
Cry of the Heart

Stop Hurting the Children



The Medical Terror of Vaccinations

Mark Sircus Ac., OMD

http://WorldPsychology.netsircus@worldpsychology.net

Copyright © 2003

Special Note from the Author

Glad you found your way to Cry of the Heart, which was a labor of both my mind and soul that started days after my son Nathan Thomas was born at the end of May in 2003. This is an extremely important book if you are about to have, or have young children. It is also important if you are considering taking any vaccine yourself. Because this information is crucial, meaning absolutely necessary in making decisions to protect the life of the ones we love the most in this world I decided to release it as a shareware e-book. Meaning if you find it useful I am requesting a donation or energy exchange of ten dollars. Such donations and energy exchanges are crucial to my family, my work and me. You can simply mail a ten dollar bill to my address in Brazil that is provided below, just please enclose it well so it can not be seen through the paper.

If you had not noticed in visiting the World Psychology site, I do provide consultations through virtual communication with the first two email exchanges carrying no fee. I do very intense heart centered therapy on the Internet as well as work with medical issues through both education and consultation. Because I am an American living in Brazil I can offer rates at roughly half the international average. Also if you would like to go even deeper into this subject I can work with you through consultation and through additional materials that are not present in this shareware addition.

I recommend to everyone to go to http://WorldPsychology.net and subscribe to The Medical News. There you will be getting updates from me weekly on vaccine and other medical issues.

Thank you for tolerating a bit of my commercial self here. It is the last you will ever see of this part of me. What you are about to read I was capable of writing because I have been completely free to listen to and write the absolute truth without any obligation to any group or industry. I have lived a free life and have put the search of truth in front of personal security and will continue to do that for the rest of my life. I cried many tears writing Cry of the Heart and you will also for the personal stories of many parents are included and they are just as important as the professional medical opinions and all the scientific studies and test that main stream health organizations obsess with. If you receive this information before anyone you know and love has been hurt by vaccines consider yourself enormously fortunate and lucky.

Best Regards,

Mark Sircus Box 44, Tibao do Sul - RN, 59178-000 Brazil

Contents

The Case	4
The Intention of this Book	7
The Story with Mercury	22
The Reality of Vaccine-Caused Autism and Death	45
How Rare is Rare?	57
Multiple Vaccines	62
Do Vaccines Have Real Value?	
The Heart of Medical Terrorism	77
The Rape of Vulnerability	82
The Terror of Terrorism	
Biological Wounding	95
Vaccine Production and Contamination	97
Vaccination Experiments	101
Crimes Against Humanity	
For the Sake of Humanity	112
Conditioned Ignorance	117
Understanding Our Anger	
Provoking our Anger	
Your Pediatrician	
Safety and Scientific Studies	
More Information.	

The Case

"Something in the back of my mind had always bothered me about immunizations, and not the fact that I hate to see my kids cry, because I know the pain of an injection is momentary and I supposed that the benefits would be worth the momentary pain."

The National Vaccine Information Center has been studying reports of vaccine injuries for twenty years and in the last decade they have seen more and more parents reporting that they have taken in their perfectly normal, healthy, high-functioning children to be vaccinated, and then within hours, days or weeks, these children exhibit illness, high fevers, convulsions, and brain inflammation. It seems like an increasing percentage of children start to regress physically, mentally and emotionally after receiving their shots and when the doctors tell parents that it's all a coincidence, that the vaccines had nothing to do with what happened to their children, the parents are beginning to seriously doubt them.

In two cases in the past four years, vaccines endorsed by the CDC (Center for Disease Control in Atlanta) were pulled off the market after a number of infants and adults appear to have suffered devastating side effects including gruesome death.

It is hard for many parents to walk into the doctor's office and watch their child, who is perfectly well, get a vaccine. As recently as 15 years ago children received five shots by the time they were 2 years old and no more than two shots in a single visit. Now children could receive as many as 20 shots by the time they are 2 years old and as many as five shots in a single visit. For these reasons, parents wonder whether children can handle so many shots at the same time and whether vaccines can overwhelm the immune system. These questions are critical because a strong case is being made today against vaccines and the many dangerous chemicals used in them. More and more clinicians and researchers are beginning to see vaccination programs as being without worth and are now standing up against the goliath of medical paradigms who pretend that vaccines are as safe as mothers milk.

Members of the CDC's Vaccine Advisory Committee get money from vaccine manufacturers. Relationships have included: sharing a vaccine patent; owning stock in a vaccine company; payments for research; getting money to monitor manufacturer vaccine tests; and funding academic departments.

We have been assured of the safety and effectiveness of vaccines for over fifty years yet these seemingly rock-solid assumptions are directly contradicted by government statistics, medical studies, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reports, and reputable research of scientists from around the world. In September of 2002, for example, the U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) report to Congress said that the rate of severity of adverse reactions to the anthrax vaccine were considerably greater than advertised. In a random survey of 1,253 guard and reserve pilots and aircrew, the GAO found 84 percent suffered minor reactions and at least 24 percent major multiple "systemic" reactions, the latter more than 100 times higher than the estimate by the manufacturer. It has become more and more apparent that the CDC has a very hard time investigating in an unbiased way what is happening to our children because of ideological and financial conflicts of interest. Thus we have to understand that government approval offers no guarantee of safety at all.

There is insufficient evidence to support routine vaccination of healthy persons of any age.

Paul Frame, M.D.

(Journal of Family Practice)

The problem of infant vaccination is one of the great social, economic and spiritual problems of our day. Taught for more than a century as an infallible remedy in medical schools, both public and governments have accepted it as if it were a well-established scientific principle, instead of being, in the words of Dr. Creighton, historian of epidemic diseases, "a grotesque superstition." Guylaine Lanctot, M.D. stated that sometime in the future, "we will know that the biggest crime against humanity was vaccines." Richard Moskowitz M.D. said, "Vaccines have become sacraments of our faith in biotechnology in the sense that 1) their efficacy and safety are widely seen as self-evident and needing no further proof; 2) they are given automatically to everyone, by force if necessary, but always in the name of the public good; and 3) they ritually initiate our loyal participation in the medical enterprise as a whole. They celebrate our right and power as a civilization to manipulate biological processes for profit,

without undue concern for or even any explicit concept of the total health of the populations about to be subjected to them." Essentially it is just assumed that every civilized person on the planet gives their children vaccinations and the growing swell of informed people who choose not to do that for some very good reasons are scorned and ignored.

The intense psychological pressure and fear that parents feel about vaccinating their children is no accident, but the result of well-planned, well-funded marketing campaigns.

It was not that many years ago that four out of five doctors were known to have recommended a certain brand of cigarettes. Fifty years ago doctors puffed away and found nothing wrong with their patients doing the same. Of course, a few activists warned against the dangers of cigarette smoking, but the doctors had their say, dismissing such claims because they never learned in medical school that cigarette smoking was dangerous. There was no opposite viewpoint for physicians to consider, so **their minds were made up by clever marketing**. Today it is the same with the vaccine story; the big difference is that the harm is being done to children, to the little ones, to the most vulnerable beings who have absolutely no chance to defend themselves.

In this book you will find scientist after scientist and researcher after researcher giving you reasons to wake up to a nightmare happening in pediatrician offices around the world.

The fact is that vaccination propaganda has been scientifically implanted in the public consciousness by thousands of media clips over the past few decades. Injected, so to speak, directly into the collective unconscious of humanity. Many basic thoughts and attitudes are continually being shaped and molded deliberately by people and organizations dedicated to this task. There are many issues of import that are purposely fixed in the public consciousness as conventional wisdom. People generally think the same about a broad range of issues because companies have spent hundreds of millions in media marketing over many years to make sure that this is so. Very few people are paying attention to the process of conditioning that they are being subjected to in a Matrix type of manipulation, not by super computers but by mega-corporations and the people who run them. Yet some break through the clouds of ignorance and blindness and there are many medical doctors who will categorically state:

There is no evidence whatsoever of the ability of vaccines to prevent any diseases. To the contrary, there is a great wealth of evidence that they cause serious side effects.

Viera Scheibner Ph.D

For instance it is believed that asthma, which is an autoimmune disorder, an allergic condition that tops the list of chronic respiratory diseases found in children in Western societies today, is at least partially triggered by vaccinations. A 1997 study published in Science reported "the prevalence of asthma in westernized societies has risen steadily this century, doubling in the last 20 years. Asthma now affects one child in seven in Great Britain, and in the United States it causes one-third of pediatric emergency room visits." In 1995, the CDC reported that, between 1982 and 1992, asthma increased 52 per cent for persons between the ages of five and 34 years old, and deaths from asthma increased 42 per cent. In a 1997 issue of Epidemiology, New Zealand researchers hypothesized that "it is theoretically possible that immunization may contribute to the development of allergic disease." Of 1,265 New Zealanders born in 1977, 23 received no childhood vaccinations, and none suffered childhood asthma. Among the 1,242 who got polio and DPT shots, 23 per cent later had episodes of asthma, 23 per cent had asthma consultations, and 30 per cent had consultations for other allergic illness. Their conclusion was, "The findings presented here are consistent with the hypothesis that some component of infant immunization may increase the risk of developing asthma in childhood." If this is true expect to hear your doctor or local medical board insisting that is better to have asthma for a lifetime than for your child to get sick from a childhood infectious disease.

The Austin American Statesman, like most of the mass media in the first world, stands up for the mass enforced vaccination of children, but the cracks are beginning to show. With immoral pungency they still communicate to the public, "the overall good of the required immunization of children is unquestioned." Thus the Austin American is pressing for new legislation in Texas "requiring" children are immunized before enrolling in school. "Certainly, there are children who suffer side effects from immunization, but rarely are they serious. Serious side effects from immunization range from one in thousands to one in millions, according to the CDC. Immunization has saved thousands of lives, and there is 'little evidence' that vaccination contributes to serious illness or death." The cracks are represented by the admission that there is 'some' evidence but the net effect of such communications from reputable newspapers, including the New York Times, is to condone a massive campaign to poison newborn

infants starting from the very first day of life. There is abundant information proclaiming the benefits of vaccination and it is the exceptionally rare occasion when we see the mainstream media raise questions about it. Yet in all other matters of health and consumer issues, it is generally agreed that children benefit the most when parents hear all points of view on controversial issues.

Vaccine promoters admit that the reactions to all vaccines do occur, but say that they are 'only' temporal and coincidental.

The medical associations have manipulated our collective hearts, our collective concern for our children and used our innate caring for them against us.

Bertrand Russell said, "I have seen the world plunging continually further into madness. I have seen cruelty, persecution, and superstition increasing by leaps and bounds" In the following pages you will see ample evidence that this madness and cruelty is targeted on the newborns from the first moments of life. You will hear in these pages the testimony of many doctors and other health care professionals who together paint a picture that only the uncaring and criminally ignorant will ignore. It's really parents worst possible nightmare come true, it seems that parents have been duped into thinking they were doing something beneficial for their children by getting them vaccinated when in fact their children are being hurt. A horror has come crashing into many family lives but in the same vein that many deny the holocaust of fifty years ago, doctors, nurses; health care officials, newspapers and television deny that anything is happening at all. Bernard Rimland, Ph.D. puts it all very well when he says, "The vaccine manufacturers, the Center for Disease Control, the FDA, the various medical associations have failed miserably in their duty to protect our children. Rather than acknowledge their role in creating the immense, catastrophic rise in autism, these organizations have resorted to denial and obfuscation. They stand to lose their credibility, and billions of dollars in liability suits will soon reach the courts."

When Elizabeth had her first DPT/OPV vaccination at age 2 months, she was a smiling, happy baby. I can still remember the extreme high pitch scream after the vaccination that lasted for several hours.

She sounded like a wounded animal, crying out for help.

She developed a low-grade temperature and some redness at the sight.

I discussed this with her pediatrician, who informed me that I was an overly concerned Mom because I was older and this was my first child and Elizabeth had had a normal reaction. Her second vaccination was at age 4

months. At this time, Elizabeth was sitting; she was passing things from hand to hand, and getting around by rolling. The afternoon of her second vaccination, she had her first seizure, although I did not know what it was at that time. She was lying on the floor in front of me. I can still see her little left leg shaking for several seconds. I called the pediatrician, who told me this was normal, the sign of an immature nervous system. "Stop worrying!" the office told me. Over the next 2-3 weeks I continued to see these spells, accompanied by a decline in function. One day she fell over in her high chair, unable to sit any longer. She could no longer use her left hand. We thought she had shown a hand preference, not knowing that this did not develop for many months later. One Saturday night, I was holding her hand while it started shaking. She had a temperature and I couldn't stop the shaking. I KNEW that no matter what the pediatrician was telling me, something was very wrong. We took Elizabeth to the emergency room. They told us to stop worrying, she had a little virus. When it got worse that evening, I took her to another hospital, who said she may have had a focal seizure, call the pediatrician on Monday, "Go home and stop worrying!" We got home and that afternoon, our beautiful baby girl had a generalized seizure and stopped breathing. Life has never been the same.

There is no longer any serious doubt that health officials are covering up a big story, trying to side step a growing swell of evidence that threatens the very foundation of medical science and practice. It is obvious that the medical profession cannot afford to have the public ever find out the truth about vaccination for there is simply just too much at stake for them. The key question is why so many people are in denial, unwilling to admit the obvious evidence that suggests we are risking our children's lives and health when we bring them to the doctors for a shot. It's difficult because if you admit to yourself that such a thing is happening, you have a moral obligation to demand a complete investigation and change in common medical procedures; but this brings us face to face with a powerful, ruthless political medical money machine that is not democratic or open to any truth but its own. It's a scary prospect challenging basic assumptions upon which so much hinges. Yet what is the alternative if we can't find people with courage and a commitment to truth, allow babies all over the world to be risked on the alters/medical tables in clinics all over the world? One woman who lost her child, Christine Colebeck wrote, "When I first began to educate parents about the dangers of vaccinations, I was horrified to realize not only are many parents ignorant to the facts but that they PREFER to be ignorant. They don't want to take the time to educate themselves before making a choice and that ignorance can cost them the most precious gift they have."

Can you imagine the economic and political import of discovering that immunizations are killing thousands of babies?

Dr. Douglass M.D

Either there is an unprecedented increase in the number of people who commit infanticide or early childhood vaccinations are causing not only an alarming number of deaths, but also an epidemic of other disorders that have no other explanation. Today a number of babies are dieing within days or within two to four weeks of birth after the hepatitis B vaccination, as well as several others that are given in the first days and months of a baby's life.

My daughter Lyla Rose Belkin died on September 16, 1998 at the age of five weeks, about 15 hours after receiving her second Hepatitis B vaccine booster shot. Lyla was a lively, alert five-week-old baby when I last held her in my arms. Little did I imagine as she gazed intently into my eyes with all the innocence and wonder of a newborn child that she would die that night. She was never ill before receiving the Hepatitis B shot that afternoon. At her final feeding that night, she was extremely agitated, noisy and feisty -- and then she fell asleep suddenly and stopped breathing. The autopsy ruled out choking, The NY Medical Examiner ruled her death Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS). But the NY Medical Examiner (Dr. Persechino) neglected to mention Lyta's swollen brain or the hepatitis B vaccine in the autopsy report. The coroner spoke to my wife and I and our pediatrician (Dr. Zullo) the day of the autopsy and clearly stated that her brain was swollen.

The pediatrician Dr. Zullo's notes of that conversation are "brain swollen ... not sure cause yet ... could not see how recombinant vaccine could cause problem."

Michael Belkin Testimony to Congress 1999

The CDC's files contain 32,731 total reports of possible reactions following Hepatitis B shots since 1991, including 10,915 emergency room visits, 685 life-threatening reactions, 3,700 hospitalizations, 1,200 disabilities and 618 deaths. The vaccine of hepatitis B seems to be much more dangerous than the traditional vaccine because it inoculates into the body cells that are foreign to its genetic code. Bonnie Dunbar, PhD, professor of Cell Biology at the Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, investigated whether the genetically engineered hepatitis B vaccine "tricks" the immune systems of genetically susceptible individuals into attacking their own bodies, causing debilitating autoimmune and brain dysfunction. Recombinant hepatitis B vaccines contain polypeptide sequences similar to those present in human brain tissues such as myelin. It is known that viral

polypeptides can induce autoimmune diseases resembling multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis. There are many possible avenues of attack that vaccines can take in hurting young children. Some are obvious like the inclusion of toxic nerve poisons used as preservatives and other avenues like invasive and destabilizing protein structures that take more time to do their dirty work.

The manufacture of vaccines is a giant industry and what you pay for inoculations and doctor visits is big business for pediatricians, family practitioners and veterinarians.

In the case of hepatitis B vaccines we are seeing something particularly frightening at work. We are seeing madness as doctors and nurses are inexcusably letting themselves be hoodwinked into giving dangerous shots **FOR ABSOLUTELY NO REASON**. One might as well vaccinate babies for car accidents they might get into as adults for **hepatitis B is not an infectious childhood disease** yet in 1991, the Center for Disease Control (CDC) recommended vaccinating all newborns before discharge from the hospital nursery. Spread through infected body fluids, primarily blood, it is most prevalent in high-risk adult populations such as intravenous drug users, prisoners, and individuals with multiple sexual partners, those undergoing blood transfusions, and health care workers exposed to infected blood.

An intelligent and conscientious physician might well recommend AGAINST hepatitis B vaccine, especially in newborns, unless a baby is at unusual risk because of an infected mother or household contact or membership in a population in which disease is common.

Jane Orient, M.D.

Director of the Association of American Physicians & Surgeons

Doctors reported only about 10,000 hepatitis B cases in the U.S. in 1997 with only 306 occurring in children under 14. The only babies at risk are those born to hepatitis B-infected mothers. In 1996, only 54 cases of the disease were reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the 0 to 1 age group. There were 3.9 million births that year, so the observed incidence of hepatitis B in the 0 to 1 age group was just 0.001 percent. In the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) there were 1,080 total reports of adverse reactions from hepatitis B vaccine in 1996 alone in the 0 to 1 age group, with 47 deaths reported. One can only wonder if the fact that Merck, the maker of the hepatitis B vaccine, making about \$1 billion a year from vaccine sales, has had any influence on the CDC. One has to seriously question, knowing the fact that the central nervous system of a newborn infant is particularly susceptible to toxic

influences, why children are being, in mass, lined up for this immunization that they do not need.

"We buried our 34-day-old daughter yesterday, she had died while I was breastfeeding her. I had noticed blood running out of her mouth while I was breastfeeding her. My daughter died in my arms and there was nothing I could do to saved her. During the funeral, it popped into my head that she had been vaccinated for Hep B about a day prior to her death."

Almost every newborn U.S. baby is now greeted on its entry into the world by a vaccine injection against a sexually transmitted disease for which the baby is not at risk. Originally the CDC tried to give the shots to teens and to get junkies, prostitutes, homosexuals and promiscuous heterosexuals to take the vaccine but it was hard to get them to show up for all three doses. So in effect the CDC said, 'Well, we've got a captive audience with newborns so lets play it safe and give it to them.' And even though the Association of American Physicians & Surgeons (AAPS) itself opposes the campaign for universal immunization against hepatitis B the CDC insists on vaccinating all newborns and young children against hepatitis B on the grounds that they may act irresponsibly later in life but the vaccine manufacturers themselves don't know how long vaccine-induced immunity will last. The AAPS is a nationwide organization of physicians devoted to defending the sanctity of the patient-physician relationship. AAPS revenue is derived almost exclusively from membership dues. They receive no government funding, foundation grants, or revenue from vaccine manufacturers. Whereas the CDC and the FDA are staffed by people tied in many ways with the vaccine industry. The conflict of interest is telling and the price is a river of suffering without end.

The issue of over the hepatitis B vaccine exposes an audacious arrogance on the part of not only the pharmaceutical companies but also the medical profession and medical agencies as a whole. The information given to parents about this vaccine is a travesty and does not meet the requirements for full disclosure to parents in any way. The medical manipulations around this issue are obvious. When CDC medical officials say, "getting the disease is far more likely to cause serious illness than getting the vaccine," they are deliberately playing on the medical ignorance of the layperson. Doctor Jane Orient testified before congress that though "This may be literally true, but it is seriously misleading if the risk of getting the disease is nearly zero (as is true for most American newborns)." And to top off this medical madness Merck & Co. stated in its 1996 product

insert, "The duration of the protective effect of [the vaccine] in healthy vaccinees is unknown at present, and the need for booster doses is not yet defined." The children not only do not need the vaccination against Hepatitis B, but also, even if they did sometime later in life, there is no assurance that the vaccination at birth would protect them in their teens or twenties. Information given to parents about this vaccine often does not meet the requirement for full disclosure. For example, it may state that

For most children, the risk of a serious vaccine reaction may be 100 times greater than the risk of hepatitis B. Overall, the incidence of hepatitis B in the U.S. is currently about 4 per 100,000. The risk for most young children is far less; hepatitis B is heavily concentrated in groups at high risk due to occupation, sexual promiscuity, or drug abuse.

