practices, or to adopt other practices, provided that any additional error thereby introduced is incorporated within precision statements for the method.

4.2 Concentrated nitric acid is added to the uranium solution to give a nitric acid concentration of approximately 1.5 M. The solution is then passed through a diamyl amylphosphonate (DAAP) resin column which retains the uranium. Boron, silicon and technetium are eluted from the column with 2 M nitric acid and the solution made up to volume with reagent water. The boron, silicon and technetium concentrations are then measured using an ICP-MS. An on-line internal reference solution may be used to correct results for any instrumental drift and results are blank corrected using a prepared method blank.

Note 1—The method described in this standard uses a 3 mL sample of 4 % by weight UF_6 solution. The apparatus and the quantities and concentrations of reagents and materials described in the standard are appropriate to this sample size and concentration. The data presented in Section 14 have been generated using samples of this size and concentration. Alternative sample sizes and concentrations may be used but will require that the laboratory adjust apparatus, reagents and materials accordingly and validate the method for the adjusted conditions.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This method is capable of measuring the concentration of boron, silicon and technetium in UF_6 . Limits for these contaminants are set in Specifications C787 and C996.

6. Interferences

6.1 ⁹⁹Tc suffers an isobaric interference with ⁹⁹Ru and a molecular interference due to ⁹⁸MoH⁺ ions; however, the diluted, hydrolyzed UF₆ samples should not give rise to any significant amount of ⁹⁹Ru or ⁹⁸MoH⁺ ions.

7. Apparatus

7.1 Ordinary laboratory apparatus are not listed but are assumed to be present.

7.1.1 ICP-MS controlled by computer and fitted with associated software and peripherals, including an inert sample introduction system.

NOTE 2—A standard quartz sample introduction system is not suitable as it will affect the silicon measurement. A perfluoroalkoxy fluorocarbon plastic (PFA) introduction system with platinum injector has proven acceptable. New equipment may need to be pre-soaked or flushed with a dilute hydrofluoric acid solution, or both, to obtain a stable silicon background.

Note 3—It is recommended that an auto sampler with tube racks and plastic sample tubes compatible with the ICP-MS be used.

7.1.2 Balance to read to 0.01 g intervals or less.

7.1.3 Appropriately sized, variable volume pipettes such as 1 to 10 mL; 100 to 1200 μ L; 20 to 300 μ L; 5 to 100 μ L used with polyethylene pipette tips.

7.1.4 Appropriately sized plastic, spouted measuring cylinders (for preparing dilute acids).

7.1.5 Plastic beakers, 100 mL size.

7.1.6 Low density polyethylene bottles with leak proof lids, various sizes.

Note 4—PFA containers may be used as an alternative and may help to reduce silicon background levels.

7.1.7 Polyfluoroalkoxy fluorocarbon plastic (PFA) bottles, various sizes.

7.1.8 Polyethylene sample tubes with leak proof lids, 25 mL and 50 mL size.

7.1.9 Disposable Gloves—impermeable and powder free to avoid the potential for contamination and to provide protection against toxic and corrosive substances. PVC gloves are suitable.

Note 5— The use of glassware must be avoided throughout this method as interaction with acid fluoride solutions will affect the silicon measurement.

8. Reagents and Materials

8.1 The sensitivity of the ICP-MS technique requires the use of ultra high purity reagents in order to be able to obtain low levels of detection and satisfactory precision. All the reagents below are ultra high purity grade unless otherwise stated.

8.1.1 Concentrated nitric acid, specific gravity 1.42, 16 M.

8.1.2 Concentrated hydrochloric acid, specific gravity 1.18, 11.3 M.

8.1.3 Concentrated hydrofluoric acid, 48~% by weight or similar concentration.

8.1.4 Reagent water conforming to Specification D1193.

8.1.5 Nitric acid, 0.32 M (200 mL of concentrated nitric acid diluted to 10 L or equivalent ratio).

8.1.6 Nitric acid, 2 M (125 mL of concentrated nitric acid diluted to 1 L or equivalent ratio).

8.1.7 Nitric acid, 3 M (188 mL of concentrated nitric acid diluted to 1 L or equivalent ratio).

8.1.8 Hydrochloric acid, 0.1 M (8.8 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid diluted to 1 L or equivalent ratio).

