



# Standard Test Method Interlaminar Shear Strength of 1-D and 2-D Continuous Fiber-Reinforced Advanced Ceramics at Elevated Temperatures<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1425; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope\*

1.1 This test method addresses the compression of a double-notched test specimen to determine interlaminar shear strength of continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic composites (CFCCs) at elevated temperatures. Failure of the test specimen occurs by interlaminar shear between two centrally located notches machined halfway through the thickness of the test specimen and spaced a fixed distance apart on opposing faces (see Fig. 1). Test specimen preparation methods and requirements, testing modes (force or displacement control), testing rates (force rate or displacement rate), data collection, and reporting procedures are addressed.

1.2 This test method is used for testing advanced ceramic or glass matrix composites with continuous fiber reinforcement having a laminated structure such as in unidirectional (1-D) or bidirectional (2-D) fiber architecture (lay-ups of unidirectional plies or stacked fabric). This test method does not address composites with nonlaminated structures, such as (3-D) fiber architecture or discontinuous fiber-reinforced, whisker-reinforced, or particulate-reinforced ceramics.

1.3 Values expressed in this test method are in accordance with the International System of Units (SI) and IEEE/ASTM SI 10.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* Specific precautionary statements are noted in 8.1 and 8.2.

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C28 on Advanced Ceramics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C28.07 on Ceramic Matrix Composites.

Current edition approved Feb. 15, 2013. Published April 2013. Originally approved in 1999. Last previous edition approved in 2011 as C1425 – 11. DOI: 10.1520/C1425-13.

## 2. Referenced Documents


### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

- C1145 Terminology of Advanced Ceramics
- C1292 Test Method for Shear Strength of Continuous Fiber-Reinforced Advanced Ceramics at Ambient Temperatures
- D695 Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics
- D3846 Test Method for In-Plane Shear Strength of Reinforced Plastics
- D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials
- D6856/D6856M Guide for Testing Fabric-Reinforced “Textile” Composite Materials
- E4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines
- E6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing
- E122 Practice for Calculating Sample Size to Estimate, With Specified Precision, the Average for a Characteristic of a Lot or Process
- E220 Test Method for Calibration of Thermocouples By Comparison Techniques
- E230 Specification and Temperature-Electromotive Force (EMF) Tables for Standardized Thermocouples
- E337 Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures)
- IEEE/ASTM SI 10 American National Standard for Use of the International System of Units (SI): The Modern Metric System

## 3. Terminology

3.1 **Definitions**—The definitions of terms relating to shear strength testing appearing in Terminology E6 apply to the terms used in this test method. The definitions of terms relating to advanced ceramics appearing in Terminology C1145 apply

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.



**FIG. 1 Schematic of Compression of Double-Notched Test Specimen for the Determination of Interlaminar Shear Strength of CFCCs**

to the terms used in this test method. The definitions of terms relating to fiber-reinforced composites appearing in Terminology **D3878** apply to the terms used in this test method.

### 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 *shear failure force ( $F$ )*,  $n$ —maximum force required to fracture a shear-loaded test specimen. **C1292**

3.2.2 *shear strength ( $FL^{-2}$ )*,  $n$ —maximum shear stress that a material is capable of sustaining. Shear strength is calculated from the failure force in shear and the shear area. **C1292**

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test method addresses the determination of the interlaminar shear strength of CFCCs at elevated temperatures. The interlaminar shear strength of CFCCs, as determined by this test method, is measured by loading in compression a double-notched test specimen of uniform width. Failure of the

test specimen occurs by interlaminar shear between two centrally located notches machined halfway through the thickness of the test specimen and spaced a fixed distance apart on opposing faces. Schematics of the loading mode and the test specimen are shown in **Fig. 1**. The procedures in this test method are similar to those in Test Method **C1292** for the determination of the interlaminar shear strength of CFCCs at ambient temperature, except that the considerations for conducting the test at elevated temperatures are addressed in this test method.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic composites are candidate materials for structural applications requiring high degrees of wear and corrosion resistance, and damage tolerance at high temperatures.