Jane Orient, M.D.

It is truly a sad day for humanity when it puts its own children at risk, and profoundly sad that most people will not take a clear and careful look at all the information available, preferring to remain ignorant and thus condemning an unknown amount of newborns to death or serious harm. Infanticide is arguably the most brutal and destructive manifestation of the anti-female bias that has pervaded "patriarchal" societies for several millenniums and now it does seem that medical science has assumed the reins of terror. When federal health officials and pediatricians refrain from warning the public about risks out of fear that parents will stop immunizing their children, they are using the full powers of their positions to participate in the murder of thousands of infants and the hurtful and unlawful damage of hundreds of thousands of others.

Parents should know that doctors and health care officials would rather accuse them of shaking their own kids to death (Shaken Baby Syndrome), accuse them of murdering their own children, than accept any responsibility for their ignorance of the 'fact' that vaccines are causing death in children.

In the May 24, 1996, New Zealand Medical Journal, J. Barthelow Classen, MD, a former researcher at the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) reported that juvenile diabetes increased 60 per cent following a massive hepatitis B vaccination campaign for babies six weeks or older in New Zealand from 1988 to 1991. In the October 22, 1997, Infectious Diseases in Clinical Practice, Classen showed that Finland's incidence of diabetes increased 147 per cent in children under five after three new

vaccines were introduced in the 1970s, and that diabetes increased 40 per cent in children aged 5 to 9 after the addition of the MMR and Hib vaccines in the 1980s. He concluded, "the rise in IDDM [juvenile onset diabetes] in the different age groups correlated with the number of vaccines given."

My data indicates that the studies used to support immunization are so flawed that it is impossible to say if immunization provides a net benefit to anyone or to society in general.

John B. Classen, M.D.

Despite the fact that dozens of doctors, researchers, and independent investigators have reveal serious flaws in immunization theory and practice the medical profession is still not interested in seeing or understanding the campaign of terror they are inflicting on families. Nurses, pediatricians and parents are almost completely unaware of alarming reports and findings that have been accumulating for decades for there are forces and financial interests that are dedicated to keeping it this way. It has been said that, "The medical profession is a great trade union. By means of this trade unionism we have acquired immense power, which is yearly increasing. People cannot be born without us; they cannot die without us," said Dr. Allinson back in 1883.

I take great pride in being a medical doctor.

I would not change places with anyone in the world.

But I also fear for the future of my profession.

Harold E Buttram, MD

Perhaps the profession has become intoxicated on its own power, and is no longer conscious of realities that violate the very principles of compassionate medicine and health care. Today something has changed and very few doctors listen to parents of autistic children, SIDS, or other vaccine damaged children, or if they listen to them, very few believe what parents are telling them. There was a time when doctors took time to listen to their patients, and equally important, took very seriously the information given to them. They believed what their eyes told them, and deduced diagnoses based on their observations and questions. Now without diagnostic tests they feel incredibly insecure to trust their own perceptions partially because of malpractice suits, and are even more afraid to perceive anything that contradicts the formal line of the AMA, FDA and CDC. They can literally be killing kids with injectable poisons but few can come up with the courage

to stop as long as the main medical organizations say it's as safe as apple pie.

Vaccines have become sacraments of our faith in biotechnology. Their efficacy and safety are widely seen as self-evident and needing no further proof.

Dr. Richard Moskowitz

With this kind of attitude children can be wheeled into a hospital's emergency room only hours after being vaccinated and most doctors will not make the simple logical connection that the cause of the medical emergency was the vaccination shot. Even if a child dies soon after doctors and nurses do not get it, because they do not want to get it, because they know in getting it they will be themselves confronting a lockstep that can pull their licensees or make their lives difficult in a number of different ways. After reading this introduction, and in the full reading of this book, one can only wonder at statements from mainstream institutions like:

Because vaccines are given to people who are not sick, they are held to the highest standards of safety. As a result, they are among the safest things we put into our bodies. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

How does one define the word safe? "If safe is defined as 'free from any negative effects' then vaccines aren't safe. All vaccines have possible side effects," states the Children's hospital of Philadelphia. It is interesting to note the contradiction from this pro-vaccination institution. Like most medical institutions they insist that these side effects are mild and rare. Mild and rare are the watchwords of the medical and vaccine community. Mild and rare, mild and rare, mild and rare, if you say it enough times perhaps you will believe it. The fact is that the vast majority of us have bought this hook line and sinker; and the sad fact is that many thousands of families have paid a price in terms of massive suffering, agony and death.

"I recently took my two month old baby to have her first DPT, oral polio, and Hib shots.

When we returned home from the clinic her crying became a high-pitched kind of screaming.

She became inconsolable and I became desperate.

She finally screamed herself into exhaustion a few hours later.

The next morning I woke up hearing my husband screaming our baby is dead."

It has become difficult to trust doctors when we remember when they wanted every child's tonsils out. Now mothers have to wonder why doctors now insist that they should stay in. Where doctors once prescribed antibiotics for every sore throat, prescription-dependent patients are now being blamed for new strains of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. A new drug promoted as a lifesaver today is sometimes pulled off the market tomorrow for killing those who took it. Today vaccines are considered safe, tomorrow they will be seen as the most dangerous substances known to humankind.

In the last 30 years, the increase in vaccine shots has coincided with childhood cancers rising to become the #1 disease from which children under the age of 14 are dying.

Vaccination against childhood infectious diseases is one of the most sacred cows of modern medicine and, according to Professor Campbell, a Professor of Family Medicine in New Zealand, "any doctor who questions its safety is either foolhardy or very brave." The buck stops with the parents though, it is they who have to decide, and it is they who give permission for the doctors and nurses to inject their children with vaccines. They cannot afford to be foolhardy or ignorant. They must inform themselves and this book is dedicated to that process.

The Intention of this Book

The real intention of this book is to stop stories like the following from happening. When Miriam Silvermintz of Fair Lawn N.J took her seven month old son Nathan to the pediatrician for his third series of vaccinations on Feb. 18,1991, she was thrilled to hear the doctor say her baby was growing beautifully. Just five hours later, as Nathan lay in his crib, he shrieked in pain. Terrified. Miriam ran in and cradled her baby in her arms. Nathan collapsed, his eyes rolling back in his head, as he suffered a severe seizure. "We called 911, and they worked on him for 45 minutes," says Miriam. "but I knew when I held him in my arms that he was dying." What killed Nathan? "When I first called the pediatrician after the ambulance arrived, he said Nathan probably was just having a reaction to his DPT shot," Miriam recalls. "But when Nathan died, the doctor did an about-face and said it had nothing to do with the vaccine." (In 1994, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims awarded damages to the Silvermintzes under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986.)

When federal health officials and pediatricians refrain from warning the public about risks out of fear that parents will stop immunizing their children, they endanger, they hurt, and sometimes kill little tiny babies entrusted into their care.

What you are reading is an intense and frightening book documenting a particularly dangerous facet of medical practice. Its language is strong with conclusions complete; and it will ruffle the feathers of many mainstream people who follow traditional patterns of thought when it comes to medicine and health care. If it does not do that it fails in one of its primary directives and purpose. This work is current, condensed, and well organized in its attempt to communicate the full story on the problems with vaccines and the pain and suffering it is bringing to way too many people. There are many ways to inform oneself about vaccination problems, and it is well worth ones while, before risking ones children, to fully inform and educate oneself to what one is actually about to do, and what risk you are about to subject your children and your family to.

I have run against so many histories of little children who had never seen a sick day until they were vaccinated and who, in the several years that have followed, have never seen a well day since.

I couldn't put my finger on the disease they have.

They just weren't strong. Their resistance was gone.

They were perfectly well before they were vaccinated.

They have never been well since.

William Howard Hay, M.D.

There are many people though; the vast majority in fact, of medical people and health officials and ordinary citizens who would prefer you did not inform yourself about vaccine dangers and would have you, like your parents before you, behave like idiots, with total faith and blind belief in your doctor, simply cart your children off to the doctors office for shots that risk your babies life, in rare occasions, and certainly their general health in way too many cases.

Here, take this sugar pill, it is danger-free, it is a wonderful thing, it has no risk, no problems,' and doctors have become lazy and actually believed this dangerous philosophy put out by the pharmaceutical companies and the governments.

Byron Hyde, MD

Chairman of the Ottawa-based Nightingale Research Foundation

This book pleads with you not to reject information that can protect your baby. It pleads that you make the effort to fully educate yourself and it specifically begs all parents to think carefully before making a final decision whether to vaccinate their children or not. It implores you to think for yourself and not let the medical powers hustle you into a premature decision. With all my heart I ask you these things. This book will also address itself to health care workers in all sectors and specialties, but reserves special attention to the pharmaceutical companies that manufacture and promote vaccines, to the pediatricians who willing administer them, and to the health and medical organizations that are promoting medical terrorism.

To the extent the physician simply complies without making an independent evaluation of the appropriateness of the vaccine for each patient, he is abdicating his responsibility under the Oath of Hippocrates to "prescribe regimen for the good of my patients according to my ability and my judgment and never do harm to anyone."

Jane Orient, M.D.

In these pages you will find my heart and I hope you will find your own, for this is a subject of the heart, a subject of truth, and thus it has great import for humanity. What many others and I have discovered is an insult to humanity, an insult to our collective intelligences, and potentially a violation of being greater than any other ever perpetuated. These insults come in the form of intense medical pressure to vaccinate your children. We have all been programmed with the attitude that plagues will return and ravage the western world without everyone giving their child a full set of vaccination. This is a very powerful force in modern society, programmed deeply into our unconscious. But is it true just because we have been told it is true? If it is so true why is it that there is a groundswell of doctors around the world beginning to speak like Dr. Moscowitz who says, "For us to bombard a newborn baby with a whole battery of vaccines as, in effect, their very first immunologic experience I think is reckless beyond measure. I would say it borders on the criminal."

Medically this book challenges all nurses and doctors around the world to re-educate themselves to the entire issue of vaccinations. It calls for an international forum and investigation that challenges the basic assumptions that have ruled the field for over a century when it comes to vaccines. In his second term of office President Clinton proposed a ten-year program to develop an AIDS vaccine. He likened its importance and magnitude to the space program that led to the manned-landing on the moon in 1969. It would be more rational to propose a one year all out program to examine the entire paradigm about vaccinations before a world medical court than it would to rush out and develop one more vaccine that can badly hurt children. This entire subject needs to be brought in front of a world medical court that can guarantee its objectivity and responsibility to answer for humanity the question of the safety and efficacy of vaccines. This question cannot be left in the hands of the traditional health organizations like the Center of Disease Control or the World Health Organization who both share a tremendous bias toward the subject of vaccination. Medical experts and health officials with financial ties to vaccine manufacturers publicly down-play the lethal risks of vaccines.

It is apparent that critical medical decisions for an entire generation of American children are being made by small committees whose members have incestuous ties with agencies that stand to gain power, or manufacturers that stand to gain enormous profits, from the policy that is made.

Jane Orient, M.D.

Testimony given to the U.S. House of Representatives

Pharmaceutical corporations and individual executives have now been accused of genocide before the International Criminal Court in The Hague. Companies including Pfizer, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Amgen and Astra Zeneca are accused of deliberately preventing life-saving natural alternatives to drug based treatments from being applied in prevention and cure. A worldwide disinformation campaign undertaken by these companies is said to have caused the death of countless people. Throughout the 20th century, the pharmaceutical industry was built and organized with the goal of controlling healthcare systems around the world by systematically replacing natural, non-patentable therapies with patentable and therefore profitable synthetic drugs that are themselves responsible for provoking disease and death instead of promoting health. The pharmaceutical companies are not historically known to keep the best interests of the public in mind. They have been run for decades by a handful of wealthy and unscrupulous entrepreneurs interested in the bottom line. Though the accusations brought before the World Court in 2003 did not specifically mention the vaccine issue it is clear that these companies begin to stake out their marketing share from the moment of our birth. And it is clear that they have hid very effectively from doctors, nurses and the population at large their use of toxic nerve chemicals like mercury as preservatives in vaccines since the 1930s.

How do you force a child to have an injection that she does not want? Will police officers be called to hold her down while the needle is thrust into her arm? And what will they do to her mother, who believes the jab is dangerous? Will she have to be manacled, while they take the child away? Will she be imprisoned if she refuses to co-operate? The whole idea is repulsive and un-British. Yet the Court of Appeal last week ordered that two girls, aged ten and five, should be made to have the controversial MMR triple immunizations against their mothers' wishes. The ten-year-old, aware of what is happening, agrees with her mother. Forced medical treatment is something that happens in concentration camps, not in the NHS.

Peter Hitchens

The intent of this book is to warn as many parents as possible so parents avoid the pain that this man and woman suffered because they read about the dangers of vaccines too late:

"My dearest little daughter died 10 hours after receiving her 3th dose of DPT, HIB, and oral polio vaccines. She was only 16 months old. There is no way I can ever express the intensity of my suffering, my hurt, pain, anger and rage. I really did not know that a human being was capable of such suffering until my little baby died in my arms.

I cried and cried and cried until the tears would no longer come.

I feel as if my life has been destroyed, completely destroyed.

I have now promised myself that I will channel all of my anger into trying to stop this living nightmare from happening to others.

No one should have to live through what I did."

The Story with Mercury

(and other toxic nerve poisons in Vaccines)

Mercury is a poison at any level.

The levels of risk to the infant from exposure occurring during critical windows of neurological development is unknown through direct scientific study and experimentation but is now 'suspected' to be extraordinarily high.

Standing up for both sides of the argument about the toxic nerve poison mercury added as a preservative to vaccines, Dr. Neal Halsey, of Johns Hopkins University Institute for Vaccine Safety said pointedly, "We can say there is no evidence of harm, but the truth is no one has looked." In what was perhaps the best kept secret of the 20th century a highly toxic mercury-based preservative **thimerosal** has been widely used as a preservative in vaccines and is now present in more than 30 childhood vaccines. Manufacturers had used thimerosal, which contains ethyl-mercury, as a preservative in multi-dose vials of vaccine. The vials allow needles to be inserted repeatedly and the vaccine drawn out. The vials are cheaper than packaging doses of vaccine separately, without thimerosal.

Most infants have been receiving up to 15 doses of mercury-containing vaccines by the time they are 6 months old. It is almost inconceivable that these heavy burdens of foreign immunologic materials, introduced into the immature systems of children, could fail to bring about disruptions and adverse reactions in these in these systems.

Harold Buttram MD

Mercury has been known to be hazardous for literally hundreds of years, and its dangers have been well documented. Thousands of parents have reported biological and neurodevelopment changes in their children directly following administration of mercury-containing vaccines with a broad range of symptoms, including sudden onset of shyness, GI distress, loss of motor skill function, allergies, the inability to speak, tremors and autonomic disturbances, mimic those associated with mercury poisoning. The danger that thimerosal presents is that it contains 49.5 percent ethyl mercury by weight. Mercury has long been the source of many serious health problems

and is especially toxic to the rapidly developing fetal and infant brain. Dr. Boyd Haley, Chairman of the Chemistry Department at the University of Kentucky said, "Thimerosal is one of the most toxic compounds I know of, I can't think of anything that I know of is more lethal." And yet even though the FDA questioned Thimerosals safety several times and decided for example in 1982 that it was "not safe for 'over-the-counter' topical use, because of its potential for cell damage," it never did anything to question its use in childhood vaccines. One can only wonder at this lapse in responsibility and at the legitimacy of Dr. Neal Halsey's statement "We can say there is no evidence of harm." Perhaps he and many others in the official medical establishment are waiting for an experiment to be conducted where perfectly healthy newborns are intentionally injected with high doses of mercury to see if a poison like thimerosal is really a poison. In reality such an experiment has been done though no one wants to admit the truth of such a dark secret. Billions of us have already received our shots and to push the point Halsey voted in 1990 to dramatically increase the amount of Thimerosal exposure to babies by adding two new vaccines to the roster of mandatory immunizations children must have before enrolling in school. The combination of the Hepatitis B vaccine and the HiB vaccine more than doubled the amount of mercury injected into children's veins so now what was hidden has finally come out. Medical officials in the United States pushed mercury exposure through the roof and now we can finally decide as a civilization whether it's a good idea or not to inject the most dangerous poison on earth, beside uranium and plutonium, directly into newborns.

If an average 5 kg-infant received all thimerosal-containing vaccines at his two-month visit, his exposure that day would be 62.5 mcg ethyl mercury--125 times as great as the EPA guideline.

One thing should be clear, no physician or nurse in their right mind who administers vaccinations would be willing to voluntarily submit to an injection of thimerosal adjusted for his/her weight equivalent to what our newborns routinely receive. "If you take a ten-pound baby in, and it gets four shots on that one day, which has become a common practice - that's equivalent to giving a 100-pound person forty shots in one day," said mercury expert Dr. Boyd Haley. Yet one father of a child who displayed autistic tendencies cried out that not only had is son received the MMR vaccine, but he received a total of 9 vaccines in one day. He had DPaT, MMR, HepB-HiB combo and oral polio. Perhaps it would be fair to the world if the officials at the FDA, CDC, the AMA, WHO, and the APA all volunteered for such an experiment and allowed themselves to be injected

with the same levels of thimerosal adjusted for his/her weight equivalent to what they themselves recommended our newborns routinely receive. There should be no problem for after all "there is no evidence of harm!"

Approximately one-half of the hundreds of parents who call our office each month report that their child became autistic shortly after receiving a vaccination.

Portia Iverson

Cure Autism Now foundation in Los Angeles

The Center for Disease Control (CDC) in the United States admits that the nervous system is very sensitive to all forms of mercury and that exposure to high levels of metallic, inorganic, or organic mercury can permanently damage the brain, kidneys, and developing fetus. Effects on brain functioning may include irritability, tremors, and changes in vision or hearing, attention, language, and memory problems. They also admit that the effects of short-term exposure to high levels of metallic mercury vapors may include lung damage, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, increases in blood pressure or heart rate, skin rashes, and eye irritation. They did admit that researchers in one study did find statistically significant associations between thimerosal and several neurodevelopmental disorders, including language delays, speech delays, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), unspecified developmental delays, stammering, sleep disorders, emotional disorders, and tics. Yet the CDC will not admit any correlation between the use of thimerosal in vaccines and the complaints of thousands of desperate parents. In enormous contrast, the US General Accounting Office said in 1999 "[a] vaccine can have severe side effects, including death or disabling conditions requiring lifetime medical care." If you are getting the feeling that you are reading about one of the greatest tragedies ever inflicted on humankind, you are correct. And the day will come, when before a world court, all the evidence will be heard and final judgment will be handed down.

Thimerosal is severely toxic to numerous brain proteins.

It is really up to chemists to show that thimerosal is toxic to brain proteins and that is exactly what Dr. Mark Lovell and Dr. Boyd E. Haley, did. They studied the extent of neurotoxicity of pure thimerosal and of vaccines with and without thimerosal present. The experiments were done as follows: Neurons were grown in culture for 24 hours. Then pure thimerosal or vaccines were added to test cultures. The death of neurons was observed for the next 24 hours and compared to the death of neurons in the

absence of toxicant. "The results showed that the vaccines with thimerosal present were much more toxic than thimerosal-free vaccines. Pure thimerosal was toxic at the low nanomolar level--an extremely low concentration. about 10,000 times less than the thimerosal concentration found in most vaccines. These results leave little doubt about thimerosal being the toxic agent in the vaccines. In these studies with human brain samples the exposure to mercury dramatically reduced the viability of a major brain protein called tubulin, but had little if any effect on another major protein, actin. Both tubulin and actin are critically important for the growth of dendrites or maintenance of axon structures of neurons. Exposing neurons to mercury rapidly results in the stripping of tubulin from the axon structure, leaving bare neurofibrils that form the tangles that are the diagnostic hallmark of Alzheimer's disease. Thimerosal, like mercury, also rapidly reduces the viability of tubulin; in addition, however, it abolishes the viability of actin. This likely represents a major difference in the mechanism of mercury versus organic-mercury (more neurotoxic) toxicity. However, both mercury and organic-mercury inhibit tubulin viability and would work in concert to damage neurons of the central nervous system. This research was presented in the spring of 2001 to the Institute of Medicine Immunization Safety Review Committee, which concluded its analysis by stating that thimerosal involvement in autism was a plausible hypothesis.