8.1.9 Two independent 10 000 mg/L silicon standards (one for calibration, one for sample spiking and Quality Control).

8.1.10 Two independent 1000 mg/L boron standards (one for calibration, one for sample spiking and Quality Control).

8.1.11 Two independent technetium standards (one for calibration, one for sample spiking and Quality Control). Concentrations at 52.04 Bq/mL (82 μ g/L) and 63.24 Bq/mL (100 μ g/L) have proven acceptable but other similar values may be used if precisely known.

8.1.12 1000 mg/L indium, scandium and beryllium standards (used for internal reference solutions).

NOTE 6—Alternative elements may be used for the internal reference solution. Care must be taken to ensure consistency between batches of standards where the element chosen has more than one naturally occurring isotope.

8.1.13 Synthetic Pseudo Blank Matrix. 29 mL of 48 % by weight hydrofluoric acid diluted to 1 L or equivalent mixture to produce a 1.3 % by weight fluoride solution (equivalent fluoride concentration to a 4 % by weight UF₆ solution). Store in a PFA bottle and mix thoroughly before use.

8.1.14 Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. This is synthetic pseudo blank matrix that has passed through the preparation and solid phase extraction process described in paragraphs 12.1 – 12.10, measured and shown to contain very low levels of

boron, silicon and technetium. It is used to prepare calibration standards and instrument quality control samples.

8.1.15 Pre-packed DAAP resin columns, 2 mL, together with reservoirs and end caps as appropriate.

NOTE 7—New columns may need to be pre-treated before first use to remove trace silicon contamination. Pre-treatment may be carried out by passing sample material that does not require analysis through the column, eluting and regenerating the column as described in Section 12.

8.1.16 Argon gas (carrier gas for the ICP-MS), >99.99 % purity.

9. Hazards

9.1 Adequate laboratory facilities, such as fume hoods and controlled ventilation, along with safe techniques, must be used in this procedure. Extreme care should be exercised in using hydrofluoric and other concentrated acids. Use of chemical resistant gloves and eye protection is recommended. Refer to the laboratory's health and safety arrangements and other applicable guidance for handling chemical and radioactive materials and for the management of radioactive, mixed, and hazardous waste.

9.2 Hydrofluoric acid is a highly corrosive acid that can severely burn skin, eyes, and mucous membranes. Hydrofluoric acid is similar to other acids in that the initial extent of a burn depends on the concentration, the temperature, and the duration of contact with the acid. Hydrofluoric acid differs from other acids because the fluoride ion readily penetrates the skin, causing destruction of deep tissue layers. Unlike other acids that are rapidly neutralized, hydrofluoric acid reactions with tissue may continue for days if left untreated. Due to the serious consequences of hydrofluoric acid burns, prevention of exposure or injury of personnel is the primary goal. Utilization of appropriate laboratory controls (hoods) and wearing adequate personal protective equipment to protect from skin and eye contact is essential.

9.3 The ICP-MS is a source of intense ultra-violet radiation from the radio frequency induced plasma. Protection from radio frequency radiation and UV radiation is provided by the instrument under normal operation.

10. Calibration and Standardization

10.1 The standards and blanks described below are prepared. The laboratory may choose to prepare different volumes of these materials and at different concentrations where appropriate to the requirements of the laboratory and the measurement to be performed.

10.2 Internal Reference Solution (50 μ g/L In, 100 μ g/L Sc and 500 μ g/L Be). Add approximately 1.5 L of 0.32 M nitric acid to a 2 L PFA bottle. Pipet 0.1 mL of 1000 mg/L indium standard, 0.2 mL of 1000 mg/L scandium standard and 1.0 mL of 1000 mg/L beryllium standard into the bottle. Fill up to the 2 L mark with 0.32 M nitric acid. Mix thoroughly before use.

10.3 *Boron, Silicon and Technetium Stock Solution*, used for calibration standards (2.5 mg/L B, 100 mg/L Si and 500 ng/L ⁹⁹Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of 0.32 M nitric acid to the bottle. Pipet 0.25 mL of 1000 mg/L boron standard, 1.0 mL of

10 000 mg/L silicon standard and 0.61 mL of 82 μ g/L technetium standard solution into the bottle. Make up to 101 g (±0.5 g) with 0.32 M nitric acid. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

Note 8—The volume of a technetium standard with a different starting concentration should be adjusted to give the required final concentration of 500 ng/L, or any different concentration deemed more appropriate to the requirements of the laboratory (see 10.1).