5.2 The 1-D and 2-D CFCCs are highly anisotropic and their transthickness tensile and interlaminar shear strength are lower than their in-plane tensile and in-plane shear strength, respectively.

5.3 Shear tests provide information on the strength and deformation of materials under shear stresses.

5.4 This test method may be used for material development, material comparison, quality assurance, characterization, and design data generation.

5.5 For quality control purposes, results derived from standardized shear test specimens may be considered indicative of the response of the material from which they were taken for given primary processing conditions and post-processing heat treatments.

## 6. Interferences

6.1 Test environment (vacuum, inert gas, ambient air, and so forth) including moisture content (for example, relative humidity) may have an influence on the measured interlaminar shear strength. In particular, the behavior of materials susceptible to slow crack growth will be strongly influenced by test environment and testing rate. Testing to evaluate the maximum strength potential of a material shall be conducted in inert environments or at sufficiently rapid testing rates, or both, so as to minimize slow crack growth effects. Conversely, testing can be conducted in environments and testing modes and rates representative of service conditions to evaluate material performance under those conditions. When testing is conducted in uncontrolled ambient air with the objective of evaluating maximum strength potential, relative humidity and temperature must be monitored and reported. Testing at humidity levels >65 % RH is not recommended and any deviations from this recommendation must be reported.

6.2 Preparation of test specimens, although normally not considered a major concern with CFCCs, can introduce fabrication flaws which may have pronounced effects on the mechanical properties and behavior (for example, shape and level of the resulting force-displacement curve and shear strength). Machining damage introduced during test specimen preparation can be either a random interfering factor in the determination of shear strength of pristine material, or an

inherent part of the strength characteristics to be measured. Universal or standardized test methods of surface preparation do not exist. Final machining steps may, or may not, negate machining damage introduced during the initial machining. Thus, test specimen fabrication history may play an important role in the measured strength distributions and shall be reported.

6.3 Bending in uniaxially loaded shear tests can cause or promote non-uniform stress distributions that may alter the desired state of stress during the test. For example, non-uniform loading will occur if the loading surfaces of the test specimen are not flat and parallel.

6.4 Fractures that initiate outside the gage section of a test specimen may be due to factors such as localized stress concentrations, extraneous stresses introduced by improper loading configurations, or strength-limiting features in the microstructure of the test specimen. Such non-gage section fractures will normally constitute invalid tests.

6.5 For the evaluation of the interlaminar shear strength by the compression of a double-notched test specimen, the distance between the notches has an effect on the maximum force and therefore on the interlaminar shear strength.<sup>3, 4, 5</sup> It has been found that the stress distribution in the gage section of the test specimen is independent of the distance between the notches when the notches are far apart. However, when the distance between the notches is such that the stress fields around the notches interact, the measured interlaminar shear strength increases. Because of the complexity of the stress field around each notch and its dependence on the properties and homogeneity of the material, conduct a series of tests on test specimens with different spacing between the notches to determine the effect of notch separation on the measured interlaminar shear strength.

6.6 For the evaluation of the interlaminar shear strength by the compression of a double-notched test specimen, excessive clamping forces will reduce the stress concentration around the notches and, therefore, artificially increase the measured interlaminar shear strength. Excessive clamping might occur if interference between the test fixture and the test specimen results from mismatch in their thermal expansion. Section 7.6 provides guidance to prevent this problem.

6.7 The interlaminar shear strength of 1-D and 2-D CFCCs is controlled either by the matrix-rich interlaminar regions or by the weakest of the fiber-matrix interfaces. Whether interlaminar-shear failure initiates at the matrix-rich interlaminar region or at the weakest of the fiber/matrix interfaces

depends on the location of the root of the notch, where the interlaminar shear stress is largest, with respect to the interlaminar microstructural features.