Approximately 12 out of the 18 vaccine doses the average child receives before the age of two contain Thimerosal.

Cumulatively, that's more than 200 micrograms of mercury, which would fit on the head of a pin.

According to the EPA, dropping that pin-head of mercury into 23 gallons of water would make it unsafe for human consumption.

What the US General Accounting office is admitting here is a truth worse perhaps than the savagery of the Aztecs who dragged the captives up the steps of their pyramids to be slaughtered like pigs. We are dragging 'our own' children to doctors offices and clinics, kicking and screaming 'please no Mommy,' if they are old enough, screaming all the same even if newborn after their skin is penetrated by a needle containing a lurid liquid, plunging it directly into their bloodstream, brain and immune system. No more compassionate than the Aztec temple priests, the Gods of medical science in their white coats plunge the needle in without even knowing what is in the liquid vial. Yes this is correct, most doctors and nurses are not aware of the components of vaccines and have abandoned their professional responsibilities to find out. Even with the lives of infants at

stake they have blindly accepted the goodness of vaccines even though they contain the most toxic nerve poisons known to man. True to the tradition of medicine as religion, not as a science, professionals routinely give up rational process and investigation and accept blindly what they are told in medical school.

*Special Note: I acknowledge that this paragraph employs strong images that will not sit well with pediatricians and many other doctors who 'think' they are doing good and who have been ignorant of the real story behind vaccinations. And I debated with myself whether to soften it down, but when you read many of the stories in this book about actual parents and their children and what they have gone through, and when you read about the continued arrogance of doctors and health officials, I hope you will be able to understand why I left it in. Including thimerosal and other nerve toxins, that you will be introduced to in this chapter, represents the worst crime against humanity ever committed in the author's view. There is no changing the horror perpetrated nor the arrogance and circle round the wagons psychology on the part of the medical community when confronted with desperate parents looking for answers to their childrens' sudden deaths.

It is astounding that even if a normal and healthy child goes home and dies within twenty-four hours the medical powers that be will scratch their heads and pronounce on the death certificate, "this child died of unknown causes." (SIDS) Or worse, the child's parents, already destroyed and choked in a sea of agony and grief that no human should know, are questioned by police and accused of murder. This flies in the face of the fact that according to the Department of Health and Human Services, an estimated 60,000 DPT shots annually are reported as having been followed by convulsions, shock, collapse, temperatures of 105 degrees, and/or high pitched screaming. What could be worth subjecting our children to such a thing? Are we risking our children's lives for a concept? For a concept of medicine that might not even be true?

My suspicion, which is shared by others in my profession, is that the nearly 10,000 SIDS deaths that occur in the United States each year are related to one or more of the vaccines that are routinely given children. The pertussis vaccine is the most likely villain, but it could also be one or more of the others.

Dr. Mendelsohn, M.D.

Yet the CDC insists that no harmful effects have been reported from thimerosal at doses used in vaccines, except for minor reactions like 'redness and swelling at the injection site.' It is known that a review conducted by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) concluded that the use of thimerosal as a preservative in vaccines might result in the intake of mercury during the first 6 months of life that exceeds the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). But the CDC continues to flatly state, "There is no evidence that any vaccine or vaccine additive increases the risk of developing autism or any other behavior disorder." The question must be raised if they truly believe this is why, in July 1999, did the Public Health Service (PHS) agencies, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), and vaccine manufacturers agreed that thimerosal should be reduced or eliminated in vaccines 'for the US market only' as a precautionary measure. Could it be that they have finally found out what Eli Lilly and Company have known since 1947 when they were told the obvious about their product thimerosal, that "it may be dangerous to inject a serum containing merthiolate into a patient sensitive to merthiolate." In 1948 Lilly received the following report, "Merthiolate is such a commonly used preservative for biologicals, plasma, cartilage, etc., that it would seem important to determine whether harm would result following its subcutaneous or intravenous injection in skin sensitive individuals." And then in 1950 the New York Academy of Science article, Mercurials as Antiseptics, was known to have reached Lilly's offices. This stated clearly that, "It (merthiolate) is toxic when injected parenterally and therefore cannot be used in chemotherapy." When so much was at stake when it comes to what we subject newborn babies and young children to, how is it that it took until the very end of the 20th century for this subject to come out into the light of day?

The injection of mercury into the veins of children who are highly sensitive to mercury is obviously a hideous crime.

The fact is that mercury is the second most toxic element in the periodic table of elements and is poisonous to all human being.

Yet it does seem that some children are more sensitive than others and will thus react in more intensively negative ways.

Some will die where others will not.

Denials of facts and reality in reports by federal agencies are unfortunately all too common and thus it is very difficult now to trust federal agencies in the United States. For example, just recently the Bush administration was accused of actively burying research that indicates that global warming may be a threat to human health or the environment. It was reported in the NY Times in June of 2003 that the White House Council on Environmental Quality and the Office of Management and Budget hammered the E.P.A. into modifying a long section of a new report that highlighted the risks posed by rising global temperatures. The section was

reduced to a noncommittal paragraph that deleted any mention that the 1990's were the warmest decade in the last thousand years in the Northern Hemisphere. Gone was a judgment by the National Research Council about the likely human contributions to global warming. Gone was the introductory statement that "Climate change has global consequences for human health and the environment." This is a shameful and dangerous case of censorship that is common in many important areas of public concern and safety and is highly evident in regards to the story of vaccinations and the actual effects it is having on our children's lives.

Every day new parents are ringing us. They all have the same tragic story. Healthy baby, child, teenager, usually a boy, given the DPT or DT, or MMR booster followed by a sudden fall or slow, but steady decline into autism or other spectrums disorder."

The Hope Project (Ireland)

In March of 2001, the law firm of Waters & Kraus, of Dallas, Texas, filed the first known civil case alleging that the mercury-based preservative thimerosal has caused mercury poisoning in many children. Thimerosal has been used as an additive to biologics and vaccines since the end of the 1930's but incredibly it was only in 1999 that the truth emerged that most vaccines are laced with mercury and other toxic substances to increase shelf life. Pharmaceutical companies add drugs, antibiotics, and toxic disinfectants to vaccines, substances like: neomycin, streptomycin, sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide, aluminum hydroxide, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, formaldehyde, as well as thimerosal which is fifty percent mercury by weight. Now finally we are being told that vaccines without thimerosal are available in the United States but the World Health Organization says that is not an option for developing countries, due to practical constraint and high cost. But since thimerosal is not the only hazardous substance added to vaccines we are not being assured of anything if that one **component is removed.** Below is a list of the deadly compounds found in vaccines:

ALUMINUM: a neuro-toxin which has been associated w/ Alzheimers, dis-ease, dementia and seizures; aluminum is carcinogenic in laboratory mice and added to vaccines to "promote antibody response." Injections of Aluminum into animals produce behavioral, neuropathological and neurochemical changes that partially model Alzheimers. Aluminum is known to have the ability to produce neurotoxicity by many mechanisms. Neurotoxic effects of aluminum were recognized more than 100 years ago, but have only

recently been studied in detail. So we have to ask ourselves what about aluminum INJECTED into the body not as a vaccine preservative but as a vaccine adjuvant? The mechanisms of aluminum neurotoxicity are presently unclear but evidence has emerged suggesting that aluminum accumulation in the brain can alter neuronal signal transduction pathways associated with glutamate receptors. Aluminum is widely used as an adjuvant in human vaccines, and children can often receive up to 3.75 mg of parenteral aluminum during the first six months of life. What is this neuro-friendly chemical doing in something given to little children?

THIMEROSAL: a sodium salt derived from the deadly poison mercury and used as a disinfectant and preservative; thimerosal has been linked to brain and kidney damage as well as immune and neurological dis-orders; it is a component of vaccines for DPT, tetanus, hepatitius B and Hib.

FORMALDEHYDE: a major component of embalming fluid, which of course is pumped into dead people; a known cancercausing chemical, this toxic substance is used to "inactivate" viruses and detoxify bacterial toxins; formaldehyde has also shown to be injurious to the liver and to trigger gene mutations.

CARBOLIC ACID (PHENOL): believed to cause gender mutation; a deadly poison used as a disinfectant, dye;

ANTI (AGAINST) BIOTICS (LIFE): Neomycin, Streptomycin and a variety of other drugs - to which increasing numbers of the population are demonstrating serious allergies and to which increasing numbers of microbes are developing genetically-transmitted tolerance;

ACETONE: used in fingernail polish remover and as a solvent;

ALUM: used as a preservative;

GLYCERIN: a tri-atomic alcohol extracted from natural fats which are putrefied and decomposed; toxic effects damage the kidneys, liver, lungs and "pronounced local tissue damage, gastrointestinal damage and death."

TOXIC CHEMICALS & DRUGS: trace elements of other chemicals such as sodium hydroxide, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, benzethonium chloride, methylparaben; some of which are known or suspected of causing cancer.

Formaldehyde (used in embalming), thimerosal (nearly 50 percent mercury), aluminum phosphate (toxic and carcinogenic), antibiotics, phenols (corrosive to skin and toxic), aluminum salts (corrosive to tissue and neuro-toxic), methanol (toxic), isopropyl (toxic), 2- pheoxyethanol (toxic), live viruses and a host of unknown components considered offlimits as trade secrets are all a part of what is injected into the veins of newborn infants. Aluminum and formaldehyde are 'extremely' toxic and most chemists, biologists and medical people would confirm that microscopic doses of these substances could lead to cancer, neurological damage, and death. Formaldehyde is not approved for human consumption by the FDA yet small amounts of formaldehyde are approved by the FDA for use in manufacturing several vaccines, including vaccines against anthrax, diphtheria, hepatitis A, influenza, Japanese encephalitis, and tetanus. Formaldehyde has been used in vaccine manufacturing since the 1960s, if not earlier. Literally billions of people around the world have been given tetanus toxoid processed with formaldehyde (as anthrax vaccine is). Anthrax vaccine, for example, which does not contain thimerosal, is formulated to contain 1.2 mg/mL aluminum, added as aluminum hydroxide in 0.85% sodium chloride. The product is formulated to contain 25 mg/mL benzethonium chloride and 100 mg/mL formaldehyde, added as preservatives. Anthrax vaccine contains aluminum hydroxide, as do FDAlicensed diphtheria, Haemophilus influenzae type b, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, Lyme disease, pertussis, and tetanus vaccines. Benzethonium chloride is also used as a preservative in the anthrax vaccine. It is also a common component in other injectable and nasal medications (such as thrombin, ketamine, orphenadrine [Norflex], and butorphanol [Stadol]). Benzethonium chloride is sometimes also called Phemerol.

If one uses nerve poisons such as mercury, aluminum, and formaldehyde in vaccines one should expect problems of all kinds.

Only the most blind and ignorant would not perceive this.

In 1972 the British Medical Journal reports skin burns resulting from the chemical interaction of thimerosal and aluminum. They informed the Elli Lilly & Company that, "Mercury is known to act as a catalyst and to cause aluminum to oxidize rapidly, with the production of heat. Thimerosal is being used in vaccines that also contain aluminum and no one has even

begun to speculate on the complex chemical interactions that can take place once the vaccine fluid containing a mix of highly toxic chemicals is injected into young children or adults. Many vaccines contain aluminum ions that have neurotoxic properties, and aluminum is considered a factor in Alzheimer's disease etiology. Experiments have been done at a research laboratory at the University of Kentucky by Dr. Boyd E. Haley and J. Curtis Pendergrass, Ph.D. to determine if aluminum would increase the toxicity of very low levels of thimerosal. The results were unequivocal: The presence of aluminum dramatically increased the rate of neuronal death caused by thimerosal. Therefore, the aluminum and thimerosal combination found in vaccines produces a toxic mixture that cannot be compared to situations where thimerosal alone was the toxic exposure.

Dr. Haley gives us a basic chemistry lesson when he says, "The enhanced toxicity of thimerosal created by the addition of aluminum represents a problem with all forms of mercury toxicity. Synergism of toxic metals is well known. A slightly toxic solution of lead, mixed with a slightly toxic solution of mercury, results in a very toxic mixture. This is similar to the enhanced adverse reactivity to thimerosal found in optomological solutions, when subjects were prescribed to take the antibiotic tetracycline. For some reason, tetracycline increased the ocular toxic reaction to thimerosal. We have done some experiments to determine if certain antibiotics could also increase thimerosal-induced neuronal death in the neuron culture system. Our preliminary results indicate that this is the case, especially with tetracycline and ampicillin." The potential for interference between the components in vaccines has never been properly addressed. Vaccine manufacturers themselves are aware of this interference. Douglas, a spokes person for Merck spoke about the, "unpredicted immune interference and incompatibilities on mixing of different components, demonstrating again the inadequacy of our understanding of how vaccines work and the empiric nature of the science."

Any good biochemist knows that Thimerosal and aluminum react dangerously when combined together.

Dr. Boyd Haley

Yet amazingly no one in officialdom is challenging the way vaccines are made and what damaging effect they are having on target populations. The dangers of vaccination are real; the only case the medical establishment can make is that adverse reactions are 'rare.' One only has to look at the white slips that come with each and every vaccine to discover what the vaccine companies recognize as the risks and dangers of giving one's child

each vaccination. The below is a list compiled from three vaccines, warnings from the manufacturers of the vaccines themselves. Vaccines are supposed to be perfectly safe but any of the below can happen to your child according to the pharmaceutical companies who make the vaccines. What they will not and cannot answer is why these reactions occur in a frequency that would scare any parent to death.

A severe constitutional reaction may occasionally follow an injection of Triple Antigen, Hepititus B and MMR vaccines: persistent screaming with or without vomiting, shock and collapse have been known to occur. malaise; vomiting; convulsions, encephalopathy, oedema, dyspnoea, chest discomfort, bronchial spasm, or palpitation have been reported within the first few hours after vaccination. An apparent hypersensitivity syndrome of delayed onset has been reported days to weeks after vaccination, including arthritis and dermatological reactions such as erythema multiforme, ecchymoses and erythema nodosum, reactions in joints, sweating, achiness, sensation of warmth, lightheadedness, chills, flushing, diminished appetite. Rhinitis, influenza, cough, Vertigo/dizziness, paraesthesia, Pruritus, rash (nonspecified), angioedema, urticária, Arthralgia including monoarticular, myalgia, back pain, neck pain, shoulder pain, neck stiffness, Insomnia/disturbed sleep, Neurological disorders such as myelitis, including transverse myelitis; acute radiculoneuropathy and Herpes zoster, Visual disturbances, sore throat, headache, dizziness, fever, rash, nausea, diarrhoea; afebrile convulsions or siezures; ataxia; nerve deafness; thrombocytopenia and purpura; allergic reactions, anaphylaxis and anaphylactoid reactions.

E. Stephen Edwards, MD, President, American Academy of Pediatrics says to you on the AAP's website that he and "pediatricians are your partners in the important job of keeping your child healthy...and we take that partnership very seriously. That's why we encourage you to have your child immunized against deadly, preventable diseases." On their site you will also read, "An early CDC study suggested a possible 'weak' connection between the amount of thimerosal given and certain neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ADHD, speech and language delays, and tics." And he finishes with "There is a lot of misinformation on the Internet about vaccines, so I commend you for finding your way to this reliable web site. We're proud to provide you with the information you'll need to make an educated decision about immunization." What he does not want you to know is that in the February 27, 1998, issue of The Lancet, Dr. Andrew Wakefield and 13 of his colleagues reported on a new syndrome involving inflammatory bowel disease and autism in children. Eight out of 12 normal children who developed severe intestinal disorders soon after an MMR vaccination also became autistic. Previously, five of those eight children had reacted adversely to vaccinations. The team of British scientists, who had inadvertently stumbled upon the connection while studying Crohn's disease

and other inflammatory bowel dysfunction in children, true to form in the modern world of medicine, emphasized that they had not 'proved' a cause-and-effect relationship. They called for more studies to investigate whether persistent viral infection, either from natural disease or live virus vaccines, can lead to central nervous system damage in some children. It is routine for people like Edwards to discount studies like this saying that children's health problems are "coincidental" and not caused by vaccination. Bernard Rimland PhD said in response, "It is ludicrous to claim that the link between many causes of autism and vaccination is just coincidental." One can only wonder what will happen the day that "proof" is provided, the day when the blind can see that mercury is a poison that should not be injected to babies on the first or any day of their lives.

If the vaccine manufacturers have scientific proof that Thimerosal is safe for infants, why don't they produce their studies and continue using the compound?

Documents from the archives of Eli Lilly & Company, the manufacture of thimerosal, clearly demonstrate that the mercury-based vaccine preservative implicated in a number of recent law suits as causing neurological injury to infants, was known as early as April 1930 to be dangerous. In its apparent eagerness to promote and market the product, in September, 1930, Eli Lilly secretly sponsored a "human toxicity" study on patients already known to be dying of meningococcal meningitis. Andrew Waters, of The Dallas-based law firm of Waters & Kraus stated that, "Lilly then cited this study repeatedly for decades as proof that thimerosal was of low toxicity and harmless to humans. They never revealed to the scientific community or the public the highly questionable nature of the original research." The tests were conducted in 1929 by a young researcher named K.C. Smithburn who injected 22 human subjects that were already dying with a one-percent solution and then pronounced that all the patients were reported 'without ill effect.' That they all died was never mentioned. "It's apparent that Lilly didn't want to do the study themselves because it's apparent that there were enormous ethical problems with injecting people even people dying of meningitis - with mercury," Waters said. "What Smithburn did was wrong, because he agreed to do the study for Lilly, and not only did he agree to do it, but he agreed to give them results that he knew were flawed." There simply are no images or words, no analogies that can be used to describe what Eli Lilly and Company, and then other pharmaceutical companies perpetuated in their zeal to make a profit.

If Thimerosal isn't safe for children, why did they use it in childhood vaccines?
You can't do a study showing if thimerosal is safe.
It is just too toxic.

For people living outside of the United States, be advised that Dr. Edwards is not recommending that you and your babies be given the same benefit of the doubt as Americans are being given. They make it very clear that mercury is being taken out of American vaccines, not yours. They say on their site, "Even though there's no evidence that thimerosal in vaccines is dangerous, the Public Health Service and the American Academy of Pediatrics believe the effort to remove mercury-based preservatives from vaccines was a good decision. By taking thimerosal out of vaccines, we are lessening the amount of mercury a child will be exposed to early in life." The World Health Organization (WHO) acknowledges the US recommendations to avoid thimerosal-containing hepatitis B vaccine for certain newborns, including the screening of pregnant mothers for the blood marker of the liver infection. But WHO underlines this is not an option for developing countries due to practical constraints and the high cost.

Thimerosal is being removed from the American market but **it is not** being removed from the vaccines given to hundreds of millions of other children around the world.

The American Academy of Pediatrics also says, "When vaccines containing thimerosal have been administered in the recommended doses, allergic type reactions (hives, shock) have been noted on rare occasions. No other harmful effects have been reported." Yet on the same site they stick their foot in their mouths when they say, "An early CDC study suggested a possible 'weak' connection between the amount of thimerosal given and certain **neurodevelopmental disorders**, such as ADHD, speech and language delays, and tics." Does this engender trust in their basic integrity? It's almost unbelievable that such an organization would publicly contradict itself.

If it is unsafe why is it continued in use in vaccines used outside the United States?

If you have doubts about the mercury story know that the EPA limit is 0.1 micrograms of mercury per kilogram body weight per day. It doesn't take a genius to do the calculations when on their day of birth children are given the hepatitis B vaccine, which is 12.5 micrograms of mercury in

vaccinations in every country in the world except the United States where there is still some confusion about what the vaccine manufactures have and have not done with their current batch of vaccines. The average newborn weighs between 6 and 7 pounds, so they would be allowed 0.3 micrograms of mercury but in this one shot they are getting 12.5 micrograms. That's 39 times more than allowed by law in the United States.