Note 9—The density of 0.32 M nitric acid at 20°C is taken to be 1.009 g/mL.

10.4 Boron Silicon and Technetium Blank Calibration Standard. Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add 101 g (± 0.5 g) of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix to the bottle. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

10.5 Boron, Silicon and Technetium Calibration Standard 1 (10 µg/L B, 400 µg/L Si and 2.0 ng/L 99 Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix to the bottle. Pipet 0.4 mL of Boron, Silicon and Technetium Stock Solution into the bottle and make up to 101 g (±0.5 g) with Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

10.6 Boron, Silicon and Technetium Calibration Standard 2 (20 µg/L B, 800 µg/L Si and 4.0 ng/L 99 Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix to the bottle. Pipet 0.8 mL of Boron, Silicon and Technetium Stock Solution into the bottle and make up to 101 g (\pm 0.5 g) with Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

10.7 Boron, Silicon and Technetium Calibration Standard 3 (50 µg/L B, 2.0 mg/L Si and 10 ng/L 99 Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix to the bottle. Pipet 2.0 mL of Boron, Silicon and Technetium Stock Solution into the bottle and make up to 101 g (± 0.5 g) with Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

10.8 Boron, Silicon and Technetium Instrument Quality Control Stock/Spike Solution (12.5 mg/L B, 500 mg/L Si and 2.5 µg /L ⁹⁹Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of 0.32 M nitric acid to the bottle. Pipet 1.25 mL of 1000 mg/L boron standard, 5.0 mL of 10 000 mg/L silicon standard and 2.5 mL of 100 µg/L technetium standard into the bottle (see Note 6). Make up to 101 g (\pm 0.5g) with 0.32 M nitric acid. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly. The standards used for this solution should be different from those used to prepare the Boron, Silicon and Technetium Stock Solution used for preparation of calibration standards.

10.9 Boron, Silicon and Technetium Instrument Quality Control Sample (25 µg/L B, 1000 µg/L Si and 5 ng/L ⁹⁹Tc). Place a labeled 125 mL PFA bottle on to a balance and tare. Add approximately 50 mL of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix to the bottle. Pipet 0.2 mL of Boron Silicon and Technetium Instrument Quality Control Stock/Spike Solution into the bottle and make up to 101 g (\pm 0.5 g) with Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. Add screw top lid and mix thoroughly.

11. Conditioning

11.1 Some types of sample tube may require cleaning before use due to high levels of background silicon contamination. If this is found to be the case then tubes or lids may be cleaned by soaking for at least 1 hr, preferably overnight, in 0.32 M nitric acid. Prior to use the tubes/lids must be rinsed three times with reagent water and any excess liquid shaken off.

11.2 The uranium removal process may use a single DAAP column or a pair of DAAP columns in series depending on the quantity of uranium in the sample. The procedure as described assumes the use of a pair of DAAP columns in series.

11.3 A column pair is required for each sample in a batch, any spiked samples and a method blank. Preparation of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix may also be carried out alongside sample analysis and will require additional column pairs.

11.4 Each column pair is prepared and conditioned as follows:

11.4.1 The pair is set up, one above the other in a column rack or other suitable holder. Each column is checked for bubbling or voiding in the resin and for any yellow coloration and discarded if necessary.

11.4.2 A clean, 25 mL reservoir accessory is attached to each column and a plastic beaker is placed under the lower column. The end caps are removed and the column contents allowed to drain into the beakers.

11.4.3 A pipette is used to add 5 mL of 3 M nitric acid to the reservoir fitted to the upper column and allowed to drain through both columns. The contents of the beaker are discarded as waste. The columns are now conditioned and ready for use.

12. Procedure

12.1 Take an appropriate number of 25 mL sample tubes with lids and label with sample details. One tube is required for each sample in a batch, any spiked samples and a method blank. Preparation of Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix may also be carried out alongside sample analysis and will require additional tubes.

12.2 Pipet 3 mL of Synthetic Pseudo Blank Matrix into the sample tube for the method blank and into any sample tubes that are to be used to generate Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix.