## 7. Apparatus

7.1 *Testing Machines*—The testing machine shall be in conformance with Practices E4. The forces used in determining shear strength shall be accurate within  $\pm 1\%$  at any force within the selected force range of the testing machine as defined in Practices E4.

7.2 *Heating Apparatus*—The apparatus for, and method of, heating the test specimens shall provide the temperature control necessary to satisfy the requirement of 10.2.

7.2.1 Heating can be by indirect electrical resistance (heating elements), indirect induction through a susceptor, or radiant lamp with the test specimen in ambient air at atmospheric pressure unless other environments are specifically applied and reported. Note that direct resistance heating is not recommended for heating CFCCs due to possible differences of the electrical resistance of the constituent materials which may produce nonuniform heating of the test specimen.

7.3 *Temperature-Measuring Apparatus*—The method of temperature measurement shall be sufficiently sensitive and reliable to ensure that the temperature of the test specimen is within the limits specified in 10.2.

7.3.1 Primary temperature measurement shall be made with thermocouples in conjunction with potentiometers, millivoltmeters, or electronic temperature controllers or read-out units, or combination thereof. Such measurements are subject to two types of error. Thermocouple calibration and instrument measuring errors initially produce uncertainty as to the exact temperature. Secondly, both thermocouples and measuring instruments may be subject to variations over time. Common errors encountered in the use of thermocouples to measure temperatures include: calibration error, drift in calibration due to contamination or deterioration with use, lead-wire error, error arising from method of attachment to the test specimen, direct radiation of heat to the bead, heat conduction along thermocouple wires, and so forth.

7.3.2 Temperature measurements shall be made with thermocouples of known calibration. Representative thermocouples shall be calibrated from each lot of wires used for making noble-metal (for example, platinum or rhodium) thermocouples. Except for relatively low temperatures of exposure, noble-metal thermocouples are eventually subject to error upon reuse. Oxidized noble-metal thermocouples shall not be reused without clipping back to remove wire exposed to the hot zone, re-welding, and annealing. Any reuse of noble-metal thermocouples after relatively low-temperature use without this precaution shall be accompanied by re-calibration data demonstrating that calibration was not unduly affected by the conditions of exposure.

7.3.3 Measurement of the drift in calibration of thermocouples during use is difficult. When drift is a problem during tests, a method shall be devised to check the readings of the thermocouples monitoring the test specimen temperature during the test. For reliable calibration of thermocouples after use,

<sup>3</sup> Whitney, J. M., "Stress Analysis of the Double Notch Shear Specimen," *Proceedings of the American Society for Composites*, 4th Technical Conference, Blacksburg, VA, Technomic Publishing Co., Oct. 3-5, 1989, pp. 325.

<sup>4</sup> Fang, N. J. J., and Chou, T. W., "Characterization of Interlaminar Shear Strength of Ceramic Matrix Composites," *Journal Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 76, [10] 1993, pp. 2539-48.

<sup>5</sup> Lara-Curzio, E., and Ferber, M. K., "Shear Strength of Continuous Fiber Reinforced Ceramic Composites," in *Thermal and Mechanical Test Methods and Behavior of Continuous Fiber Ceramic Composites*, ASTM STP 1309M, G. Jenkins, S. T. Gonczy, E. Lara-Curzio, N. E. Ashbaugh, and L. P. Zawada, eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1996.

the temperature gradient of the test furnace must be reproduced during the re-calibration.

7.3.4 Temperature-measuring, controlling, and recording instruments shall be calibrated against a secondary standard, such as precision potentiometer, optical pyrometer, or black-body thyristor. Lead-wire error shall be checked with the lead wires in place as they normally are used. For thermocouple calibration procedures refer to Test Method E220 and Specification E230.

7.4 Data Acquisition—At a minimum, autographic records of applied force and cross-head displacement versus time shall be obtained. Either analog chart recorders or digital data acquisition systems may be used for this purpose although a digital record is recommended for ease of later data analysis. Ideally, an analog chart recorder or plotter shall be used in conjunction with the digital data acquisition system to provide an immediate record of the test as a supplement to the digital record. Recording devices must be accurate to  $\pm 1\%$  of full scale and shall have a minimum data acquisition rate of 10 Hz with a response of 50 Hz deemed more than sufficient.