Representative Frank Pallone Jr., from New Jersey, instigated the entire investigation into mercury vaccines in 1997 when he attached an amendment to an F.D.A. bill requiring the agency to inventory all mercury contained in licensed drugs and vaccines. The job of adding up the amount of mercury in vaccines and assessing its risk fell to Robert Ball, an F.D.A. scientist, and two F.D.A. pediatricians, Leslie Ball and R. Douglas Pratt. The F.D.A. team's conclusions were frightening and began a process which led Dr. Neal Halsey, chairman of the American Academy of Pediatrics committee on infectious diseases to finally recommend the 'voluntary' withdraw from vaccines distributed in the United States. Vaccines added in the last 25 years had tripled the dose of mercury that infants got in their first few months of life meaning that as many as 30 million American children may have been exposed to mercury in excess of Environmental Protection Agency guidelines -- levels of mercury that, in theory, could have killed enough brain cells to scramble thinking or hex behavior.

The connection between mercury exposure from thimerosal-containing vaccines and neuro-developmental disorders, including autism are biologically plausible.

According to toxicologists, because of the inherent pharmokinetics of mercury and its long half-life in the body, the effect of a large injected dose cannot be calculated as though it were ingested in small amounts over a longer period of time and yet this is exactly what people at the FDA and CDC are counting on to decrease the alarm. The 'experts' averaging of the daily dose of mercury exposure from vaccines over a six-month period and implying that this "average dose" is not likely to be very toxic is shocking coming from educated professionals and is absolutely unacceptable. Such averaging is not the true representation of the situation. Some infants are given three thimerosal vaccinations in one setting and the instantaneous exposure is much more relevant to evaluating possible neurological damage than calculating an average exposure over a long period of time. "Averaging exposures is as irrelevant to this issue as claiming that someone who just chugged a jug of whiskey could not be drunk (alcohol toxic), because it was his only exposure to alcohol in six months, and the alcohol exposure would

calculate on the daily average over this time period to be too low to cause intoxication. The question with regard to thimerosal and vaccinations should be, 'Was there any time that the thimerosal level surpassed the ability of the infant's body to protect itself from ethyl-mercury exposure?" explains our chemist Boyd E. Haley, PhD.

The story is horrible when you consider that children are getting multiple vaccinations at 2 months. And the picture darkens further when we realize that the US federal limits apply to oral ingestion of mercury, not mercury injected directly into the blood stream with a hypodermic needle. The implications of such injections are much worse than implied by the limits for oral doses. Obviously no experiments have been done on children that would measure what levels of needle injection would be safe. All logic would imply that anything above zero levels of nerve chemicals would be unsafe.

Vaccines generally contain between .01-.025% each of formaldehyde, mercury (or substitute #6-pheno-oxyethanol, a protoplasmic poison), aluminium, and a host of other toxic substances known to be deadly to humankind.

According to Mark Geier, M.D., Ph.D "The 2003 Physicians' Desk Reference [PDR] still shows childhood vaccines in the United States containing thimerosal, including diphtheria, tetanus and acellular pertussis. DTaP, manufactured by <u>Aventis</u> Pasteur, contains 25[mu]g [25 micrograms] of mercury, Hemophilus influenzae b (Hib) vaccine manufactured by <u>Wyeth</u> contains 25[mu]g of mercury and pediatric Hepatitis B vaccine, manufactured by Merck, contains 12.5[mu]g of mercury." Though it was reported by Kelly O'Meara, who is an investigative reporter for Insight Magazine, that Len Lavenda, a spokesman for Avenus Pasteur, denied the continued use of mercury, despite the continued use in the white package slips still shipped with the vaccines, parents around the world can be assured that their children are still getting the above amounts in their vaccination shots.

Dr. Geier said, "It is possible that children in the U.S. in 2003 may be exposed to levels of mercury from thimerosal contained in childhood vaccines that are at higher levels than at any time in the past. Possible total childhood mercury in 2003 is more than 300[mu]g." For US citizens these numbers are in doubt, for the rest of the world they are not!

Mercury poisoning and autism have nearly identical symptoms: self-injurious behavior, social withdrawal, lack of eye contact, lack of facial expression, hypersensitivity to noise and touch, and repetitive behaviors.

Stephanie Cave, MD

"The mercury has left its mark in the brains and immune systems of these children.... The body gets rid of mercury by secreting bile, but an infant does not produce bile at this age. In the hepatitis B vaccine alone, we are giving 12.5 mcg at birth, 12.5 mcg at a month, 50 mcg at two months, 50 mcg at four months, and 62.5 mcg at 6 months, and if you do your math, we're giving a load of mercury to these children before they can make bile and can get rid of it," explains Cave.

In June 2003, the World Health Organization changed the level of mercury consumption considered safe from 3.6 to 1.5 micrograms per kilogram of body weight per day.

(A microgram is 0.000000035 of an ounce, and a kilogram is 2.2 pounds.)

In his opening statement to the House Committee on Government Reform's hearing on mercury and medicine on June 18, 2000, Congressman Daniel Burton (R-Indiana) stated, "the truth is that sometimes kids go to their doctor's office and get four or five vaccines at the same time. My grandson received vaccines for nine different diseases in one day. He might have been exposed to 62.5 micrograms of mercury in one day through his vaccines. According to his weight, the maximum safe level of mercury that he should be exposed to in one day is 1.51 micrograms. This is 41 times the amount at which harm can be caused." Burton's grandson, who was healthy before he received the shots, now suffers from autism.

The majority of 'normal' children seem to have an ability to defend themselves against potentially toxic exposures and may demonstrate little negative effect despite exposures that were relatively large. By contrast, children who later are diagnosed as autistic experienced seem to be completely incapable of excreting mercury through hair. In the August 2003 issue of The International Journal of Toxicology you will find a study by Amy S. Holmes, Mark F. Blaxill and Dr. Boyd E. Haley that will show that autistic children do not handle mercury like normal children as witnessed by the difference in the mercury levels in their BIRTH hair. The low levels of mercury in the hair of autistic infants support a hypothesis that these infants were retaining mercury in tissue at a higher rate than control infants. The lack of mercury in the hair of autistics may be due to a decrease in blood-

mercury levels feeding the hair follicles. This decrease is likely caused by the retention of the mercury inside the cells where it most likely causes its major biological damage. These researchers found that with autistic children their birth hair mercury levels are exceptionally low, even if the mother had extensive amalgam fillings or mothers who had mercury laden vaccine injections during pregnancy. This is because 'potential' autistics are not excreting mercury; rather they are retaining it in their bodies where it causes damage. This study also noted that the mercury level in the birth hair decreases on average with increasing severity of autism. Other physicians have reported that autistic children have much higher heavy metal (mercury) body burdens than do normal children on a mercury challenge test.

The obvious logical conclusion is that the addition of multiple postnatal exposures to mercury in childhood vaccines would have more
severe consequences in infants whose detoxification capacity is reduced
or who may be closer to a dangerous threshold exposure. It must be
noted that most autistic children are not born autistic. It is something that
develops at varying ages but certainly in very early years of life. This most
current research is indicating that certain children are just more sensitive to
mercury because they have a reduced capacity to eliminate it. They are just
more sensitive to the most toxic and deadly chemical compound known to
man. Everyone knows that children and people in general show a wide
range of reactions and sensitivities and this can explain why one child will
die from the 'routine' vaccination schedule, why another will contract
autism, another diabetes, another asthma, and others will show nothing but a
little redness around the skin at the injection site.

One hundred years ago, children received 1 vaccine (the smallpox vaccine). Forty years ago, children received 5 vaccines routinely (diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus, polio, and smallpox vaccines) and as many as 8 shots by 2 years of age. Children now receive 52 vaccines, in the form of 15 shots, by the time they are 1 year of age, if they receive all the recommend shots, including the Prevnar pediatric pneumonia shot. The people most responsible for this is the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) who recently published an interim report to physicians on thimerosal in vaccines. In the report, the AAP and Public Health Service agreed that the use of thimerosal-containing vaccines should be reduced or eliminated, stating that any potential risk was of concern. While this report discussed much of the uncertainty regarding the potential effect of mercury exposure in vaccines, it clearly stated that there was no evidence of harm having occurred from such exposure. How is it possible that such well-educated people can come to this conclusion and state it publicly when the toxic

effects of toxic substance like mercury and formaldehyde are so well known?

Formaldehyde Symptoms: Eye; nasal; throat and pulmonary irritation; acidosis; acute sense of smell; alters tissue proteins; anaemia; antibodies formation; apathy; blindness; blood in urine; blurred vision; body aches; bronchial spasms; bronchitis; burns nasal and throat; cardiac impairment; palpitations and arrhythmias; central nervous system depression; changes in higher cognitive functions; chemical sensitivity; chest pains and tightness; chronic vaginitis; colds; coma; conjunctivitis; constipation; convulsions; corneal erosion; cough; death; destruction of red blood cells; depression; dermatitis; diarrhoea; difficulty concentrating; disorientation; dizziness; ear aches; eczema; emotional upsets; ethmoid polyps; fatigue; fecula bleeding; foetal asphyxiation (and they don't know what could cause SIDS?); flu-like or cold like illness; frequent urination with pain; gastritis; gastrointestinal inflammation; headaches; haemolytic anaemia; haemolytic haematuria; hoarseness; hyperactive airway disease; hyperactivity; hypomenstrual syndrome; immune system sensitiser; impaired (short) attention span; impaired capacity to attain attention; inability or difficulty swallowing; inability to recall words and names; inconsistent IQ profiles; inflammatory diseases of the reproductive organs; intestinal pain; intrinsic asthma; irritability; jaundice; joint pain; aches and swelling; kidney pain; laryngeal spasm; loss of memory; loss of sense of smell; loss of taste; malaise; menstrual and testicular pain; menstrual irregularities; metallic taste; muscle spasms and cramps; nasal and mucosae inflammation; nausea; congestions; crusting nosebleeds; numbness and tingling of the forearms and finger tips; pale, clammy skin; partial laryngeal paralysis; pneumonia; post nasal drip; pulmonary oedema; reduced body temperature; retarded speech pattern; ringing or tingling in the ear; schizophrenic-type symptoms; sensitivity to sound; shock; short term memory loss; shortness of breath; skin lesions; sneezing; sore throat; spacey feeling; speaking difficulty; sterility; swollen glands; tearing; thirst; tracheitis; tracheobronchitis; vertigo; vomiting blood; vomiting; wheezing.

References; C. Wilson; Chronic Exposure and Human Health (1993), McFarland & Company taken from Our Toxic Times Feb 1997 pgs 18 & 19.

Mercury: "Symptoms of exposure to this class of compounds includes aphthous, stomatitis, catarrhal gingivitis, nausea, liquid

stools, pain, liver disorder, injury to the cardiovascular system and hematopoietic system, deafness and ataxia. Exposure may be fatal. Headache, paresthesia of the tongue, lips, fingers and toes, other non-specific dysfunctions, metallic taste, slight gastrointestinal disturbances, excessive flatus and diarrhea may occur. Acute poisoning may cause gastrointestinal irritation and renal failure. Early signs of severe poisoning include fine tremors of extended hands, loss of side vision, slight loss of coordination in the eyes, speech, writing and gait, inability to stand or carry out voluntary movements, occasional muscle atrophy and flexure contractures, generalized myoclonic movements, difficulty understanding ordinary speech, irritability and bad temper progressing to mania, stupor, coma, mental retardation in children, skin irritation, blisters and dermatitis. Other symptoms include chorea, athetosis, tremors, convulsions, pain and numbness in the extremities, nephritis, salivation, loosening of the teeth, blue line on the gums, anxiety, mental depression, insomnia, hallucinations and central nervous system effects. Exposure may also cause irritation of the eyes, mucous membranes and upper respiratory tract."

Reference: National Institute for Health

In the late nineteen nineties a researcher named Dr. Thomas Verstraten worked at the CDC on a study of 76,659 children to determine if thimerosal might be causing neurological problems like autism. A February 2000 draft of Verstraeten's study, obtained by United Press International, appears to show that thimerosal might cause brain problems. That draft cited "increasing risks of neurological developmental disorders with increasing cumulative exposure to thimerosal. We can state that this analysis does not rule out that receipt of thimerosal-containing vaccine in children under 3 months of age may be related to an increased risk of neurologic developmental disorders," the study said.

To discuss the findings in Dr. Verstraeten's study, the CDC convened a meeting at the Simpsonwood Retreat Center in Norcross, Ga., on June 7-8, 2000. The agency invited vaccine experts and representatives of four vaccine manufacturers. After discussing that study, Dr. David Johnson, a Michigan state public health officer advising the CDC on vaccines, said that the findings were troubling, according to a transcript. "My gut feeling? It worries me enough," said Johnson. "I do not want my grandson to get a thimerosal-containing vaccine until we know better what is going on." Later in the same conversation, CDC officials agreed to keep the study private. In

October 2001, the Institute of Medicine panel that heard from Verstraeten found that it is "biologically plausible" that thimerosal causes autism, but that, "current scientific evidence neither proves nor disproves a link."

The latest research (as yet unpublished) under Professor Haley, Chairman of Chemistry at Kentucky University has revealed the startling fact that thimerosal is also light sensitive. Exposure for just 2_ minutes of daylight resulted in dissociation to ethyl mercury and a 5-fold increase in toxicity. There should be no doubt in anyone's mind that mercury is toxic and even administered in homeopathic doses, in trace amounts, delivered directly into the blood, is dangerous to the point of being hideous. Yes it is cheap; it makes vaccines cheaper, but is that any excuse injecting newborn babies with it?

Vaccines are poison because they contain poison. It's that plain and simple.

It's bad enough that we eat or drink poison, God forbid we get bitten by a poisonous snake or spider, but imagine injecting newborn babies with it. And in their dreams of dreams many health officials dream of injecting every last baby that is born. Their strong preference is that not one escapes the fate. Poison them all seems to be a part of modern day medical wisdom.

The advice from the World Health Organization is this, "The risk from side effects of thimerosal is theoretical, uncertain and, at most, extremely small. The best advice to parents is to continue having their children vaccinated." They also say, "Parents should be told as much as they want to know and are able to understand. The issues are very complex and most parents do not want to know all the science." So if you trust organizations like this go ahead and inject a little poison into your child.

Pediatricians are poisoning newborn babies around the world with mercury, foreign viruses and other chemical preservatives. Doing it blindly but in great faith to medical boards and public health officials, and in so doing are perpetuating the largest and most terrible terrorist program in history. It will be many years before most of them will realize this, enough years to make another killing fields international in scope. According to the World Health Organization "Most vaccines could be made thimerosal-free quite quickly, but they would not contain a preservative. It is not safe to use multi-dose vials of certain vaccines without some form of preservative. One solution would be to use single-dose vials, but this solution is very

expensive and not always technically possible. If a new preservative were to be used, the product would have to be re-licensed, taking a long time. Equally if thimerosal was removed from a vaccine, it would have to be relicensed as well." So their recommendation is to continue to kill babies, continue the onslaught of poison, pump it into those precious beings, continue to effect havoc in families who have to deal with the incredible pain of autism and other learning disorders, allergies, asthma, diabetes and a host of other 'milder' toxic side effects. "We support the continued use of current vaccines that protect the lives of millions of children each year," says Dr Scholtz, WHO's Executive Director of Health Technology and Pharmaceuticals. So what if a few thousand die. There is no proof that mercury and aluminum and formaldehyde injected directly into the bodies of babies is dangerous or harmful. No proof that the most dangerous substances known to mankind are dangerous?

Belying the recommendations of WHO are the words of Dr Neal Halsey himself, who heads the Hopkins Institute for Vaccine Safety, which he was a founder of in 1997. "My first reaction was simply disbelief, which was the reaction of almost everybody involved in vaccines," Halsey says. "In most vaccine containers, thimerosal is listed as a mercury derivative, a hundredth of a percent. And what I believed, and what everybody else believed, was that it was truly a trace, a biologically insignificant amount. My honest belief is that if the labels had had the mercury content in micrograms, this would have been uncovered years ago. But the fact is, no one did the calculation. My first concern was that it would harm the credibility of the immunization program," he says. "But gradually it came home to me that maybe there was some real risk to the children." Mercury was turning out to be like lead, which had been studied extensively in the homes of the Baltimore poor during Halsey's tenure at Hopkins. "As they got more sophisticated at testing for lead, the safe level marched down and down, and they continued to find subtle neurological impairment," Halsey says. "And that's almost exactly what happened with mercury."

Any competent biochemist would look at the structure of thimerosal and identify it as a potent enzyme inhibitor.

What is surprising is that the appropriate animal and laboratory testing was not done on the vaccines containing thimerosal (and aluminum) before the government embarked on a mandated vaccine program that exposed infants to the levels of thimerosal that occurred.

Boyd E. Haley, PhD

Dr. Neal Halsey, whose life has been dedicated to promoting vaccination, completed a decade of service on the influential committees that decide which inoculations will be jabbed into the arms and thighs and buttocks of eight million American children each year. At the urging of Halsey and others, the number of vaccines mandated for children under 2 in the 90's soared to 20, from 8. Kids were healthier for it, according to him. Yet despite all he has said, and despite the fact that he led the effort to have mercury removed from vaccines for American distribution, he still sits on the fence when he says, "I don't believe the evidence is convincing now that there has definitely been harm done by thimerosal." But to keep the vaccine program on a steady keel, Halsey says, the public-health authorities simply must follow through with the studies and face the consequences without flinching. If there is damage, he says, "there should be some kind of compensation, though I don't know how." He pauses, and sighs. "I empathize with families of children with these disorders. How are you going to put dollar values on that?"

Other components in vaccines that are not normally toxic inside the vaccine vial are the foreign proteins which are supposed to act as allergens, but there is a clear and present danger injected these proteins directly into the body bypassing the digestive system. In the absence of gastro-intestinal juices in the blood, these proteins can decompose (putrefy) yielding the extremely poisonous byproducts belonging to the group of ptomaines, creatins, xanthins, purines, indoles, skatols, phenols, leucomaines, uric acids, and indoxyl-sulphuric acids. The most acute reaction to this putrefying process may be anaphylactic shock, possibly leading to convulsions and even instantaneous death.

And what about the rest of the composition, the bulk liquid that makes up the primary content of vaccines? Mineral oils, seemingly totally safe by comparison to mercury, aluminum and formaldehyde, are common components of vaccines and one would not expect them to induce the production of pathogenic auto-antibodies and an inflammatory immune response when injected into children. Mineral oils are used as adjuvants in vaccines - substances that enhance the immunogenicity of an antigen. But even here there is trouble injecting such benign substances directly into biological systems. It has been know for some time that some mineral oils can induce autoimmunity in the form of arthritis, and when tested on mice they do indeed produce animal models for arthritis suggesting of course that these oils could be inducing autoimmunity in susceptible people.

Researchers at the Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology at the University of Florida have now examined the oils' effect in another autoimmune disease - systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). SLE is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by fever, weakness, arthritis, skin rashes, pleurisy and kidney dysfunction. These researchers injected mice with the most common adjuvants used in human and veterinary vaccines, incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA) and squalene, and tested to see whether SLE-specific antibodies were produced. They found that up to a quarter of the mice produced autoantibodies that are SLE-specific. So even the mineral oils used in the fabrication of vaccine fluids can be dangerous and the only way we can know the sum total effect of all these substances mixed up together and injected into children's blood streams is to watch the reactions and complaints, deaths and deformities of health and spirit in the general population that are receiving these chemical formulas of doubtful design.

It is clear that the direct injection of complicated vaccine formulas into the blood can lead to many dangerous reactions that are not predictable and certainly not safe. The easiest legal and medical target though remains the mercury, and most of the lawsuits will attack the vaccine companies along that route. It is clear that the simple removal of mercury from the local markets in the United States will not guarantee the safety of vaccinations nor does it resolve the pharmaceutical industries liabilities nor the responsibilities of all the governments that have support them.

"It is hard to believe that after being so careful during my pregnancy that I was so easily persuaded to immunize my baby without knowingly educating myself to all that I should have. I should have found out about this nightmare with mercury and other toxic metals that are used to manufacture the vaccines. I didn't. I trusted my pediatrician and the CDC who assured me of their absolute safety. I was persuaded to believe that I was doing the best thing I could do to protect my child. I was persuaded to inject my precious child and now she is autistic."

Special Note: See the last chapter called **Provoking our Anger** for excerpts from the December 10th hearings between Dr. Karen Midthun, director of Office of Vaccine Research and Review at the FDA and Congressmen Dan Durton (R-IN) "Vaccines and the Autism Epidemic: Reviewing the Federal Government's Track Record and Charting a Course for the Future" 12/10/2002 House Committee of Government Reform, Washington, D.C.