12.3 Pipet 3 mL of each hydrolyzed UF_6 sample into a separate sample tube. At least one sample should be prepared in duplicate, with the duplicate sample to be spiked.

Note 10—3 mL of 4 % by weight of hydrolyzed UF₆ sample solution contains 0.084 g of uranium based on a density of 1.035 g/mL. This value was obtained by repeated weighing of known volumes of solution at 22°C.

12.4 Pipet 0.1 mL of the Boron, Silicon and Technetium Instrument Quality Control Stock/Spike Solution into each sample that requires spiking.

12.5 Pipet 0.3 mL of concentrated nitric acid into each sample tube. Screw the lid on to each tube and swirl gently to mix.

12.6 Take an appropriate number of 50 mL sample tubes with lids and label with sample details. One tube is required for each 25 mL sample tube that has been prepared. Place a 50 mL

sample tube below each of the pre-conditioned DAAP column pairs (see 11.4.1 - 11.4.3).

12.7 Add the contents of each 25 mL sample tube to the reservoir fitted to the top column of a separate column pair. Use a few mL of 2M nitric acid to rinse the sample tube and lid and also add the rinsing to the reservoir. Allow to drain fully through both columns into the 50 mL sample tube.

12.8 Pipet 5 mL of 2 M nitric acid into the reservoir fitted to the top column of each column pair and allow to drain fully through both columns. Repeat with a second 5 mL aliquot of 2 M nitric acid.

12.9 Once the columns have fully drained make up to 50 mL with reagent water. Screw the lids on to the sample tubes and mix thoroughly.

12.10 Any material intended for use as Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix is analyzed as a sample. If it is found to have very low levels of boron, silicon and technetium then it is collected, bulked and used to prepare calibration standards and instrument quality control samples (see 8.1.14). If levels are deemed to be too high then the liquid is discarded alongside other effluents arising from the analysis.

Note 11—Upper limits should be set for retention of material as Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix. If sample analysis is carried out in order to demonstrate conformance with Specifications C787 or C996 then upper limits in the region of 10 % of the relevant limit in the specification are considered appropriate; alternatively, the upper limit may be set as the Limit of Detection for the method as calculated by the laboratory. It is noted that the method blank does not use Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix so that blank subtraction will tend to correct for any calibration bias that might be introduced through trace quantities of analyte in the calibration standards prepared using the Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix.

12.11 Place a 100 mL plastic beaker under each pair of columns. Pipet 5 mL of 0.1 M hydrochloric acid into the reservoir fitted to the top column of each column pair and allow to drain fully through both columns. Repeat at least three times until there is no yellow coloration in the resin. Dispose of the content of the beaker in accordance with laboratory procedures for uranium contaminated effluent. This process regenerates the column for repeat use. Replace the end caps on each column and store in reagent water until next required.

12.12 Prepare the ICP-MS for analysis in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Conduct any necessary tuning and performance checks.

12.13 It is recommended that the ICP-MS be conditioned by flushing through with previously analyzed sample or Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix for a minimum of 30 min prior to calibration.

12.14 Fill 50 mL clean sample containers with an appropriate quantity of each of the four calibration solutions described in 10.4 - 10.7. Fill a fifth 50 mL sample container with an appropriate quantity of the instrument quality control sample described in 10.9.

12.15 Provide the instrument with an appropriate quantity of the internal reference solution described in 10.2.

12.16 Position the 50 ml sample tubes containing the calibration standards, method blank, instrument quality control

sample, samples, spiked sample and any material to be used to generate Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix in the auto sampler rack.

12.17 Program the instrument and auto-sampler software to initially calibrate the instrument against the four calibration standards and then to measure the boron, silicon and technetium concentration in each of the samples. The internal reference solution should be analyzed with every sample and the instrument programmed to use the measurement to automatically correct for instrumental drift.

Note 12—Use of an internal reference solution is recommended. The laboratory may choose to omit this if it is satisfied that any instrumental drift is adequately catered for within the allowed error for the method.

12.18 Also use the instrument software to automatically subtract the concentrations of boron, silicon and technetium measured in the method blank from the concentrations measured in each of the samples to give blank corrected values.

12.19 Check that regression coefficients for instrument calibration and the measurements for the instrument quality control sample and the spiked sample are within statistical parameters considered acceptable by the laboratory. If controls are acceptable then accept the measurement results.