7.5 Dimension-Measuring Devices—Micrometers and other devices used for measuring linear dimensions must be accurate and precise to at least 0.01 mm.

7.6 Test Fixture—The main purposes of the test fixture are to allow for uniform axial compression of the test specimen, and to provide lateral support to prevent buckling. Fig. 2a and 2b show schematics of test fixtures that have been used successfully to evaluate the interlaminar shear strength of CFCCs at elevated temperatures. Fig. 2a shows the schematic of a test fixture consisting of a slotted body and one loading piston. Fig. 2b shows the schematic of a test fixture consisting of one hollow cylinder (sleeve), two pistons, and two semicylindrical spacers. A supporting jig conforming to the geometry of that shown in Figure 1 of Test Method D3846 or in Figure 4 of Test Method D695 may also be used. The material used for the manufacture of the test fixture should be stable and remain rigid at the test temperature. When using a slotted-body or two semicylindrical spacers as suggested in Fig. 2a and 2b, select their dimensions so that a gap not larger than 1 % of the test specimen thickness exists between the test specimen and each spacer (or between the test specimen and the walls of the slotted body) at the test temperature. To facilitate this requirement, use a compliant interphase between the test specimen and the spacers (or walls of the slotted body). This compliant interphase will also be useful for the purpose of accommodating thermally induced deformation. To prevent mechanical interference between the test fixture and the test specimen and avoid compressing the test specimen at the test temperature, it is recommended to manufacture the test fixture using a material with equal or higher coefficient of thermal expansion than that of the test specimen in its thickness direction. To ensure uniform axial loading, the pistons should be concentric with, and form a tight clearance fit with, the sleeve or hollow cylinder (that is, the pistons should be able to slide without friction within the sleeve). This can be achieved by meeting tight cylindricity requirements for the inner diameter of the sleeve and the outer diameter of the piston.




FIG. 2 Schematic of Test Fixture for the Compression of Double-Notched Test Specimens at Elevated Temperatures

NOTE 1—The material used to construct the test fixture shall be thermochemically stable and rigid at the test temperature: (a) Sectioned view of test fixture using one piston and one slotted base (b) Cross-sectional view of test fixture using two pistons and two semicylindrical spacers.

NOTE 2—0.70 mm thick aluminum-oxide paper has worked well as an interphase between 3.0-mm thick 2-D ceramic grade and Hi-Nicalon/SiC<sup>6</sup> CFCCs and a  $\alpha$ -SiC test fixture for tests in air at elevated temperatures. 0.79 mm thick GRAFOIL<sup>7</sup> has worked well as an interphase between 6.0-mm thick 1-D C/C CFCC and an aluminum-oxide test fixture for tests in inert environment at elevated temperatures.<sup>8</sup>

## 8. Precautionary Statement

8.1 During the conduct of this test method, the possibility of flying fragments of broken test material may be high. The brittle nature of advanced ceramics and the release of strain energy contribute to the potential release of uncontrolled fragments upon fracture. Means for containment and retention of these fragments for later fractographic reconstruction and analysis is highly recommended.

<sup>6</sup> Hi-Nicalon/SiC, a registered trademark of UCAR Carbon Company, Inc. P. O. Box 218, Columbia, TN 38402-0218, has been found satisfactory for this purpose.

<sup>7</sup> GRAFOIL, a registered trademark a registered trademark of UCAR Carbon Company, Inc. P. O. Box 218, Columbia, TN 38402-0218, has been found satisfactory for this purpose.

<sup>8</sup> Lara-Curzio, E., Bowers, David, and Ferber, M. K., "The Interlaminar Tensile and Shear Properties of a Unidirectional C/C Composite," *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 230, 1996, pp. 226-32.