The Reality of Vaccine-Caused Autism and Death

Vaccinators as a rule are very reluctant to use the word caused when they talk about vaccine damage, they, interestingly, talk about reactions to vaccination.

After the MMR triple vaccine was shown to "cause" meningitis in 1 in 2026 recipients, not only did the Japanese health authorities recognize the "causal" link to this vaccine, they also discontinued its use. Yet typically health authorities in other countries absolutely refuse to look at research in published, refereed medical journals, and claim that there is only a temporal and coincidental association. In this case, for professionals who wants to look it up, Sugiura & Yamada (Pediatr Infect Dis J, 1991;Vol 10(3):209-13) describe not only the causal link but what happened when the Japanese authorities responded to the information in an appropriate fashion. The most important thing for vaccine advocates to note is that no epidemic followed the removal of the MMR. And more to the point, the incidence of vaccine-caused (and overall) meningitis plummeted. Many doctors believe that the eradication of measles, rubella, and mumps is in practice impossible anyway so they believe that the rational basis of MMR immunization strategy is invalid.

It is prudent medical practice that if a medical procedure is administered and symptoms occur afterwards, that procedure must be considered as the cause.

It is important that there is a precedent of a major country abandoning an offending vaccine and a recognition of the causal link between the aberrant

vaccines and the observed reactions to it. It is incredible that medical "authorities" in the US, specifically in the CDC and the FDA continue to ignore (or not read) the research in published, refereed medical journals. In a globalized world this is entirely unacceptable and verges on criminal negligence. Public health officials have plugged up their ears and have abandoned their responsibilities and this is important to understand because the ability and willingness to respond is the key to all change. In the strictest sense the ability and willingness to respond is translated into responsibility. When we respond we are being responsible, when we ignore we are exposing our negligence.

If the medical authorities were put to trial in an objective international court, the verdict would be "Guilty beyond all reasonable doubt."

Pharmaceutical corporations and individual executives have already been accused of genocide before the International Criminal Court in The Hague thanks to medical people like Dr. Rath. Companies including Pfizer, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Amgen and Astra Zeneca are now being accused of deliberately preventing life-saving natural alternatives to drug based treatments from being applied in prevention and cure. The next step will be taken when officials of the CDC and the FDA (who have close ties and deep financial interests to these above mentioned companies) are brought up on charges of infanticide for there is no avoiding the consequences and motives of avoiding responding to public information that has dramatic implications to the health and well-being of infants in the first years of their lives.

The incidence of childhood asthma, diabetes, and autoimmune diseases has doubled during the past 20 years;
Attention Deficit Disorder has tripled, Autism has increased 600%.
What part have vaccines played?
Stanley Monteith, M.D.

The most direct consequence of people like Sugiura & Yamada being ignored is that children in the United States get 21 shots before starting the first grade - more than twice as many as a decade ago. That breaks down to 3 shots of hepatitis b, 5 of DTP, 3 Hib, 4 polio shots, 2 measles-mumpsrubella, 1 chicken pox and 3 oral rotavirus vaccines, yet there are doctors like Dr. Gerhard Buchwald who say, "Vaccination is not necessary, not useful, does not protect. There are twice as many casualties from vaccination as from AIDS." Many parents are more afraid of the vaccines

than they are of the vaccine-preventable diseases, but the vast majority of parents get the 'baby shots' their doctors recommend without question. The National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC), a parents' information group reports that as more and more vaccines are being put on the market more cases of vaccine injuries and deaths are being reported.

American society and economy will be strained to the breaking points in coming years by the overwhelming numbers of children of autism and other related neurological disorders.

In the time period running parallel with a sharp increase in vaccinations given, between 1987 and 1998, there has been a stunning 173% increase in the number of children diagnosed with autism in the US. According to a recent report by the California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) entitled Autistic Spectrum Disorders, Changes in the California Caseload: 1999-2002, the rate of children diagnosed with full-syndrome autism between 1999 and 2002 nearly doubled from 10,360 to 20,377. The report further revealed, "Between Dec. 31, 1987, and Dec. 31, 2002, the population of persons with full-syndrome autism has increased by 634 percent." That is a doubling of autism cases every four years, and the staggering increases are being reported in many states, not just in California.

As the number of childhood vaccines has increased 700%, from 3 in the '70s to 22 in 2000, the prevalence of autism has also showed a parallel increase of 700%.

Bernard Rimland, Ph.D.

For example, in 1992 Ohio reported 22 cases. A decade later the number had increased by 13,895 percent to 3,057. In Illinois the rate of autism cases climbed from just five in 1992 to 3,802 - an increase of 76,040 percent. In Indiana, where vaccinations are now required against up to eight diseases before a child may start school, the number of autistic children registered in schools has grown from 116 in 1989 to 3,789 last year. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, the vaccine schedule was amended to include both hepatitis B and Hib vaccines, each administered to infants three times during the first six months of life. Their addition to the vaccine schedule potentially tripled an infant's exposure to mercury, should he receive all thimerosal-containing vaccines. A visit to the doctor during the 1990's may have exposed some children to 125 times the limit on mercury set by the Environmental Protection Agency.

Bono said her son Jackson began to change drastically within days of receiving a group of thimerosal-containing vaccinations. Bono says that on Aug. 14, 1990, four days after receiving the last of a group of shots, 16-month-old Jackson was becoming withdrawn. Within two weeks he stopped responding or acknowledging his parents. Two weeks after that Jackson no longer would make eye contact. It soon became difficult to get Jackson to eat or sleep. He has had bouts of spinning uncontrollably and seizures.

Before DPT shots were given in 1943, there were 11 cases of autism. Latest statistics estimate that over a half million American children are autistic, and with numbers steadily growing, there is no end in sight. Most of these children will require custodial care for life, at an average cost to society as much as three million dollars per child. The overall cost to society now is being estimated in excess of a trillion dollars. Signs of autism usually show up around age 2. Sometimes children who had previously appeared to interact normally will suddenly regress, become withdrawn and stop responding to their parents and the outside world. They may perform repetitive motions, like spinning or flapping their arms, have seizures, scream uncontrollably and resist physical touch. Bernard Rimland PhD, director of the Autism Research Institute in San Diego says, "It is ludicrous to claim that the link between many causes of autism and vaccination is just coincidental. As a full-time professional research scientist for 50 years, and as a researcher in the field of autism for 45 years, I have been shocked and chagrined by the medical establishment's ongoing efforts to trivialize the solid and compelling evidence that faulty vaccination policies are the root cause of the epidemic. There are many consistent lines of evidence implicating vaccines, and no even marginally plausible alternative hypotheses."

Measles, mumps, rubella, hepatitis B, and the whole panoply of childhood diseases are a far less serious threat than having a large fraction (say 10%) of a generation afflicted with learning disability and/or uncontrollable aggressive behavior because of an impassioned crusade for universal vaccination. There are plausible mechanisms such as molecular mimicry whereby vaccines could have such effects.

Jane Orient, M.D.

Dr. Sidney Baker author of six medical books treats hundreds of autistic patients each year from around the country. He suspects that about half of the children he sees have been affected by Thimerosal from their vaccines. Thousands of parents report and demonstrate with home videos that their

children were normal and responsive until suffering an adverse vaccine reaction but officials refuse to listen, refuse to care, and take nothing as scientific evidence that refutes their basic assumptions and the basic religion of medicine. And we have seen that even when reputable 'scientific' studies are made and find evidence about the damage vaccines are provoking, the authorities and the rank and file pediatricians poke holes in science and the value of basic research.

Lets face it, our children's lives have been ruined.

Have you ever lived with a family that has a child

with Thimerosal-induced autism?

It's not pretty. Not only do many of these children have no lives but they live
in a daily hell that many cannot even begin to imagine.

They can't sleep. They can't communicate.

They bang their heads and roll up in pain.

Many have eating disorders or cannot tolerate most foods.

And many are easy targets of sexual predators.

Lori McIlwain

It is interesting to note historically that thimerosal was introduced only a few years before Leo Kanner, MD described a new mental disorder that differed "markedly and uniquely from anything reported" before. Fifty or so years ago autism was so rare that many pediatricians had never heard about it. But now society should be well aware of an autism epidemic that although once considered rare has now skyrocketed from one in 10,000 to one in 300 to as many as one in a 150 according in some reports. It does 'seem' like that it is mostly the vaccinated that are affected and that autism seems to almost always occur after vaccination and not before. Health authorities though are loath to make this connection.

Late onset autism, (starting in the 2nd year), was almost unheard of in the '50s, '60s, and '70s; today such cases outnumber early onset cases 5 to 1, the increase paralleling the increase in required vaccines.

Bernard Rimland, Ph.D.

Byron Hyde, MD, chairman of the Ottawa-based Nightingale Research Foundation and an internationally recognized authority on myalgic encephalomyelitis (chronic fatigue syndrome), has accumulated data on several hundred cases of serious immune and neurological dysfunction following hepatitis B vaccination. Hyde reported that that in the early 1990s, both the vaccine manufacturer and the Canadian health authorities repeatedly rebuffed his requests for an investigation into signs of

demyelinating disease, measurable loss of IQ, loss of stamina, intractable pain, blindness, skin lesions, and other problems affecting health care workers following their hepatitis B vaccinations. Hyde observed that "almost all of these people who had adverse reactions after the first immunization, after the second immunization were individuals who had immunological side effects and who told their physicians, and the physicians did nothing about it but continued to proceed with immunization."

In 1984 Time Magazine ran an article about the results of a mental health survey in America. It was found that rates of sociopathic personality and other mental illness are much higher in those born after the 40's (when mass vaccination was instituted) than before the 40's.

The CDC, FDA, the American Council on Immunization Practices (ACIP) have all taken the position the "epidemic" is attributable to improved diagnoses and a purely coincidental temporal connection between the vaccine schedule given to most American children, and the diagnosis of late onset autism and other learning disabilities such as ADD/ADHD. They did this despite the fact that Dr. Thomas Verstraten., an epidemiologist hired by CDC found a "statistically significant connection" between thimerosal and tics, verbal delays and ADHD/ADD and autism. In 1988, the Journal of the American Medical Association, called minimal brain damage "the single most prevalent disability reported by elementary schools..." The DPT and the MMR vaccines are thought to cause autism or forms of learning disabilities and other mental and emotional problems by interfering with normal myelination of the nerves in the brain, or **demyelination** of the nerves already coated.

In 1993 Vijendra Singh, PhD University of Illinois, published a study in which they found antibodies to myelin basic protein in 50 to 60% of autistic children tested. Recently at a public meeting Dr. Singh presented information on an unpublished, preliminary study of 27 autistic children in which he found nearly 50% correlation between MMR antibodies and antibodies to myelin basic protein in serum drawn from the children.

Harold Buttram MD

Myelin is the tough, fatty insulation that coats the nerves and helps prevent misfiring of nerve impulses, or messages to the brain; such as those that take place during times of learning, growing, and developing motor skills. When babies are born only a small percentage of the nerves have myelin on them. This process is just beginning at birth and will continue

throughout life, unless something happens to stop it, or causes demyelination of the nerves already coated. When this happens, responses to new situations, information or other stimuli, are not recorded in the brain as they should be. Information can be lost (poor memory or retention), misstored (causing confusion or misunderstanding of information), or not stored at all (slow learning, retardation, limited learning abilities). "The MMR (measles/mumps/rubella) vaccine was introduced in 1988. These are three live viruses one of which (measles) either in disease or vaccine form is known to depress one type of immunity called 'cell mediated' for a while. To give two other live viruses at the same time and bypass all the body's natural defenses - skin and the lining of the gut and air passages - with a needle can only be described as risky," said Dr Jayne Donegan.

Autism may be a disorder linked to the disruption of the G-alpha protein, affecting retinoid receptors in the brain. A study of sixty autistic children suggests that autism may be caused by inserting a G-alpha protein defect, the pertussis toxin found in the D.P.T. vaccine, into genetically at-risk children.

Mary N. Megson, M.D.

Vaccines contain poisons and they are being injected into babies around the world with increasing frequency. Some children are at greater biological risk than others for reacting to vaccines and the foreign substances they contain; and though it is fact that the majority 'survives' the experience, even after repeated injections, this does not change the fact that mercury and other chemical compounds used in vaccines are harmful to human physiology even in minute amounts. The fact is that sometimes vaccinations are lethal injections that do kill infants. Each year more and more reports are coming to light indicating that vaccines in general are involved with increases in Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), developmental disorders such as autism, seizures, mental retardation, hyperactivity, dyslexia, and other degenerative disease such as muscular dystrophy, leukemia, lupus, and fibromyalgia. On a more massive scale many common leaning disabilities have their suspected roots in the routine poisoning of children from birth in the form of vaccinations. "Crib death was so infrequent in the pre-vaccination era that it was not even mentioned in the statistics, but it started to climb in the 1950s with the spread of mass vaccination against diseases of childhood," said Harris L.Coulter, PhD. Coulter, author of Vaccination, Social Violence and Criminality, the Medical Assault on the American Brain, researched autism and found that vaccines have been known to cause encephalitis since 1922, when an outbreak of it occurred as a result of the smallpox vaccine. In a study of 103 children who died of SIDS, Dr. William Torch, of the University of Nevada School of Medicine at Reno, found that more than two-thirds had been vaccinated with DPT prior to death. Of these, 6.5 percent died within 12 hours of vaccination; 13 percent within 24 hours; 26 percent within three days after the shots were administered.

In 1986, Congress officially acknowledged the reality of vaccine-caused injuries and death by creating and passing The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act
Public Law 99-660

To date, under this law, more than \$1 billion has been paid out for hundreds of injuries and deaths caused by mandated vaccines and thousands of cases are pending. And as we shall see below only a small percentage of injuries are even reported to the FDA. Taxpayers are paying almost a billion dollars per year for vaccines for poor and uninsured children (through the U.S. National Vaccine Plan of 1994), and hundreds of millions for the damages they cause-damages so numerous and severe that **not a single insurance company in the U.S. is willing to assume the risk anymore**. By the late 1970's, there had been so many successful lawsuits for vaccine injuries that all private insurance companies in the U.S. stopped underwriting vaccine products. It is an appropriate question to ask why, if vaccines were not dangerous, would they refuse the risk? Why would the federal government, despite all the resistance government lawyers put up against paying out to vaccine claims, have still paid out more than a billion dollars in damage awards.

And the lawyers do put up an incredible wall of resistance. Each claim is opposed by taxpayer funded government lawyers and hired medical experts. The procedure for hearing claims is complicated, drawn-out, and hostile to petitioners. Funds that have been awarded are usually meager, falling far below the total costs incurred by families over the long term. Compensation is also awarded too late, long after medical and related expenses bankrupt the family. Still a billion dollars has already been paid out proving from a legal perspective the legitimacy of the terrible dangers that vaccines hold for our young.

Yet despite all of this both the CDC and American Association of Pediatrics (AAP) deny that vaccines are the cause of a recent alarming rise of autism despite the growing belief on the part of many parents that vaccinations were the cause of their children's autism. Fifteen years after congress acted the Public Health Service, the American Academy of

Pediatrics, and vaccine manufacturers still will not begin a serious investigation into the entire issue of the safety and efficacy of vaccines. Public attention and suspicion about the thimerosal issue increased dramatically recently after several lawmakers snuck a provision into the Homeland Security Bill that would protect drug companies from thimerosalbased lawsuits. The drug companies and thimerosal manufacturers have launched an all-out attack to destroy the legal rights of thimerosal-injured children. Senator Frist's bill S 2053, an amendment to Senator Hillary Clinton's vaccine appropriations bill alarmed many because it would amend the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program in a way that would also completely shield a drug company from liability for vaccine injuries. On one hand the authorities are insisting that there is no 'real' 'proven' problem and on the other they are taking it off the American market, and obviously vaccine manufacturers, out of fear, pressured lawmakers into protecting them. What can they possibly be afraid of if the 'only' possible reaction are 'redness and swelling at the injection site.'

My estimate is that one child in five or one in six is affected to some degree by a childhood vaccination.

This estimate is based on the incidence of dyslexia and hyperactivity in American schools today - about 15% to 20%.

Harris L. Coulter, Ph.D

What would happen if we stopped vaccinating? Would lives be lost, saved or no change? In 1975, when Japan stopped vaccinating children under the age of 2 years dramatic improvements in their infant mortality occurred. Japan's place in the world scale of infant mortality went from 17, a poor position, to number 1, the best performance. It is quite clear that the shift of the lower vaccination limit to 2 years resulted in a dramatic decrease in SIDS going quickly from a very high to the lowest rate of infant deaths in the world. Between 1970 and 1974, 37 infant deaths occurred after DPT vaccination in Japan and because of this the doctors in one prefecture boycotted the vaccination. Consequently, the Japanese Government stopped DPT vaccination for 2 months in 1975, and, when vaccination was resumed, the vaccination age was lifted to 2 years. With this change in government policy the entity of sudden death almost disappeared from vaccine injury compensation claims (only 2 deaths were subject of vaccine injury compensation claims in the 2-year olds compared with 37 in younger children). In the late '80s, Japanese parents were given the choice to start vaccinating at earlier ages again and most, ignorant of what had gone on before, chose starting at 3 months of age. The rate of SIDS in Japan has since returned to high levels. Professor Hiroshi Nishida of Tokyo Women's

Medical College said that the SIDS rate among babies aged less than 1 year has sharply increased to 0.33 % in 1992 when compared with 0.07 % in 1980. Dr. Raymond Obomsawin also reported that the "Delay of DPT immunization until 2 years of age in Japan has resulted in a dramatic decline in adverse side effects. In the period of 1970-1974, when DPT vaccination was begun at 3 to 5 months of age, the Japanese national compensation system paid out claims for 57 permanent severe damage vaccine cases, and 37 deaths. During the ensuing six year period 1975-1980, when DPT injections were delayed to 24 months of age, severe reactions from the vaccine were reduced to a total of eight with three deaths. This represents an 85 to 90 percent reduction in severe cases of damage and death."

SIDS has become a catch-all that conveniently offers the medical profession a loop-hole whereby they can place the blame on the parent.

Sudden infant death syndrome has been reported following administration of vaccines containing Diphtheria, tetanus toxoids, and pertussis vaccine yet in the United States most vaccine-induced deaths are listed as SIDS and thus are not included in vaccine adverse reaction data, even if a child dies only a few hours after receiving inoculation. Some researchers have found that babies die at a rate eight times greater than normal within three days after getting a DPT shot. It is important to note that a highly disproportionate amount of SIDS deaths clustered at 2, 4, and 6 months, which are the very times infants are vaccinated. The three primary doses of DPT are given at two months, four months, and six months. About 85 percent of SIDS cases occur at one through six months, with the peak incidence at age two to four months.

If vaccines had nothing to do with these sudden deaths of "no known cause" there should not be such pronounced clusters. Instead the numbers should be randomly spread throughout the first 6 months of life but they are not. It has been reported that 1 in 175 children who completed the full DPT series suffered "severe reactions," and some report that as many as 1 in 300 DPT immunizations resulted in seizures. It seems like one of the central mechanisms in which health and even life itself is threatened is the effect the DTP and other vaccines have on breathing. Episodes of apnea (cessation of breathing) and hypopnea (abnormally shallow breathing) were measured before and after DPT vaccinations by some researchers and it has been found that vaccinations have caused an unusual increase in episodes where breathing either nearly ceased or stopped completely. Much more research

is needed in this area but there is so much doubt about the safety of vaccines already one need not wait to decide not to vaccinate ones child.

The pediatrician whom I so respected and adored broke down and cried when I gave her the news that my daughter had died.

She went back and forth defending the vaccine that she was told was safe, but blamed it for killing my child. She then told me that she also had another patient, an infant boy, die after this same vaccination.

Christine Colebeck

In England, after the media in 1975 reported brain damage linked to vaccination, parents stopped vaccinating: the compliance fell down to 30% or even 10% in some areas. A. McFarlane reported in 1982 (Lancet) that the overall infant mortality rate plummeted. There is evidence around the world that low vaccination compliance is linked to low death rate and vice versa. The vaccination compliance was lowest in 1975-76 when parents were frightened but started climbing up in 1977-78, simply because people have short memories and new parents did not know about the publicity surrounding vaccination as the cause of serious side effects. It is generally known that young couples become interested in these issues only after they have their first children.