12.20 If the measurements are sufficiently low for any material to be used to generate Bulked Pseudo Blank Matrix then collect and retain the material for use in the preparation of calibration standards and instrument quality control samples.

12.21 Retain sufficient sample material for use in conditioning the ICP-MS for the next sample run (see 12.13).

13. Calculation or Interpretation of Results

13.1 If the ICP-MS is set up as described then it will provide blank corrected results for boron, silicon and technetium as a mass per volume concentration. The concentration of contaminant relative to the original uranium mass is calculated as:

Concentration of Contaminant $(m \ a \ s \ s \ g \ U) = A \times B/C$ where:

- A = measured concentration of contaminant (such as 10 ng/mL),
- B = volume of the analytical solution (50 mL), and
- $C = \text{mass of Uranium in hydrolyzed UF}_6 \text{ sample (0.084 g for 3 mL of 4 % by weight solution).}$

13.2 The laboratory may choose to apply a recovery correction factor based upon the measurement of the spiked sample; however it is unlikely that this will be required to achieve the necessary level of accuracy for most analytical requirements.

14. Precision and Bias

14.1 Table 1 and Table 2 present data generated by measuring a low concentration and a high concentration intermediate precision sample 12 times each over a period of 12 days. The relative standard deviations were calculated as:

	Dawara	0:1:	To also address
	Boron	Silicon	Technetium
Low Concentration	3.2	2.3	2.5
Sample (%)			
High Concentration	3.3	2.6	2.5
Sample (%)			

TABLE 1 Measurement of Low Concentration Intermediate Precision Sample

Sample	Boron (µg/gU)	Silicon (µg/gU)	Technetium (ng/	
			gU)	
Int. Prec. 1–1	3.94	285	0.94	
Int. Prec. 1-2	3.96	280	0.91	
Int. Prec. 1–3	3.74	269	0.91	
Int. Prec. 1–4	3.86	274	0.95	
Int. Prec. 1–5	3.99	277	0.93	
Int. Prec. 1–6	4.13	282	0.90	
Int. Prec. 1–7	4.08	281	0.93	
Int. Prec. 1–8	3.97	277	0.95	
Int. Prec. 1–9	4.01	286	0.93	
Int. Prec. 1–10	3.99	290	0.93	
Int. Prec. 1–11	3.73	271	0.88	
Int. Prec. 1–12	3.80	274	0.89	
Mean	3.93	279	0.92	
Standard Deviation	0.127	6.34	0.023	

TABLE 2 Measurement of High Concentration Intermediate Precision Sample

Sample	Boron (µg/gU)	ron (μg/gU) Silicon (μg/gU)	
			gU)
Int. Prec. 2–1	11.6	744	2.55
Int. Prec. 2-2	11.3	740	2.54
Int. Prec. 2–3	11.7	728	5.64
Int. Prec. 2-4	11.7	745	2.67
Int. Prec. 2–5	12.3	773	2.69
Int. Prec. 2–6	12.1	760	2.63
Int. Prec. 2–7	12.1	755	2.63
Int. Prec. 2–8	12.0	767	2.68
Int. Prec. 2–9	12.0	779	2.68
Int. Prec. 2–10	12.0	787	2.66
Int. Prec. 2–11	11.2	738	2.53
Int. Prec. 2–12	11.1	728	2.51
Mean	11.8	754	2.62
Standard Deviation	0.392	19.7	0.0662

14.2 Tables 3 and 4 present data generated by preparing and measuring accuracy samples spiked to two different known concentrations, 12 times for each concentration, over a period of 12 days. Samples of 4 % by weight hydrolyzed UF₆ were spiked to give concentrations of 8.0 µg/L boron, 500 µg/L silicon and 1.8 ng/L technetium in the final analytical solution for one set of 12 samples and 20 µg/L boron, 1000 µg/L silicon and 18 ng/L technetium for the other set. The mean for the measurements was within 3 % of the nominal value in all cases. It is therefore considered that any bias is tolerable.