8.2 Exposed fibers at the edges of CFCC test specimens present a hazard due to the sharpness and brittleness of the ceramic fibers. All persons required to handle these materials must be well informed of these conditions and the proper handling techniques.

## 9. Test Specimen

9.1 *Test Specimen Geometry*—The test specimens shall conform to the shape and tolerances shown in Fig. 3. The test specimen consists of a rectangular plate with notches machined on both sides. The depth of the notches shall be at least equal to one half of the test specimen thickness, and the distance between the notches shall be determined considering the requirements to produce shear failure in the gage section. Furthermore, because the measured interlaminar shear strength may be dependent on the notch separation, it is recommended to conduct tests with different values of notch separation to determine this dependence. The edges of the test specimens shall be smooth, but not rounded or beveled. Table 1 contains recommended values for the dimensions associated with the test specimen shown in Fig. 3.

NOTE 3—Because many CFCCs are produced as flat plates and the outer surfaces may reflect the texture of the underlying fiber bundles, as-fabricated plates might not meet the parallelism requirements prescribed in Fig. 3 without additional machining of the test specimen faces. The faces of the test specimens shall not deviate from parallelism by more than 5 % of the average thickness of the test specimen if it is impractical to machine the test specimen faces to meet the parallelism requirements in Fig. 3.

NOTE 4—Although in practice it is impossible to obtain a perfectly square notch as suggested in Fig. 3, efforts should be made during sample





FIG. 3 Schematic of Test Fixture for the Compression of Double-Notched Test Specimens at Elevated Temperatures



NOTE 1—All tolerances are in millimetres. Refer to Table 1.

FIG. 4 Dimensions of Double-Notched Test Specimen

TABLE 1 Recommended Dimensions for Double-Notched Compression Test Specimen

Dimension	Description	Value, mm	Tolerance, mm
L	test specimen length	30.00	±0.10
h	distance between notches	6.00	±0.10
W	test specimen width	15.00	±0.10
d	notch width	0.50	±0.05
t	test specimen thickness	—	—

preparation to minimize rounding the bottom of the notch. This can be accomplished, for example, by frequently dressing the wheel used to machine the notches since wear will tend to round its tip. At this time, studies of the effect of notch shape on the interlaminar shear strength of CFCCs have not been completed.

9.1.1 When testing woven fabric laminate composites, it is recommended that the specimen width ( $W$ ) and the distance ( $h$ ) between notches equal, at a minimum, one length/width of the weave unit cell. (Unit cell count = 1 across the given dimension.) Two or more weave unit cells are preferred across the  $W$  and  $h$  dimensions.

NOTE 5—The weave unit cell is the smallest section of weave architecture required to repeat the textile pattern (see Guide D6856/D6856M). The fiber architecture of a textile composite, which consists of interlacing yarns, can lead to inhomogeneity of the local displacement fields within the weave unit cell. The gage dimensions should be large enough so that any inhomogeneities within the weave unit cell are averaged out across the gage. This is a particular concern for test specimens where the fabric architecture has large, heavy tows and/or open weaves and the gage sections are narrow and/or short.

NOTE 6—Deviations from the recommended unit cell counts may be necessary depending upon the particular geometry of the available material. Such deviations should be used with adequate understanding and assessment of the possible weave unit cell effects on the measured strength.

### 9.2 Test Specimen Preparation:

9.2.1 *Customary Practices*—In instances when a customary machining procedure has been developed that is completely satisfactory for a class of materials (that is, it induces no unwanted surface/subsurface damage or residual stresses), this procedure shall be used.

9.2.2 *Standard Procedures*—Studies to evaluate the machinability of CFCCs have not been completed. Therefore, the standard procedure of this section can be viewed as starting-point guidelines but a more stringent procedure may be necessary.

9.2.2.1 All grinding or cutting shall be done with ample supply of appropriate filtered coolant to keep the workpiece and grinding wheel constantly flooded and particles flushed. Grinding shall be done in at least two stages, ranging from coarse to fine rate of material removal.