For the first 13 days of his life, Nicholas was no different than any other baby. He ate well. When he slept, he slept well. He acted just like my first son acted when he came home from the hospital." Nicholas was given a hepatitis B shot at his regular check up at the pediatrician's office on the 13th day of his life. That night when I got home from work, I noticed that Nicholas was crying a lot more than usual. In fact, he was screaming some of the time. He was acting differently, but because we had just taken him to the doctor for a checkup and they told us he was a big healthy boy, we thought everything was OK. When he was just acting fussy, like babies sometimes do, we didn't know anything about vaccines or that they can cause problems for some babies. Nicholas cried on and off for most of the night. When I got up and went to work the next day, he was still crying on and off. He continued during most of the day and into the evening. The next morning, his mother found him dead in his crib.

From the way he looked, he had been dead for several hours."

Eventually a clinical professor of pathology reviewed Nicholas' medical records, autopsy and slides, and then stated in writing that Nicholas did not die of SIDS but died a cardiac death, caused by passive congestive changes with pulmonary edema and hemorrhage caused by the active immunization

with hepatitis B vaccine. The pathologist stated, "I do not believe this was a sudden infant death syndrome death. <u>Sudden infant death syndrome is the most abused diagnosis in pediatric pathology.</u> In this particular case, the infant was two weeks old. Sudden infant death at two weeks old is so rare as to be virtually unheard of."

The increase in autoimmune and neurological disorders in the past three decades in industrialized countries coincides with the addition of new vaccines to the childhood vaccination schedule as well as rapidly increasing vaccination rates.

How Rare is Rare?

Based on a 1979 study conducted jointly by the FDA and UCLA researchers, the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) calculated that the number of deaths in the U.S. caused by the DPT vaccine alone could exceed 900 per year (or about 17 per week) after you include a great number of medically misclassified victims of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome

The FDA's VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System) receives about 12,000 and 14,000 reports of serious adverse reactions to vaccination annually, approximately 1% of which are deaths from vaccine reactions. The majority of these reports are made by doctors, and the majority of deaths are attributed to the pertussis (whooping cough) vaccine, the "P" in DPT. Yet this is just the tip of the iceberg. **There is mounting evidence that serious side effects from vaccine usage are occurring much more than the "rare" occurrences of problems the government admits to.** The FDA recently acknowledged that 90 percent of doctors do not report vaccine reactions. They are choosing to subvert federal laws, which requires doctors to provide parents with information about the benefits and 'risks' of childhood vaccines prior to vaccination, and to report vaccine reactions to federal health officials.

From 1986 to 1996, 753 people died from the DPT shot, and 364 of them were children under 14 years.

One in every 1750 can expect to have convulsions and high fever from this shot.

As of the end of 2002, the VAERS system contained 244,424 total reports of possible reactions to vaccines, including 99,145 emergency room visits, 5,149 life-threatening reactions, 27,925 hospitalizations, 5,775 disabilities, and 5,309 deaths, according to data compiled by Dr. Mark Geier, a vaccine researcher in Silver Spring, Md. The data represents roughly 1 billion doses of vaccines, according to Geier. Considering that these numbers may represent just 10 percent, the true figures could be as high as 140,000 adverse events *annually* in the United States alone. "There is a great deal of evidence to prove that immunization of children does more harm than good," said Dr. J. Anthony Morris, former Chief Vaccine Control

Officer at the US Federal Drug Administration, but authorities and your local pediatrician do not want you to know this.

At least 1,094 deaths were recorded from 1990 to 1997. Vaccines have consistently killed about 3 children per week since the reporting system began.

According to Dr. David Kessler, former head of the Food and Drug Administration, "Only about 1 percent of serious events [adverse drug reactions] are reported to the FDA." Thus, it is entirely possible that millions of people are adversely affected one way or another by mandatory vaccines every year. Doctors by and large default to the opinion that most adverse events are not related to shots and this is the reason for the vast underreporting of such events. There are plenty of people who believe that at this point in time there is no one truthful answer. There are many doctors who would like us to believe as fact that no one knows for sure the true dangers and thus more detailed research is needed to fully answer the questions that are being raised about the effects of vaccine usage. But this is double talk for we do know the dangers of vaccines.

The vast majority of published studies of vaccine reactions included a follow-up of up to only 48 hours. This conveniently excludes about 90% of reactions to vaccination. Characteristically, most vaccine reactions are delayed, many starting only 2-3 weeks after vaccination.

We do know there are dangers in the entire process of vaccine production. We do know that poisons like mercury, aluminum and formaldehyde disable and kill. Recent polls indicate that up to a quarter of all parents are concerned that the growing number of vaccinations could overwhelm a child's immature immune system and cause immune-related disorders yet the mainstream of the medical community laughs behind their backs. Yet there are no denying what other eminent doctors are saying. Dr. world-renowned immunologist with hundreds H.H.Fudenberg, publications to his credit, made the following comments: "One vaccine decreases cell-mediated immunity by 50%, two vaccines by 70%...all triple vaccines (MMR, DTaP) markedly impair cell-mediated immunity, which predisposes to recurrent viral infections, especially otitis media, as well as yeast and fungi infections." An explanation of such decreases can be seen in the "one cell-one antibody" rule. This means that once a B cell is committed to an antigen (disease-causing virus or bacteria), it becomes inert and incapable of responding to other antigens or attacks on the immune system. If a child contracts childhood diseases naturally, it is estimated that up to a

total of 7% of their immune system is taken up with responding to these diseases. However, a child who undergoes the routine course of vaccinations risks having up to 70% of their immune system committed to these antigens and no longer available for other immune challenges.

Repeated, vaccination exhausts the immune system.

It gives a false sense of security and, in doing so, it opens the door wide to all kinds of illnesses.

Guylaine Lanctot, M.D.

When we reduce a child's immune-response capacity we increase its susceptibility to other infections, allergies and auto-immune diseases. As early as 1985, Harold Buttram, M.D., and John Hoffman, Ph.D., concluded that childhood vaccination "cannot help but have adverse effects on the immunologic system of the child, possibly leaving this system crippled in its ability to protect the child throughout life...opening the way for other diseases as a result of immunologic dysfunction." Vaccines are immune-suppressive and compromise the host's immunity. This is their purpose after all; vaccines target a child's immune system with the deliberate attempt to alter it. It is hoped and believed that such interference is positive meaning it will protect a child from unwanted diseases. If that is only a hope and belief the medical profession is in trouble for the wide array of harmful side effects seem to be intensifying with each passing year.

Doctors are the priests who dispense holy water in the form of inoculations" to ritually initiate our loyalty into the larger medical industry.

Dr. Robert Mendelsohn

It is claimed that vaccines avert a possible future risk and yet people are pressured to decide on the spot. A doctor's use of fear and intimidation to force compliance is not ethical. Vaccines are drugs with potential serious adverse reactions and with the addition of poison preservatives they become, without doubt, dangerous and detrimental to human health. To not think this way is to believe that mercury is not a poison and is not dangerous to health. To think this way is to be a terrorist of children. Knowing what we know of mercury poisoning it is an absolute act of barbaric terrorism to force parents to inject their babies with vaccines. If immunizations are killing thousands of babies, and hurting millions of others each year, we have a form of terrorism happening that will sooner or later shake civilized man when the truth comes to light.

The keepers of the keys to medical-research funds are not interested in researching anything that implies that immunizations are not the greatest medical advance in the history of public health. Anything that implies that vaccines are harmful are ignored or ridiculed even now when it is a public fact that most vaccines have been laced with mercury and other contaminants. Informed consent is rarely attained before vaccines are administered; instead unethical enforcement such as threats, intimidation and coercion are used to ensure vaccination compliance. Doctors and nurses vaccinate babies without a thought that what they are doing might be highly dangerous and abusive yet neither they nor anyone else is willing to take responsibility if something terrible happens. They continue to do so because organizations like the Institute of Medicine insists that getting up to 20 vaccinations by the age of 24 months does not increase an infant's risk of developing diabetes or various infections, such as meningitis, pneumonia, ear infections, asthma and colds? Universally the medical profession insists that vaccines are as safe as mothers milk!

It is pathetic and ludicrous to say we ever vanquished smallpox with vaccines, when only 10% of the population was ever vaccinated.

Dr. Glen Dettman

Despite all the 'valiant' efforts of modern medicine and the wonders and miracles of vaccines Americans are sicker than ever and spending more money on health care than could possibly have been imagined twenty years ago.

	National Health		.		%
Expenditure		Per capita			spending
	(in Billions)			Real GDP	of GDP
1960)	179,323,175	144.00	2,376,700,000,00	0 4.9%
1970	73.2	2 205,052,000	356.98	3,578,000,000,00	0 7.0%
1980	247.3	3 227,726,463	1,085.95	4,900,900,000,00	0 8.8%
1990	699.4	250,131,894	2,796.12	6,707,900,000,00	0 12.1%
1993	898.5	5 260,255,352	3,452.38	6,880,000,000,00	0 13.5%
1995	993.7	266,557,091	3,727.91	7,543,800,000,00	0 13.4%
1997	1,092.4	272,911,760	4,002.76	8,159,500,000,00	0 13.1%
1999	1,228.5	5 279,294,713	4,398.58	8,856,500,000,00	0 13.3%
2000	1,310.0	282,338,631	4,639.82	9,224,000,000,00	0 13.3%
2001	1,424.0	285,023,886	4,996.07	1	

2000 Health Care Almanac & Yearbook, p. 12, United States Office of Management and Budget - Real Gross domestic product, 1959–200, CIA - The World Fact Book

The medical authorities keep lying. Vaccination has been a disaster on the immune system. It actually causes a lot of illnesses.

We are changing our genetic code through vaccination.

Guylaine Lanctot M.D.

We believe in modern medicine in a way that we have never believed in anything before but now we have many doctors are suggesting that vaccines have 'inadvertently' done more than just suppress infectious childhood diseases. Vaccine critics point out that the increase in autoimmune and neurological disorders in the past three decades in industrialized countries coincides with the addition of new vaccines to the childhood vaccination schedule as well as rapidly increasing vaccination rates. Instead of helping and serving humanity vaccines are getting people sick, really sick and in some cases killing people.

It is actually normal for a properly nourished and nurtured child to grow up in good health, and when there is no administration of toxic substances, as in non-vaccinated children, the children tend to remain healthy.

Multiple Vaccines

(Several Shots Given Simultaneously)

Elizabeth, our third child, received her fourth DPT shot, her fourth Hib shot, and her first MMR shot on February 27, 1997. One week later, while our 14 year old niece was babysitting, Elizabeth's eyes rolled back in her head and she had a major seizure. An ambulance was called and Elizabeth was taken to Children's Hospital in Seattle. The initial diagnosis was a febrile seizure (although she had no fever), and she was admitted for observation. Two days later, she had another seizure and she spent much of the next 18 hours having one seizure after another. Many tests were run, including 3 spinal taps, 2 MRI's, a muscle biopsy, 7 EEGs, and countless blood tests. All were normal except for the MRI's which showed encephalopathy (brain inflammation). At one point, Elizabeth was placed in the pediatric intensive care unit because the doctors feared that she would stop breathing on her own. At her lowest point, Elizabeth would only wake up for a few minutes every few hours and she spent that time screaming.

The central party line of the Pro-Immunization Crowd: All children should be immunized at regular health care visits, beginning at birth. Immunizations are very important in keeping our children healthy. The regular schedule recommends shots starting at birth and going through 24 months of age, with boosters and catch-up vaccines continuing through the teenage years and into old age. The catastrophic effects of childhood diseases can lead to life-long illness and death.

Physicians are told:

- GIVE ALL CURRENTLY DUE VACCINES AT SAME VISIT!
- It's safe and effective. It's recommended by AAP, ACIP.

Notice they say nothing about the dangers and risks. They do not communicate that a vaccine can kill your baby. They say "Your Children, Protect Them." Multiple vaccinations are recommended on the same day without any regard to the extra danger involved even though many parents

around the world have indicated that multiple vaccines, several shots administered at once, are responsible for a large percentage of serious adverse vaccine reactions. Here we are with doctors and nurses on one side assuring parents that there is no problem with vaccines while on the other there is a growing number of people who will state categorically that multiple vaccines given together are a madness beyond belief, a brutality new to humanity directed against babies. Besides the obvious logical conclusions about increasing the quantity of nerve poisons present in the system, multiple injections with antigens the immune system is already primed to can cause serum sickness reactions (known to cause death) and although that is a recognized outcome of vaccinating, it is not listed on the product monograph as a possible hazardous side effect.

There are no valid scientific studies, none, to support the safety of giving several vaccines at the same time.

Vaccines are administered simultaneously for convenience, not safety, because medical policymakers realize that "the number of visits to a healthcare provider [for vaccines] is an impediment" to receiving all of the recommended shots.

Think Twice Global Vaccine Institute

Pediatricians are digging their own graves by ignoring the mounting evidence and the cries of parents that are rising up to the heavens. To be administering multiple shots simultaneously for convenience and money while paying not the slightest attention to the danger speaks very badly about both their professionalism and integrity. Doctors are blindly following the lockstep they are taught in medical school and most of them are refusing to open up their ears to the screams. The administration of multiple vaccines in one shot has not been tested for safety, let alone effectiveness.

"My daughter received three vaccines on one day, the DTP, IPV and Hib.

That night she was very finicky and cried all night.

The next night I put my baby down to sleep but all she would do was cry.

Finally she and I fell asleep from exhaustion.

I woke up in the middle of the night and grabbed my baby and my heart cried out for she was blue. She had died in her sleep.

She was quickly rushed to the hospital but there was nothing they could do. My beautiful sweet angel was gone.

It is still hard for me to believe that the pediatrician, as well as the hospital doctors, dismissed our concern that the vaccines could have been the cause."

Infants, especially in the United States, are routinely given a combination of 8 powerful drugs simultaneously even though it is a well-known and established fact that all drugs have side effects. It is also well established in the medical literature that combining and mixing drugs in the system is complicated and unpredictable and there is no way of controlling the mixture of these drugs. Side effects speak of injury, speak of natural reactions to unnatural drugs, and when mixed as they are with multiple injections of triple vaccines produce catastrophic effects. Each drug contains disease matter (bacteria and viruses), in addition to toxic stabilizers and preservative, such as thimerosal and formaldehyde. It would be a miracle for a child to not have a serious reaction and the fact that trained doctors and nurses administer such massive aggressive doses to little babies will be remembered in the annals of medical history. These doctors and nurses are compounding their crimes because they lie about vaccine dangers. They conspire to deceive parents about the true cause of their child's life-threatening condition. Whether they do this out of ignorance or because they have been programmed by organizations that control their lives, it does not matter to the mother or father of any of the children who have died or who suffer tremendously from reactions to such multiple abuses delivered simultaneously. And it will not matter much in front of a World Medical Court at the Hague, which will one day conduct trials, as there were once trials in Nuremberg. Vaccine manufacturers, international organizations and the people in them like the World Health Organization, the CDC, the FDA, the AMA, the APA, and others will be brought up before an international tribune formed to investigate and pass final judgment on crimes against humanities children.

I am the mother of a 2-year-old with autism. His symptoms began almost immediately following his series of immunizations at 14 months (9 diseases were introduced into his tiny body that day -- measles, mumps, rubella, diphtheria, pertussis, tetanus, polio, varicella, and Hib). Our lives have literally been turned upside down by the fact that our son who was healthy previous to his immunizations now has asthma, celiac disease, and autism. They can always claim that my son's autism was inborn, though he developed NORMALLY for the first 14 months of life!

Child have natural reactions like diarrhea and vomiting to expel poisonous matter from their bodies so it is natural for them to have these things after being injected simultaneously with many hostile substances. What is most amazing to see and almost impossible to understand is how mothers who watch their babies experience a nearly fatal reaction to vaccines permit doctors to administer more of the same. And equally

amazing is how doctors and nurses, who are closest to these parents and their children, can calmly year after year continue to follow blindly the orders from above, and despite persistent and increasing reports of severe reactions to multiple injections, continue on in their work.

"My niece just got her shots for Kindergarten last week and had a very scary reaction to them. After leaving the health office after receiving multiple shots she told her mother that she felt sick to her stomach and then passed out. She stopped breathing and wet her pants. Then her body started jerking. Her mother ran back into the office and they couldn't find a pulse. They were getting ready to start CPR and had called 911. Then she woke up. Her speech was not right at first and her heartbeat was irregular. They took her to the hospital and said that she was just afraid of the shots."

Parents had better believe that there are people who would say such things. The people who said to this parent that she was merely afraid of the shots should be defrocked of their white coats! These kinds of reactions are more common than anyone will admit and doctors and nurses still react with astonishment when parents even imply that their routine benign multiple vaccinations could have anything to do with what follows the rape of little bodies with such hostile chemical substances.

"My nephew had his vaccine shots at 3 months. The mother was never informed on the possible side effects; some potentially so dangerous that brain damage or death could occur. I got a call from my sister three days after the vaccines: "What's wrong with the baby I cried?" He was vomiting, extremely irritable, had not slept for three days, screaming, whining, having right-sided convulsions, and refusing to drink the mother's breast milk. I asked only two questions: Was he vaccinated, and when? My sister said, "He had polio, meningitis, hepatitis and DPT. Immediately after, all the symptoms started to develop. On the 3rd day the violent seizures started." I told her to take the baby to the emergency hospital. The brain scan showed a cerebral hemorrhage the size of a golf ball.

All doctors at the hospital denied the brain damage was from the vaccines, claiming he was born with it!"

The denial goes on and the kids go on dieing. And the vast majority of society is asleep to the cruelty that gets performed in every town in the world. Some day in the future the word pediatrician will be associated with some of the most detested words in language, words like Nazi, inquisitors, death squads, torture, and rape. When did mothers lose their maternal impulses, their mama-bear protective instincts that were built in to protect

their children from harm? And when did civilization begin to completely disembowel parents so that they can no longer see clearly the differences between good and evil, even when harm is so blatantly inflicted on their helpless children? (See chapter on Conditioned Ignorance) Most parents caught in the trap of medical cruelty and terrorism waver between tears of sadness and outrage and it is time for all humans who still want to be called human to wake up to their plight and the potential plight of every child being subjected to multiple and sometimes lethal injections. Parents have been suckered into a huge, corrupt lie and it is within our power to confront this with an incredible force of mind and being. Babies are the ones caught in the crossfire, while moms and dads are manipulated because they merely want what is best for their loved ones.

"The first thing they did to my poor baby was give her a live polio vaccine down her throat, then they jabbed a needle in her right leg, and then jabbed another needle in her left leg. She screamed like never before with a cry much different than any cry she has ever had before.

Later that night, she began to act so much different than normal.

Her high-pitched screams shrieked so painfully,
and her little tears could have made a river rise.

Her breathing was shallow, she could only pant, unable to catch her breath. We called the "doctor" (I use that term lightly),
and we were told that this was normal, and that we would have to call back during normal office hours because they were closing."

I seriously wonder if people will wake up enough to be bothered with such things. I seriously wonder if we have slipped so deeply into egocentric selfish individualism that we would not spend any part of our day or life force to stop this nightmare from happening to more people. I seriously wonder how much humanity is left in humanity. Have we so completely gone off to sleep, has the matrix that has been brought down over our eyes by the medical, scientific and government communities so castrated us that we cannot act together to stop such acts of violence directed against babies during their first days and months of life?

"Our granddaughter, Lee Ann, was just 8 weeks old when her mother took her to the doctor for her routine checkup. That included, of course, her first DPT inoculation and oral polio vaccine. "In all her entire 8 weeks of life this lovable, extremely alert baby had never produced such a blood-curdling scream as she did at the moment the shot was given. Neither had her mother ever before seen her back arch as it did while she screamed. She was inconsolable. Four hours later Lee Ann was dead. 'Crib death,' the doctor said -- 'SIDS.'