TABLE 3 Measurement of Low Concentration Spiked Sample for Accuracy

Sample	Boron (µg/L)	Silicon (µg/L)	Technetium (ng/L)
Int. Prec. 1-1	8.06	502	1.80
Int. Prec. 1-2	8.06	494	1.79
Int. Prec. 1–3	8.00	474	1.80
Int. Prec. 1-4	7.91	474	1.79
Int. Prec. 1–5	8.19	486	1.83
Int. Prec. 1–6	8.08	490	1.86
Int. Prec. 1-7	7.96	487	1.82
Int. Prec. 1–8	8.14	494	1.85
Int. Prec. 1–9	7.74	492	1.80
Int. Prec. 1–10	8.14	500	1.78
Int. Prec. 1–11	7.65	476	1.70
Int. Prec. 1–12	7.54	474	1.69
Mean	7.96	487	1.79
Standard Deviation	0.21	10	0.052
Expected Value	8.00	500	1.80

TABLE 4 Measurement of High Concentration Spiked Sample for Accuracy

Sample	Boron (µg/L)	Silicon (µg/L)	Technetium (ng/L)		
Int. Prec. 2–1	20.0	996	17.6		
Int. Prec. 2-2	20.5	1005	17.7		
Int. Prec. 2–3	20.1	958	17.8		
Int. Prec. 2-4	19.9	967	18.5		
Int. Prec. 2–5	19.0	905	16.9		
Int. Prec. 2–6	20.3	977	17.9		
Int. Prec. 2-7	20.2	1002	18.5		
Int. Prec. 2-8	20.0	990	18.6		
Int. Prec. 2–9	20.2	1005	17.9		
Int. Prec. 2–10	20.5	1034	18.4		
Int. Prec. 2–11	18.7	939	16.9		
Int. Prec. 2–12	19.0	944	17.1		
Mean	19.9	977	17.8		
Standard Deviation	0.62	36	0.61		
Expected Value	20.0	1000	18.0		

14.3 Table 5 presents data generated by measuring 12 method blank samples over a period of 12 days with each sample prepared independently. The values presented are those measured without blank correction. The Limit of Detection has been calculated as:

Limit of Detection = $|\bar{x}| + (\sqrt{2} \times 3.6 \times \sigma)$

where:

 $|\bar{x}|$ = modulus of the mean of the measured blank,

3.6 = two times the Student's *t* value for a probability of 0.05 and 11 degrees of freedom,

 $\sqrt{2}$ = enhanced error for normal measurements obtained through subtracting a method blank measurement from the sample measurement, and

 σ = calculated standard deviation.

TABLE 5 Measurement of Blank Samples for Limit of Detection

Sample	Boron (µg/L)	Silicon (µg/L)	Technetium (ng/L)
Blank 1	0.00	-2.80	-0.01
Blank 2	0.01	-3.71	-0.02
Blank 3	-0.02	6.20	-0.03
Blank 4	0.09	2.77	-0.04
Blank 5	-0.07	-4.46	-0.01
Blank 6	-0.09	-2.60	-0.03
Blank 7	-0.04	-2.26	0.00
Blank 8	-0.05	-3.46	0.00
Blank 9	-0.04	1.48	0.00
Blank 10	-0.04	4.62	-0.02
Blank 11	-0.01	2.97	0.01
Blank 12	-0.04	0.88	0.01
Mean	-0.025	-0.281	-0.012
Standard Deviation	3.37	3.37	0.016

14.4 The Limits of Detection for boron. silicon and technetium calculated from the data in Table 5 are:

	Boron	Silicon	Technetium
Limit of Detection (µg/L)	0.26	17.4	9.5 E-05
Limit of Detection (µg/gU)	0.15	10.4	5.7 E-05

15. Keywords

15.1 impurities; inductively coupled plasma — mass spectrometer; Uranium Hexafluoride

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT

X1.1 This Appendix estimates the overall Uncertainty of Measurement for the Method.

X1.2 A flowchart illustrating the operations that contribute to the uncertainty of measurement is presented in Fig. X1.1.

Assessment of Type A Contributions

X1.3 Sub-Sampling

X1.3.1 The weights of the UF₆ and the water used for subsequent hydrolysis to a 4 % by weight solution are measured using a suitably accurate and calibrated balance subject to regular checking. An uncertainty of less than 0.1 % is expected for the balance.

X1.3.2 All important volume measurements associated with sub-sampling are carried out using performance tested pipettes of appropriate precision and accuracy. An uncertainty of less than 2 % is expected for a single dispensing operation from a pipette.

X1.3.3 The overall uncertainty associated with subsampling is therefore thought to be small.