9.2.2.2 Stock removal rate shall be on the order of 0.03 mm per pass using diamond tools that have between 320 and 600 grit. Remove equal stock from each face where applicable.

9.3 *Handling Precaution*—Exercise care in the storing and handling of finished test specimens to avoid the introduction of severe flaws. In addition, direct attention to pretest storage of test specimens in controlled environments or desiccators to avoid unquantifiable environmental degradation of test specimens prior to testing.

9.4 *Number of Test Specimens*—A minimum of 10 test specimens per test condition shall be tested, unless valid results can be gained through the use of fewer test specimens, such as in the case of a designed experiment. For statistically significant data, the procedures outlined in Practice E122 shall be consulted.

## 10. Procedure

10.1 *Test Specimen Dimensions*—Determine the width of the gage section of each test specimen and the distance between the notches to within 0.02 mm. Avoid damaging the critical gage section area by performing these measurements either optically (for example, an optical comparator) or mechanically using a flat, anvil-type micrometer. In either case the resolution of the instrument must be as specified in 7.5. Exercise extreme caution to prevent damaging the test specimen gage section. Record and report the measured dimensions and locations of the measurements for use in the calculation of the shear stress. For example, measure the width of the test specimen at the location of the notches and at the middle of the gage section, and use the average of multiple measurements in the stress calculations. Measure the notch separation on both edges of the test specimen and use the average of these measurements in the stress calculations.

NOTE 7—It has been found that an optical comparator works best to measure the distance between the notches.

10.2 *Temperature Control*—Form the thermocouple bead in accordance with the Preparation of Thermocouple Measuring Junctions<sup>9</sup>. Generally, noble-metal (for example, platinum or rhodium) thermocouples shall not be attached directly to CFCC materials due to chemical incompatibility. The thermocouple junction may be brought close to the test specimen (3 to 6 mm) and shielded. Shielding may be omitted if, for a particular furnace, the difference in indicated temperature from an unshielded bead and a bead inserted in a hole in the test specimen has been shown to be less than one half the variation

listed in 10.2.2. The bead shall be as small as possible and there shall be no shorting of the circuit (such as could occur from twisted wire behind the bead). Use ceramic insulators on the thermocouples in the hot zone. If some other electrical insulation material is used in the hot zone, it shall be carefully checked to determine whether the electrical insulating properties are maintained at higher temperatures.

10.2.1 *Number of Required Thermocouples*—Employ at least two thermocouples, one near each end of the gage section.

NOTE 8—If it is possible to insert the thermocouples into the test fixture and position their tip close to the test specimen then do so. If the furnace is large enough so that the entire test fixture and test specimen can be maintained at the same test temperature, then place the thermocouples next to the test fixture at the location of the edges of the gage section.

10.2.2 *Temperature Limits*—For the duration of the test, the difference between the indicated temperature and the nominal test temperature shall not exceed the following limits:

Test Temperature	Variation
<1273 K	±3 K
≥1273 K	±6 K

In addition, temperature variation within the uniformly heated gage section shall not exceed the following:

Test Temperature	Variation
<773 K	±1 K
≥773 K	±1 % of the test temperature in degrees K

10.2.3 The term “indicated temperature” means temperature that is indicated by the temperature measuring device using good quality pyrometric practice. It is recognized that true temperature may vary more than the indicated temperature. The permissible indicated temperature variations of 10.2.2 are not to be construed as minimizing the importance of good pyrometric practice and precise temperature control. All laboratories shall keep both indicated and true temperature variations as small as practicable. It is recognized that in view of the dependency of creep deformation of materials on temperature, close temperature measurement is necessary. The limits prescribed represent ranges which are common practice.

10.2.4 Temperature overshoots during heating shall not exceed the limits stated in 10.2.2. The heating characteristics of the furnace and the temperature control system shall be studied to determine the power input, temperature set point, proportioning control adjustment, and control-thermocouple placement to limit transient temperature overshoots. It may be desirable to stabilize the furnace at a temperature 10 to 25 K less than the nominal test temperature before making the final adjustments. Report any temperature overshoots with details of magnitude and duration.