You would think that by now doctors and nurses would be making 'some' connection between injections and such mind-boggling and heart wrenching reactions. Any parent would simple ask in tears, "Could it be connected to the shot?' "No is the typical answer and the answer that is given until the case is brought to court and awarded compensation under federal law. A vast amount of money has already been awarded to parents for damages from vaccines but the vast majority of doctors and nurses and health care officials continue to deny the dangers of vaccines, and even worse, refuse to inform and educate parents who are not being paid to stay on top of such medical issues. When the parents of Lee Ann heard the no they responded, "But she just had her first DPT shot this afternoon. Could there possibly be any connection to it?" "No, no connection at all," the emergency room doctor who attended them said. "My husband and I hurried to the hospital the following morning after Lee Ann's death to talk with the pathologist before the autopsy. We wanted to make sure he was alerted to her DPT inoculation such a short time before her death; just in case there was something else he could look for to make the connection. He was unavailable to talk with us. We waited two-and-a-half hours. Finally, we got to talk to another doctor after the autopsy had been completed. He said it was 'SIDS.' What this diagnosis means is that the doctors do not know what caused the death. What is becoming obvious to anyone who studies these occurrences is that doctors and health care officials do not want to know or admit the cause of these deaths.

Our beautiful daughter was born in February and died in April.
What was unusual was that on the day that she died, I had taken her
to the military base hospital for her two-month checkup.
The doctor told me that she was just perfect.
Then the doctor said that she needed four shots.
I replied Four! She assured me that it was completely normal
and that it was better to give her all at such an early age.
That evening after feeding her, we laid her down to sleep
and checked on her 45 minutes later. She was dead.
After three weeks we finally got an answer from the autopsy that it was
indeed SIDS. To this day I believe that it was the shots
and no one can convince me otherwise.

The Aztecs where perhaps the most savage people who ever existed, dragging their captives up to the tops of their pyramids where priests would

cut their throats, and the rivers of blood would run down the temple steps without end. Vaccine injuries and death are what we are doing to our own children and represent a cruelty unequalled in the history of humankind. Most people, even the Nazis would take care of their own children with more care. The majority of pediatricians in the world have become new kinds of priests but instead of knives they use needles to d their dirty work. Instead of murdering prisoners of war they are murdering infants in growing numbers and harming many times those numbers with neurological disorders that affect a child throughout the rest of their lives. Is it possible that anyone would drag his or her own child up the steps to their pediatricians office after reading the first part of this book?

Medical terrorism is horrifying and it comes in many forms.

The worst the ancients ever did to their babies was to kill those who came to earth deformed in certain ways, and yes, to our shame, the elimination of unwanted females. There are certain practices of humans that are particularly shameful and disgusting. Millions of young women in Africa, for instance, still have the genitals mutilated each year. Millions of women are raped around the world and many more millions of young children are abused sexually. And in our modern times we are seeing a return to slavery as millions of women around the world are sold into sexual slavery. The Chinese these past hundred years evolved themselves from the practice of binding young girls feet, a particularly gruesome torture. Today we have had to deal with lost souls walking onto school grounds and shooting the students, mass murderers, and even the occasional rape or brutal killing of an infant, something too horrible to conceive of.

For some reason modern medicine has itself turned a corner and entered a darkness and is now committing crimes against humanity unequalled in the history of our race. The mainstream medical community have hidden, lied and defended themselves, losing respect and trust, and while throwing all integrity, human intelligence and beauty to the winds. It comes as a complete and unbelievable surprise to most people that doctors and nurses around the world are injecting poison; substances like mercury, formaldehyde and a list of other toxic substances into baby beings, and then are capable of going home and sleeping in peace.

When pressed to the wall my pediatrician broke and said, "I would lose my license if I told you how dangerous vaccines really are."


It is really hard to believe what we are doing to newborn babies. Only recently are some people waking, becoming conscious to the horror, but their voice has not been heard. In a world that does not listen, not even to something like this, it is difficult to expect any significant change. We are fortunate that most babies escape with their lives, but because the medical associations have increased dramatically the number and frequency of vaccines given in the first year of life, we have an epidemic of suffering and death running like a plague through families around the world.

Instead of facing reality they create diagnoses like SIDS (Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, death without reason) and now Shaken Death Syndrome which means they accuse the parents of murder instead of facing the fact that the children go into such violent chemical shock and seizure that their young bodies look like they have been violently shaken. So bad is the situation, so horrible the reality that doctors cannot admit their error. No wonder they commit suicide in numbers unequalled by other professional groups.


Do Vaccines Have Real Value?

Numbers shown by the government in Australia show clearly that "vaccinations" never had any influence on the falling numbers of infectious diseases.


Whooping Cough




Diphtheria



Measles





The above graphs, based on the official death numbers as recorded in the Official Year Books of the Commonwealth of Australia, are taken from Greg Beattie's excellent book "Vaccination A Parent's Dilemma" and represent the decline in death rates from infectious disease in Australia. They clearly show that vaccines had nothing to do with the decline in death rates. (Note: Graphical evidence on the decline in death rates from infectious disease for USA, England, New Zealand and many other countries shows the exact same scenario as above).

A good graph of contagious disease incidence, which begins at 1900 (or earlier), will show a picture of death, disease incidence and severity that declined by 90% (average) before vaccine introduction. Plague and scarlet fever declined without vaccine. Measles declined in mortality 99.7% before vaccine introduction. At some point we might inevitably conclude that

vaccine 'necessity' is a sales gimmick not a scientific reality. "Scientific medicine has taken credit it does not deserve for some advances in health. Most people believe that victory over the infectious diseases of the last century came with the invention of immunizations. In fact, cholera, typhoid, tetanus, diphtheria and whooping cough, etc, were in decline before vaccines for them became available - the result of better methods of sanitation, sewage disposal, and distribution of food and water," wrote Greg Beattie in 'Vaccination: a Parent's Dilemma.'

U.S.A. DEATHS

	Diphtheria	Pertussis	Tetanus	Measles
1901	48,839	33,094	28,065	11,956
1911	20,350	20,285	11,503	7,615
1921	12,267	14,724	7,818	4,919
1931	4,388	9,850	4,709	2,957
1941	1,135	4,399	2,384	1,013
1946	467	1,460	1,697	469
1951	125	558	1,093	268
1956	45	206	788	203
1961	22	82	550	162
1966	15	32	282	44
1971-5	12	122	122	17


The public has never been told that the death rate from infectious diseases fell long before vaccines were introduced. The chart cited above is based on information found in Tim O'Shea's book, The Sanctity of Human Blood, and Michael Alderson's book, International Mortality Statistics. It shows yearly U.S. death rates for four common diseases between 1906 and 1975. The propaganda campaign for vaccination has been so successful that most of us automatically believe that vaccines are so effective they are responsible for the virtual eradication of serious childhood illnesses. But nothing could be further from the truth. "Up to 90% of the total decline in the death rate of children between 1860-1965 because of whooping cough, scarlet fever, diphtheria, and measles occurred before the introduction of immunizations and antibiotics," stated Dr. Archie Kalokerinos, M.D. Most ministries of health around the world show graphs that start in the 1950's or even 70's to make the vaccination look as if they have been responsible for the eradication of diseases, but when you take the graphs back to the early


1900's or late 1800's you soon see that vaccination have done little to help the decline of said diseases.

Only after realizing that routine immunizations were dangerous did I achieve a substantial drop in infant death rates.

The worst vaccine of all is the whooping cough vaccine... it is responsible for a lot of deaths and for a lot of infants suffering irreversible brain damage. In susceptible infants, it knocks their immune systems about, leading to irreparable brain damage, or severe attacks or even deaths from diseases like pneumonia or gastro-enteritis and so on."

Dr. Kalokerinos, M.D.





The above graphs show clearly how information can be presented in an extremely misleading manner. Graph 1 leads a person to believe that the measles vaccine was responsible for the decline of deaths, but Graph 2, which included more information, going back to 1900, clearly expresses the reality that the major part of the decline 'had already occurred' and that the commencement of vaccination had no impact on the rate of decline thereafter.

There is no actual scientific proof that vaccinations are safe or effective and there are no control group studies. Authorities consider that "to not vaccinate" is unethical and have refused to study unvaccinated volunteers. Thus no basic science research to determine the biological mechanism of vaccine injury or long-term studies into the side effects of vaccines have never been conducted in babies or children. Authorities who report disease statistics work closely with and have a vested interest in companies that produce the vaccines. In a hearing before the Committee on Government reform in June of 2000 it was reveled that Dr. Harry Greenberg, who serves as chair of the FDA committee, owns \$120,000 of stock in Aviron, a vaccine manufacturer. He also is a paid member of the board of advisors of Chiron, another vaccine manufacturer, and owns \$40,000 of stock. The

hearing was for the stated purpose of challenging the conflicts of interest of Greenberg and others on the advisory board that approved a new rotavirus vaccine in August 1998. This vaccine was recommended for universal use by the CDC in March 1999, but serious problems cropped shortly after it was introduced. Children started developing serious bowel obstructions. The vaccine was pulled from the U.S. market in October 1999. So the question was raised in Congress, was there evidence to indicate that the vaccine was not safe, and if so, why was it licensed in the first place? It was discovered that three out of the five of the members of the FDA's advisory committee, who voted for the rotavirus vaccine, had conflicts of interest that were waived. This is of course is just the tip of the iceberg of a corrupt health care system dominated by huge corporate financial interests, morally corrupt organizations and people blinded by greed and their sense of power; people thus untrustworthy even in their areas of scientific specialty. Are these the people we are going to trust with our babies' vulnerabilities? The incidence of chronic and degenerative disorders has been steadily on the increase over the last several decades and the medical community simply does not want to take responsibility for causing any of it. If vaccination is not responsible for the eradication of childhood illnesses, and vaccinated children are actually at a greater risk of contracting a disease than unvaccinated children, why is vaccination routinely presented as an effective safeguard for our children's health?

After the US mandated the DPT vaccine in 1978 there was a documented 300% sustained increase in the reported cases of whooping cough.

Many of the common diseases that vaccines have been engineered to prevent show a similar graph pattern of polio, which today in the States only occurs from the taking of the vaccine that contains live viruses. In 1999 only eight cases of polio were reported and all were caused by the oral vaccine. Jonas Salk, inventor of the IPV, testified before a Senate subcommittee that nearly all polio outbreaks since 1961 were caused by the oral polio vaccine. Most of these diseases were in radical decline at the very time of the introduction of the vaccines so there is no real scientific evidence which can link the eradication of these diseases with the increased use of the vaccines designed to prevent them. And even Dr. Sabin, developer of Polio vaccine said, "Official data shows that large scale vaccination has failed to obtain any significant improvement of the diseases against which they were supposed to provide protection."

According to the records of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, from 1911 to 1935 the four leading causes of childhood deaths

from infectious diseases in the U.S.A. were diptheria, pertussis, scarlet fever, and measles. However, by 1945 the combined death rates from these causes had declined by 95% before the implementation of mass vaccine programs.

Harold Buttram MD

When Terry was nine weeks old, his doctor gave him his first DPT shot and oral polio vaccinations. In the 48 hours after his vaccinations, Terry started to sleep more than usual and his mother couldn't wake him up to eat. Terry's breathing became shallow and the pretty pink glow of his skin turned gray and mottled. When Terry was seven years old he stayed alive with the help of a respirator and 24-hour nursing care. He can move his eyes and mouth but he can't move his head. Terry was left paralyzed by vaccine strain polio after swallowing live oral polio vaccine at nine weeks of age. In 1996, the U.S. Court of Claims in Washington, D.C. officially acknowledged that Terry's paralysis was caused by the live polio vaccine he received as a baby. Sadly, Terry passed away on January 8, 1999. Today, the only cases of polio in the U.S. are caused by the live oral polio vaccine. The Centers for Disease Control reports that there are eight to ten cases of vaccine associated polio in the U.S. every year but there is a question about how many cases like Terry remain undiagnosed.

When a person is vaccinated, ironically it only damages and weakens the immune system.

There are many people and scientists who are concerned whether childhood vaccines initiate immune system problems instead of strengthening the immune systems capacity to fight off disease. "Immunization skews the activity of the immune system", says Howard L. Weiner, an immunologist at Harvard Medical School. "If a person has a tendency toward a disease at a certain age, a vaccine might make them more susceptible later, when other challenges come along."

Many studies have demonstrated that at best, vaccines may only partially and temporarily confer immunity, and that repeated booster doses have little or no effect. Some researchers think that one reason for the high vaccine failure rates is that the immunological reserve for a wide range of antigens becomes substantially reduced in vaccinated people. Studies show that vaccination renders a substantial portion of immune bodies (T-lymphocytes) solely committed to the specific antigens involved with the vaccine. Having become committed, these lymphocytes become immunologically inert, incapable of reacting or responding to other

antigens. By focusing exclusively on antibody production, which actually plays a minor role in the overall immune process, immunizations isolate this function and allow it to substitute for the entire immune response. Because vaccines "trick" the body so that it will no longer initiate a generalized inflammatory response, they actually weaken our immune system.

The Heart of Medical Terrorism

Imagine that you are creating a fabric of human destiny
with the object of making men happy in the end,
giving them peace and rest at last,
but that it was essential and inevitable to torture to death
only one tiny creature...and to found that edifice on its un-avenged tears,
would you consent to be the architect on those conditions?
Tell me, and tell the truth.
Fyodor Dostoyevsky

Both the medical and vaccine people base their lives on one answer. For them it is acceptable to inevitably torture to death tiny creatures as long as we can hold on to the perception that such occurrences are "rare." When intense suffering is rare enough we can live with it in our consciousness. Today though the cry of suffering is all around us and we can still hardly hear it

"I can assure you that death from vaccination is neither quick nor painless.

I helplessly watched my daughter suffer an excruciatingly slow death as she screamed and arched her back in pain, while the vaccine did as it was intended to do and assaulted her immature immune system.

The poisons used as preservatives seeped through her tiny body, overwhelming her vital organs one by one until they collapsed.

It is an image that will haunt me forever and I hope no other parent ever has to witness it.

A death sentence considered too inhumane for this county's most violent criminals was handed down to my beautiful, innocent, infant daughter, death by lethal injection."

Christine Colebeck

whose daughter died twenty-four hours after receiving DPT OPV vaccinations

Not everyone dies of torture, most actually live through it but are badly scared. If you have any doubt that many children are dying such deaths, that many infants are experiencing a torture to their bodies and beings, know that there are many such testimonials like Christine's so many that if you read them all your heart would wail. Torture and terrorism are intimate cousins,

subjects that cannot be separated from each other. But because we have made false Gods out of doctors we reject outright any suggestions that medicine and medical people would be directly involved in torture and terrorist activity. This is perhaps an illusion, a hallucination that arises in consciousness when we bite down hard on the 'religion' of modern western medicine.

When we separate from the pain of one person we separate from the pain of all people, and we separate from the heart that feels the pain.

This is the essence of what Dostoyevsky is trying to communicate. We cannot separate from our brothers and ignore their suffering and be absolutely happy. If the price of our happiness is the torture of just one being, the 'means' and the 'end' separate and someone has to pay the price in terms of suffering. Some happy people do not seem too concerned with this as long as they are happy and the people around them are happy. Life continues along narrow separative individualistic lanes as insupportable problems mount and as criminals get away with murder. The above prose speaks of an entire field of psychology that deals with separation and ego, with the tendency of our minds to put up walls against others and their feelings. Thus this prose speaks of a primordial and subtle form of spiritual terrorism, one that quickly takes on not such subtle forms in social and medical situations.

Until you have become really, in actual fact, as a brother to everyone, brotherhood will not come to pass. Fyodor Dostoyevsky

What Dostoyevsky is hinting at here is a world shattering, world transforming all consuming love of the whole. Barry Kaufman of the Option Institute that deals intimately with autism said, "Love is to be happy with." Love, feelings, caring and happiness all go together. But none of those things exist in humanity outside of the context of some suffering, **even if that suffering is not our own**. So maybe we could add the opposite side to Kaufman's statement: "To love is to suffer." One of the main reasons people seem to avoid love is because they want to avoid the suffering that love often brings. To love makes us vulnerable for vulnerability does not come without risks, without lessons. This does not mean that unhappiness is the mark of an intelligent and sensitive person. Caring is not measured by unhappiness; it is measured by our capacity and openness to feel what we

naturally feel. Certainly we do not need to be unhappy, it just happens that sometimes we are.

Empathy holds more value than happiness, it runs in deeper and much more rich fields.

It is obvious to parents who have had their children badly hurt or killed with vaccines that the medical community does not care enough about the incredible agony of their beings. Not enough to look truthfully at themselves and at the emerging truths about vaccines and the companies that produce them and the people who support the torture of infant beings. The least they could do is launch a wide scale investigation and truly 'objective' scientific studies that would get to the bottom of this issue. But not only is the whole issue being avoided but parents are put through additional agonies as health officials accuse parents of murdering their own kids by shaking them (instead of admitting intense seizures which are recognized as 'normal' adverse effects from vaccination shots) or, as they have done for years, simply categorize and diagnosis infant deaths as unknown. (SIDS, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome) This uncaring concern for these patents just digs the grave of the medical establishment for it just becomes easier and easier to see pediatric medical practice's terrorist roots. It is becoming clearer and clearer that the vast majority of doctors and health care professionals simply do not want to know the cause of many of the sudden deaths of infants, even as the patterns of shots and deaths and vastly increasing incidences of neurological disorders like autism becomes plainly visible.

The story of Joseph--A mother's words

On the 15th June 1997 I gave birth to my first son. He was much loved and very wanted and I named him Joseph Paul. I had a normal pregnancy and Joe was born into the world a healthy boy. I remember holding him in my arms on the day he was born and feeling so much love for him. He was always a bright little boy, who loved life and everyone who met him were touched by his sunny personality.

In November 1999, when Joe was 17 months old I took him for the MMR vaccination. I was in two minds about whether to go ahead with it, as I had seen reports in the paper about possible side-effects and had my doubts. On the day of the vaccination I recall saying to the nurse at the surgery that I wasn't sure I was doing the right thing, but she reassured me and the vaccination went ahead.

About 3 days after the vaccination I bad a call in work from Joe's childminder to say that he was not well and I immediately took him to see a doctor. He had a high temperature and was not his usual happy self. The doctor prescribed antibiotics. At the end of December 1999 Joe suffered a febrile convulsion and was in hospital for 3 days. He made a recovery and was allowed home.

On the 25th January 2000, I was at home with Joe and we had a normal sort of a day. An hour before Joe went to bed he was playing in the bath, I then put him in his pyjamas and he ran straight to -his dad for a cuddle, and then went off to bed.

About an hour later I decided to go to bed myself, but when checking on Joe I knew something was seriously wrong.

After what seemed an age an ambulance arrived. My husband had spent those minutes breathing into Joe. We followed the ambulance to the hospital but I couldn't believe what was happening. We were taken to a small room and seemd to be in there quite a long time. Eventually a group of doctors walked into the room and someone simply said to me "He's gone". I remember asking if they were sure and they nodded. I couldn't believe it. My whole world stopped. My life had revolved around my baby and he was gone. We found our way home and I remember walking into the lounge which strewn with toys. The silence which now seemed to rest on the house was awful.

I felt as though my reason for living had gone. Losing a child is a physical pain that hurts inside which I had never felt before and I hope I never feel it again. The cause of death wasn't established straight away. We were paid visit by plain clothes police and I felt that I had to justify to them that I had been a good mother. One of the officers questioned me in the lounge and my husband was taken upstairs to Joe's room and questioned there. They left and the officer that had questioned my husband walked down the stairs and out of the house carrying my son's bedding without a word of sympathy or anything. We weren't criminals we had only put our baby to bed.

A month or so later we had meetings with the pediatrician and Joe's doctor. I had a lot of questions but always at the back of my mind was the MMR. I was told the vaccination had not contributed to Joe's death.

After about 3 months I had a call from the doctor to say he had received a copy of the post mortem. It had been established Joe died from encephalitis.

The post mortem could not establish the specific virus which had caused this. I don't expect that this will ever be established because the doctor explained that once someone has died it is quite difficult to test for specific viruses.

A leaflet I recently read about the MMR vaccination stated that encephalitis has been reported very rarely after immunisation (about one in every million vaccinations). The cause of death on Joe's certificate was stated as 'cause unknown' and yet it could have been possibly related to the MMR he received. Why wasn't this investigated and how many other similar cases are occurring?

At the beginning of December, last year, I gave birth to identical twin boys, Matthew and James. I knew I would have to face the subject of vaccination again! I sent for a copy of a book entitled "The Vaccination Bible" which I found very useful and also confirmed my fears. Febrile convulsions and encephalitis are both side-effects of vaccination, and feeling that this was too much of a coincidence with Joe's illness and subsequent death I decided not to allow my twins to be vaccinated. I understood that this was a huge responsibility so consequently I began to study and research nutrition and immune-boosting methods. There are certain nutrients, such as, vitamins A, C and E, selenium, zinc, iron, calcium, magnesium and potassium which are particularly immune boosting. Processed and refined foods and preservatives and colourings are all immune-suppressive, and even as little as 100g of sugar can suppress a child's immune system by up to 50%.