X1.4 Prepare Samples for Measurement

X1.4.1 The samples are prepared by elution through prepacked DAAP resin columns. The eluant is made up to 50 mL final volume in a 50 mL sample tube. The uncertainty introduced by this operation could be significant and is thought to be a major contributor to the total uncertainty. This has been assessed as part of the overall Type A Contributions to Measurement Uncertainty, calculated using Intermediate Precision results.

X1.5 Prepare Calibration Standards from Stock Solution

X1.5.1 A blank and three Calibration Standards are prepared from a calibration stock solution. Preparation of the standards involves addition of the stock solution using a



FIG. X1.1 Flowchart of Operations Contributing to Uncertainty

performance tested pipette of appropriate precision and accuracy. Dilution to 101 g (± 0.5 g) is carried out using a suitably accurate and calibrated balance subject to regular checking.

X1.5.2 An uncertainty of less than 2 % is expected for a single dispensing operation from a pipette. An uncertainty of less than 0.1% is expected for the balance. The overall uncertainty for this operation is therefore thought to be small.

X1.6 Calibrate ICP-MS

X1.6.1 The instrument is calibrated using a blank and three Calibration Standards immediately prior to each run. A regression coefficient (r^2) for each calibration of ≥ 0.998 is recommended in order to proceed with sample analysis. In this case the uncertainty associated with this process is expected to be small.

X1.7 Analyze Samples

X1.7.1 Instrumental and environmental conditions such as nebulizer performance and temperature can lead to long term instrumental drift which can affect blank and sample measurements.

X1.7.2 The measurement of an internal reference solution with every standard and sample measurement helps to correct for any instrumental drift. The samples and internal standard are subject to the same variability. Measurement of instrument quality control and spiked samples provides a warning of significant deviation.

X1.7.3 The uncertainty for this operation could prove to be significant. This has been assessed as part of the overall Type A Contributions to Measurement Uncertainty, calculated using Intermediate Precision results.

X1.8 Calculate Results

X1.8.1 No significant contribution to uncertainty is introduced during calculation of results.

X1.9 An assessment of uncertainty encompassing contributions from paragraphs X1.2 - X1.8 has been made using the Intermediate Precision measurements presented in Table 1 and summarized in 14.1 The results obtained were as follows: Combined Type A Uncertainty:

B at ~4 $\mu g/gU$ level = \pm 6.4 % at 95 % confidence *Si* at ~250 $\mu g/gU$ level = \pm 4.6 % at 95 % confidence ⁹⁹*Tc* at ~0.001 $\mu g/gU$ level = \pm 5.0 % at 95 % confidence

X1.10 Uncertainty Associated with Spike Recoveries

X1.10.1 Results obtained for accuracy using spiked samples shows any bias to be tolerable (see 14.2).

Assessment of Type B Contributions

X1.11 Prepare Stock Solution from Traceable Standards

X1.11.1 A Stock Solution for Calibration Standards is prepared from certified standards. The quoted uncertainty for the traceable standards is dependent on the supplier but should be small, less than 1 % at 95 % confidence. This uncertainty is small.

X1.11.2 Preparation of the stock solution also involves using a performance tested pipette for addition of the certified standards and dilution to 101 g (± 0.5 g) using a two decimal place balance. The uncertainties for these operations are small (see X1.5.2).

X1.11.3 The overall Type B Uncertainty is considered to be small.



Overall Uncertainty of Measurement

X1.12 From Intermediate Precision measurements

B at ~4 $\mu g/gU$ level	=	\pm 6.4 % at 95 % confidence
Si at ~250 µg/gU level	=	\pm 4.6 % at 95 % confidence
⁹⁹ Tc at ~0.001 μ g/gU level	=	\pm 5.0 % at 95 % confidence

X1.13 Since the uncertainty associated with Type B contributions is very small in comparison with the Type A

contribution, the overall uncertainty of measurement for the method is taken to be equal to the Type A contributions, rounded up, that is:

B at ~4 μg/gU level	=	±7%	at	95	%	confidence
Si at ~250 µg/gU level	=	\pm 5 %	at	95	%	confidence
⁹⁹ <i>Tc at</i> ~0.001 μg/gU level	=	\pm 5 %	at	95	%	confidence

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; http://www.copyright.com/