10.2.5 *Temperature Rates and Hold Time*—The rate at which temperature can be increased from ambient to the final test temperature depends on many factors, such as, heating system, temperature controller, test material, and test environment. Limiting time at the test temperature will minimize time-dependent thermal or environmental degradation, or both. In addition, some materials experience so-called oxidation due to “low-temperature chemical instabilities” which occur at intermediate temperatures. With these materials, the temperature ramp shall be as rapid as possible to minimize the exposure time to these intermediate temperatures. Generally, good results have been obtained for heating rates in which the

<sup>9</sup> 1982 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 44, Related Materials Section.

test specimen temperature is ramped from ambient to the test temperature at a constant rate between 30 K/min and 60 K/min. The hold time at temperature prior to the start of the test shall be governed by the time necessary to ensure that the test specimen has reached equilibrium and that the temperature can be maintained within the limits specified in 10.2.2. Report both the time to attain test temperature and the time at temperature before loading.

NOTE 9—Some CFCCs rely on the formation of oxide layers or on the flow of low-viscosity phases for sealing and protecting the interior of the composite by preventing the ingress of the service environment (for example, oxidizing) at elevated temperatures. However, severe environmental degradation of some CFCCs has been documented (at temperatures as low as 573 K) when the service environment (for example, oxidizing) is allowed to ingress to the interior of the composite at temperatures where the formation of a protective oxide layer or the flow of glassy coatings is inhibited. This is particularly true for CFCCs that rely on the integrity of C and BN fiber coatings, and SiC-based fibers to promote composite behavior.

### 10.3 Test Modes and Rates:

10.3.1 *General*—Test modes may involve force or displacement control. Recommended rates of testing must be sufficiently rapid to obtain the maximum possible shear strength at fracture of the material within 30 s. However, rates other than those recommended here may be used to evaluate rate effects. In all cases the test mode and rate must be reported.

10.3.1.1 Generally, displacement controlled tests are employed in such cumulative damage or yielding deformation processes to prevent a “run away” condition (that is, rapid uncontrolled deformation and fracture) characteristic of force or stress-controlled tests. However, for sufficiently rapid test rates, differences in the fracture process may not be noticeable and any of these test modes may be appropriate.

10.3.2 *Displacement Rate*—Use a constant cross-head displacement rate of 0.02 mm/s unless otherwise found acceptable as determined in 10.3.1 or 10.3.1.1.

10.3.3 *Force Rate*—Select a constant force rate to produce final fracture in 10 to 30 s or to be approximately equivalent to a test rate of 0.02 mm/s.

10.4 *Preparations for Testing*—Set the test mode and test rate on the test machine. Ready the autograph data acquisition systems for data logging.

### 10.5 Conducting the Test:

10.5.1 Mount the test specimen in the test fixture.

10.5.2 *Preparations for Testing*—Set the test mode and test rate on the test machine. Pre-load the test specimen to remove the slack from the load train. The amount of pre-load, which shall not exceed 10 % of the test force, will depend on the material and shall be reported for each situation. Ready the autograph data acquisition systems for data logging. Begin recording furnace temperature when furnace heating is initiated and continue recording until the completion of the test. Maintain a constant minimal force in the load train to allow for the thermal expansion of the test specimen and load train during test specimen heat up. It is recommended to use a test machine that allows for the control of the force during heating up and during mechanical loading. Heat the test specimen to

the test temperature at the prescribed heating rate and hold constant at temperature until the test specimen reaches thermal equilibrium.

10.5.3 Initiate data collection. Load the test specimen to failure at the prescribed loading rate.

10.5.4 After test specimen fracture, disable the action of the test machine and the data collection of the data acquisition system. The breaking force should be measured with an accuracy of  $\pm 1$  % of the force range and noted for the report. Retract the cross-head or actuator, and allow the furnace to cool down. Carefully remove the test specimen from the test fixture. Avoid damaging the fracture surfaces by preventing them from contacting each other or other objects.

10.5.5 Determine the relative humidity in accordance with Test Method E337.

10.5.6 Note that the use of results from test specimens fracturing outside the gage section cannot be used in the direct calculation of a mean shear strength. Results from test specimens fracturing outside the gage section are considered anomalous and can be used only as censored tests. To complete a required statistical sample for purposes of average strength, one replacement test specimen should be tested for each test specimen which fractures outside the gage section.

10.5.7 Visual examination and optical microscopy are recommended to determine the mode and type of fracture, as well as the location of fracture initiation.

## 11. Calculation

11.1 *Shear Strength*—Calculate the shear strength as follows:

$$\text{Shear Strength} = \frac{P_{\max}}{A} \quad (1)$$

where:

$P_{\max}$  = applied maximum force, and

$A$  = average shear stressed area, which is calculated as:

$$A = Wh \quad (2)$$

where:

$W$  = average test specimen width, and

$h$  = average distance between the notches (Fig. 3) as described in 10.1.

11.2 *Statistics*—For each series of tests, calculate the average value, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation (in percent) for each property determined:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \quad (3)$$

$$S_{n-1} = \sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \right) / (n-1)} \quad (4)$$

$$CV = 100 (S_{n-1} / \bar{x}) \quad (5)$$

where:

$\bar{x}$  = sample mean (average),

$S_{n-1}$  = sample standard deviation,

$CV$  = sample coefficient of variation, %,

$n$  = number of test specimens, and

$x_i$  = measured or derived property.

## 12. Report

12.1 *Test Set*—Report the following information for the test set. Any significant deviations from the procedures and requirements of this test method shall be noted in the report.

12.1.1 Date and location of testing.

12.1.2 Test specimen geometry used (include engineering drawing).

12.1.3 A drawing or sketch of the type and configuration of the test machine. If a commercial test machine is used, the manufacturer and model number of the test machine will suffice.

12.1.4 A drawing or sketch of the type and configuration of the test specimen mount.

12.1.5 The total number of test specimens (*n*) with special emphasis on the number of test specimens that fractured in the gage section. This information will reveal the success rate of the particular test specimen geometry and test apparatus.

12.1.6 All relevant data such as vintage and identification data, with emphasis on the date of manufacture of the material and a short description of reinforcement (type, layout, and so forth), fiber volume fraction, and bulk density. For commercial materials, the commercial designation must be reported.

12.1.6.1 For noncommercial materials, the major constituents and proportions must be reported as well as the primary processing route including green state and consolidation routes. Also report fiber volume fraction, matrix porosity, and bulk density.

12.1.7 Description of the method of test specimen preparation including all stages of machining.

12.1.8 Heat treatments, coatings, or pretest exposures, if any, applied either to the as-processed material or to the as-fabricated test specimen.

12.1.9 Test environment including relative humidity (Test Method E337) and atmosphere (for example ambient air, dry nitrogen, and so forth).

12.1.10 The heating rate, test temperature, time at temperature, duration of the test, and time to cool to ambient temperature after the completion of the test.

12.1.11 Test mode (force or displacement control) and actual test rate (force rate or displacement rate).

12.1.12 Pre-load (if used) to heat up the test specimen to the test temperature.

12.1.13 Test specimen dimensions, that is, average notch separation and average width.

12.1.14 Mean, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation for the measured shear strength for each test series.

12.1.15 Appearance of test specimen after fracture.

## 13. Precision and Bias

13.1 Because of the nature of these materials and the lack of a wide database on a variety of applicable CFCCs, no definitive statement can be made at this time concerning precision and bias of this test method.

## 14. Keywords

14.1 composite; compression; continuous fiber-reinforced ceramic composite (CFCC); interlaminar; shear; shear strength

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee C28 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (C1425–11) that may impact the use of this standard. (Approved Feb. 15, 2013.)

(1) Added 9.1.1, Note 5, and Note 6.

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).*