Even though I have Matthew and James there will always be something missing from my life. Joe's passing is something I will never get over but I somehow learn to live with it. I want parents to be able to make an informed choice, there are two sides to the debate about vaccination and parents should be presented with both. *f.M. Sept. 2001*

The Rape of Vulnerability

(The darkest and sadist story ever told)

Vulnerability is the capacity or susceptibility to being hurt. From the perspective of the heart, from the center of our vulnerable being nature, life on earth holds a great potential for the deep hurting of our beings. Inside the heart lies a great capacity for hurt, a sensibility of being that is complete in its ability to feel the uncaring, hurtful and abusive nature of others in ways that lead to a great deal of suffering. Most of us have been hurt one way or another, but some much more than others. In general we are hurt through the general lack of love that gets expressed as a lack of understanding and deep listening on the part of our parents, teachers, friends and others who just do not have the willingness or capacity to care enough.

For most people the feeling of being exposed to emotional hurt, or exposed to being taken advantage/abused relates to feelings of vulnerability that are to be avoided at all cost. Most people associate vulnerability with the feeling of being fragile, weak, or of being susceptible to emotional pain and suffering. When we are being taken advantage of either in business or in intimate relationships, we tend to feel highly uncomfortable and insecure. When such situations are prolonged we get sick with the helplessness and easily end up feeling trapped or imprisoned. No one likes one bit when their feelings and rights are being ignored and run over especially when we feel too weak to do anything about it.

Normally our vulnerability relates to our innermost feelings and fears with the possibility that others might use our feelings and fears against us if they knew of them. So we hide them to protect ourselves not knowing the cost of repression and separation. Surrounding these issues are the basic feelings of insecurity, our lack of self-confidence, lack of trust in others and the basic fear of the unknown, of uncertainty and uncharted waters. So people who fear being hurt, who fear their own vulnerability and the feelings engendered by it, tend to not let others know how they feel, they tend to think thoughts like, "I am never going to let my guard down, never let them know how I really feel. I have been hurt in the past so I will never let anyone hurt me again." This all leads to attitudes that do not trust anyone, pretending we have no problems or weaknesses when we do, and

walking around with the attitude that it's nobody's business how we feel and that nothing and nobody can help us overcome the pain we feel.

The word vulnerable is also synonymous with the words openness and exposure. Being open is a fundamental key to a life of fulfillment and success, to happiness and love. By their openness, people tend to exercise their courage and become freer from fear. Being vulnerable in this sense is synonymous with being open to communicate, being open to share and care, which all opens us up to the possibility of growing as a person in emotional and spiritual ways. When we are vulnerable in a positive way we try out new behaviors, attitudes and or beliefs in the pursuit of fulfillment and personal growth, we become willing to take chances and try out new experiences, accept challenges or take risks in trying out activities where the outcome is unsure.

The most important key to finding the love of the heart is found in our willingness and ability to be vulnerable.

The most interesting paradox of human life happens to center on issues surrounding our vulnerability. On one side we have this fear of being hurt that closes us down in a multitude of ways. On the other side our openness leads to a dynamic life of courage, faith and trust in others and ourselves. When a person is truly vulnerable there is an unobstructed entrance or view to the persons heart, being and soul. In the strongest or most enlightened person there is no protecting or concealing cover because the person needs none. Such people carry themselves in full view of others because they are not afraid of being hurt, because they are not afraid to suffer.

In the middle, between fear and hurt on one side and love and courage on the other lies a mystery, something little understood by modern man. We have words in language to describe something that we no longer know with any degree of intimacy. In *the center is our being*, something we come to this earth with, something that takes full form at our birth. In both modern and ancient languages we have this entity that exists inside of us, some internal fire and passion for life and love called the heart.

The Heart is the Vulnerability of being. This says it all when it comes to the heart. It is easy to hurt most beings because the heart is vulnerable. And our bodies are just as vulnerable. In the beginning we just are, a being with the capacity to feel perfectly vulnerable, deeply sensitive to all that is immediately around us. As babies when we are born we need a tender touch, looking to unite in bliss with the beings that have brought us into the world.

It is in this that the heart is completely visible, though vulnerable, needing unbreakable bonds of love and trust.

Deep within is our pure being which
has incarnated into this body.
This being is ultra sensitive.
From the moment of conception this pure being
is picking up subtle impressions from the environment
through the heart center of pure feeling.

Scientific evidence does show that even very young children are capable of experiencing deep anguish and grief in response to trauma, loss, and personal rejection. Dr. Kyle Pruett, clinical professor of psychiatry at the Yale University Child Study Center, says "infants are highly attuned to mood even when in the womb making them susceptible to things like parental depression." The reality is that a 4-month-old can be clinically depressed for if they do not get the love and care they deserve and need they begin to withdraw. Our pure beings need and deserve pure love and when we do not get it we become disturbed in our being, in the heart center that feels.

The ground state of our self is just a being that feels.

Hurt is something our beings naturally feel. Hurt is the appropriate response of the human heart when attacked or misunderstood as adults and it is the natural response of babies when they are treated with lack of consideration, kindness and love. When we betray the innocent and vulnerable nature of the pure heart we cause hurt. When we are born we have a great capacity to be hurt. Babies and young children are totally at the mercy of their environment, they are vulnerable. When we come to earth as vulnerable baby beings we hit the stratosphere of uncaring and are forced to accept many things, including the all to often deliberate abuse of our being. Besides any problems and conflicts our parents might be having we have the medical community waiting, making our birth a problem; ripping the experience away from its natural and most beautiful state. And then we have had the pharmaceutical companies doing their best to drag us away from the breast and the nipple and mothers warmth and natural immune strengthening milk. Yet there is something worse, a terror waiting for us, and aggression and invasion that is inflicted against our totally defenseless being.

"I wanted to let you know that I almost killed my own daughter by allowing her pediatrician to give her shots. At birth, my baby could already hold her head up and look around. She displayed numerous signs of being a gifted child. She scored a 10 on the APGAR test. When she was two months old, we took her to her monthly well checkup. What happened when we took her for her check up when she was two months old I will never forget, and I will tell everyone I know for the rest of my life to inform them of the dangers of these immunizations."

On the day of our birth doctors and nurses, with the willing acceptance and surrender of our parents, plunge cold steel into our flesh and inject a foreign fluid laced with poison and other modified genetic materials that assaults our immune and other critical systems of our bodies. Babies cry when their basic needs are frustrated, they cry when they are in need and when they are hurt. But babies start to scream when we pass a certain limit, they scream without end when they are violated and hurt in a way that is often beyond their capacity to recover from.

It is easy to hurt most beings because the heart is vulnerable.

And our bodies are just as vulnerable.

By the time a baby is born their ultra sensitivity keeps them alert to the total environment surrounding their birth. They can feel, and their beings remember in terms of conditioned fear and trust all the attitudes that surround them. It does make a difference to them how they are treated, how they are welcomed into this world. It matters when the doctor becomes impatient and cuts open the mother's belly, thinking more about money and time than the natural rhythm of mother and infant. It matters on a being level when cold steel penetrates our tender young body injecting something alien that we are totally defenseless to. It matters the arrogance of the minds of others who violate us in the name of false assumptions, judgments and rationalizations about what is and what is not best for our young lives and what they think is best for society. It is the mind that is not sensitive any more to its own vulnerability that can do such things, that can sacrifice without remorse our purity and vulnerability to something else.

When we are born we have a great capacity to be hurt.

There are many things doctors and nurses have traditionally done to rip us away from the pure vulnerability of the moment of our birth, they have hung us upside down and spanked us, taken us away from our mothers before we could bind with them, and shined bright lights in our faces. Making it cold and clinical in operating rooms as opposed to warm and natural in settings that facilitate feelings of safety and security; but what waits is a quantum level more disturbing to our vulnerability. Today it is standard procedure in the first hours or day of our life to penetrate our bodies with a hypodermic needle which manifests on many levels the first basic experiences of uncaring, helplessness and fear. At the moment of our birth we are confronted with something that holds a threat to our life, with a potential for an early death (for some) for we are injected with nerve toxins that can quickly accumulate and lead to severe neurological damage. Certainly it is a stress and a hurt that makes us scream to the high heavens but the doctors and nurses smile it off as being normal. Their base line of normality is thrust on our vulnerabilities and often sets the stage for much disruption of health in our very young lives. Babies and young children are totally at the mercy of their environment, they are totally vulnerable, but slowly loose this after years of being repeatedly hurt and misunderstood. We slowly loose the vulnerability of being as we erect our ego or mental separate self. When Christ said that we needed to be born again he was referring to the reversing of this process. He was talking about re-contacting this hidden vulnerability, the innocence and natural trust of our beings.

When we betray the innocent and vulnerable nature of the pure heart we cause hurt.

The separate mind is the betrayer of the universe of heart and true being.

Because of the hurt we feel deep down, and our own separation from 'that which is hurt,' (separation from our own being nature) we tend to manifest our uncaring and insensitivity in many ways that hurts others. Few understand this process and are sensitive to it and for sure the medical professionals and pharmaceutical people are not among the most considerate, warm and caring energies we encounter in life. One of the reasons money and power is such a difficult trip, and why Christ said it was easier for a camel to thread the eye of a needle than a rich man get to heaven is because if we put money and power first in our consciousness vulnerability gets lost, trampled on, and raped. What we put first in life is incredibly important on this level, it tells a huge story about our lives and actions.

To treasure vulnerable love is the first law of a pure heart.

Christopher Hills

This is the key that tells all the difference in young beings lives. When their vulnerability is cherished and protected the needs of our pure beings are met and we develop along natural and healthy lines. The injection of vaccines with their accompanying nerve toxins used as preservatives is the first full-blown invasion and rape of our infant vulnerability. The fear many people have of being exposed to being hurt, taken advantage of or abused, can perhaps be traced to this first in a series of violent intrusions into our inner physical and emotional domain. Though there are many biological and chemical explanations of what vaccine formulas can do to us on physical levels the fears themselves can retard normal development; can lead to developmental problems of all sorts. Shyness, timidity and fear taken to an extreme for instance will create learning disabilities. This can be traced 'impart' back to this wholesale violation of vulnerability at our birth and during the first few months of life when we are repeatedly attacked with needles that inject liquid poisons into our bodies. When we are led innocently to suffer the 'normal' side effects of vaccines, stresses and pain over something we have no control over we scream our unhappiness, we scream the hurt and we scream the suffering and in this all hardly a soul thinks anything is wrong except the parents whose hearts are thrown into a hurt fire and anguish that no one should have to face.

The age of starting routine immunization appears to be a critical determinant of the severity and frequency of reactions.

Both western medicine and modern psychology have not been able to come up with solid concepts that explain why some people recover from illness and others do not. There does seem to be a force in most everyone that operates routinely to protect and promote health, whose absence seems to leave us vulnerable. This explains, on some level, why one individual might suffer a relatively mild attack of a disease like ulcerative colitis, another gets chronically crippled by the same disease, and a third might decline rapidly from the first attack and go on to die from the same thing another recovers quickly from. Many physicians and therapists have noticed that certain people have a strong will to get better. There are some individuals who can become extremely sick, and because of an exceptionally 'strong will to grow,' they heal. And there are people who suffer from mild illnesses, who lack this will, and despite the best of treatment and care, languish inside of their illness. They will not show significant improvements, or if they do, will end up bouncing from one illness to another.

It's unbelievable that people would inject a chemical cocktail directly into our bloodstream, ready to disrupt our immune system, ready to subject our young body to a host of toxic chemicals and viruses.

We naturally scream against such an invasion, we react, and sometimes we die.

These differences are apparent in babies and their reactions to vaccination. Some babies are naturally stronger and can take the abuse with greater ease. Whether that has something to do with their bodies ability to detoxify or an overall strength is unknown but there is little to explain why one child will develop the slight redness of skin that doctors and nurses and medical organizations like to hold up as the only truly 'normal' effect to vaccination, and why others will react with high fevers and seizures that can end in death. There are so many factors that lead to our feelings of security and trust of life and many things that lead us to feeling timid and afraid. What is clear is that doctors and nurses are no longer tuned to the subtle vulnerabilities or even if it's a good idea to inject nerve toxins used as preservatives in the blood streams and muscle tissue of newborn infants. They are so out of touch with the true realities of young babies lives, they must be to do what they are doing without compunction.

Perhaps we know instinctively that some dark sinister force is attacking our purity of being.

Perhaps we feel with our tiny little spirits the force of deliberate ignorance and the bestiality of greed attacking our bloodstreams. Perhaps somehow we can sense that these people are much more interested in their ideas and livelihoods and their cherished ways of life than our vulnerability and need for pure love. Perhaps we just know through our feelings that it is all wrong, and we kick and scream, and then even our parents betray us by themselves taking us to the alter of medical deception. When our parents betray us something gets lost deep inside, we lose a certain trust that often can never be mended. When it comes to ripping our veins open to foreign invasion, when our pureness of being, and the natural strength of our bodies and immune systems are not being respected, the loss on the innermost subtle levels is hidden, lost behind a cloud of medically recognized symptoms like allergies and asthma all of which have emotional aspects behind them.

It is the uncaring that hurts our beings, the lack of heart, and the steel coldness of the mind that is always seeking power, dominance and control over others lives.

It is very difficult for adults to understand the pure vulnerability that a baby comes into the world with for most of us have lost our own connection with our own vulnerability. Babies are much more sensitive than we can imagine and react to the most subtle influences.

These knots and convolutions, on the levels of pure being, are turned into convulsions and seizures when the uncaring is augmented by the aggressive physical act of injecting foreign substances that are hostile to the bodies physical systems. Mercury, aluminum, and formaldehyde, substances found in almost all vaccines, are substances that aggressively interact with the physical being with consequences on other levels as well, meaning it's possible the aggressivity and toxicity even reach to the emotional and feeling/spiritual being deeper within. There are certain things that can never be measured. Some things cannot be studied by medical science. We come to this world looking for pure love, needing pure love, needing pure touch and an ultra sensitivity to our beings. We come vulnerable, open, and trusting, and when that is invaded, when instead of receiving this love we get a sorry excuse for it in the form of fear. Instead of trusting our systems and the God that made them to stand strong we receive lethal toxic chemicals and attenuated viruses in the form of vaccines injected directly into our internal systems.

The general nature of 'evil' is to not have consciousness of the effect that our actions have on the worlds of others.

Elie Wiesel understood evil, he lived through the Holocaust, and wrote about the men who managed the death camps. "Yes, it is possible to defile life and creation and feel no remorse. There was, then, a technique, a science of murder, complete with specialized laboratories, business meetings and progress charts. Those engaged in its practice did not belong to a gutter society of misfits, nor could they be dismissed as just a collection of rabble. Many held degrees in philosophy, sociology, biology, general medicine, psychiatry and the fine arts. There were lawyers among them. And - unthinkable but true - theologians." It is not such a stretch of the imagination for many thousands of parents to assume the worst when it comes to the public health officials and doctors that are responsible for vaccination policy. It's hard to understand that it is almost impossible to approach these kinds of people with logic and reason about things that are impossible for them to understand. How can you make them understand that nothing does greater harm to a human being (or infant) than feeling that your life is in danger and there is nothing you can do about it.

Scientists have discovered that great changes in brain chemistry occur when there is a danger and there is nothing we can do to escape it. The feeling of helplessness is a terror from which the brain has a very hard time recovering from. Many beings have confronted combat, torture, repeated child abuse, rape, and violent assault; each of these provokes a common biological and neurological effect when the stressful event is perceived as uncontrollable, thus leaving us helpless. When we feel there is something we can do we fare much better through traumatic experiences.

There is nothing a child or infant can do but kick and scream as we drag them into the doctor's office. It's funny that we so easily dismiss their cries, and it's sick that doctors and nurses dismiss their piercing screams and high fevers so easily afterwards. This story about the rape of infants vulnerability represents one of the darkest stories every told. For many thousands upon thousands of people it represents one of the saddest stories every told. Beyond our imagination it goes, beyond our capacity to feel empathy and remorse. No heart could bear to feel the collective agony of parents around the world whose babies are damaged or killed through this form of medical and scientific abuse of beings. No heart could bear to go totally into the inner world of all these infant baby beings and identify with their feelings without a total meltdown lined with tears. And no heart can really understand how humanity could have slipped so low and into such a darkness that would do such things to incredibly beautiful and vulnerable beings that come so trustingly into our care.

How can one not cry about such things, how can one not care? How can this have gone on for so long without the cry of the heart being heard? How have we been so duped that we have sleepwalked through such ignorance and insensitivity. These are questions for all of us to answer not just the doctors and nurses. Hardly a one of us has paid sufficient attention but now is our chance to make up for it all. Now is our chance to raise up among humanity a ground swell cry and scream that will finally be heard around the world. Now is our chance to prove that we are humans and deserve our place in a humane universe.

The babies are still being lined up, they are still screaming and crying. Can we hear them? All over the globe they are crying and sometimes dying. Can we hear them? Can we feel them? Whose heart can bear to? But we have to; we simply have to reconnect with their vulnerability that is being raped every day. Yes there is no way other than through swimming through a river of tears and through hearts almost broken but we will not break. Instead we have to channel all these feelings into a power translated into

action that will lead to humanity coming to its senses. To the sense that we will have the wisdom to stop hurting the children.

Our family's story about the devastating effects of the Hep B vaccine. Beth Topp the mother of Robert

"I could not imagine a more difficult task than describing how my son was before the shot. With every word I feel that bright, curious, considerate, beautiful little boy getting farther away. My son is still here, but he's not the same. He changed literally overnight. He had a thorough physical exam and our doctor said he was in great shape. A few minutes later the nurse gave him his first "mandatory " Hepatitis B vaccine. The following morning he was different. Different looking, different acting. I keep explaining this difference to all the doctors.

I realize that it is hard to get past the fact that he is paralyzed on one side, but that for us is only the tip of the iceberg. There is something else going on here. There is something really wrong with him. Mentally, physically, and personality wise he is a different kid.

I can't expect a doctor who has never met him to realize what a dramatic transformation this is because they have nothing to compare him to. I am hoping that my memories will be enough to help them understand how much of my child is missing. I hope I can make them understand how special he is and help them find some way to bring my little boy back to me. I'm not asking for a miracle, but just to understand what is happening to him, so maybe I can help fix it. I am so desperate, I will try anything. If he was dead I could mourn him and if he was missing I could look for him and if he had cancer I find the best doctors for treatment and comfort him. But he has turned into someone else and I don't know what I am supposed to do. I don't know how I am supposed to do it.

It is important to know that I wanted my children. I cherish them. I have always believed I was put on this earth to raise my children well, provide them with a good foundation enabling them to achieve great things. No sacrifice is too much. I want them to be good people and I believe that each one of them is destined to do something great with their lives.

Since the day he was born, a perfect little angel with a halo of beautiful white blonde hair and wise blue eyes. He was content. He didn't have colic or get fussy. He never showed any sign of a temper and was patient to a

fault. He was always so happy and agreeable. He was a natural athlete, nice, trying to please and very bright.

You really would have to know Robert to understand how radical a change there was after the shot. He's not the same anymore in anyway. He's really ticklish now. And has no tolerance for pain. If you step on his toe he screams and falls down crying in agony. Then he jumps up and wants to attack whoever hurt him. He is mean to little kids. He argues and fights and demands that everything be fair. He gets lost. He looks lost. He can't do basic subtraction. He can't remember anything. He forgets what we did yesterday and doesn't have the ability to think back and remember the last time he went to the bathroom. He is cold even when it is 90 degrees. He gets exhausted walking and gets nosebleeds a lot. His face doesn't move on the left side. He can't even close his eye or blink. His face sometimes looks twisted tight and severe. Other times it looks like it is sliding off his head. His mouth doesn't work so he drools, has trouble swallowing., slurs words. and when he drinks it runs right down his chin. The only thing he likes to eat is yogurt and does this weird thing with the right side of his tongue licking the spoon. He eats by putting his face down by the plate."

The Terror of Terrorism

(Pain and Suffering)

Terrorism hurts people, it's that simple. It is easy to hurt beings because our bodies are vulnerable. In the case of medical terrorism and the rape of children's bodies with dangerous vaccines there is no consciousness of the dangerous effects that the action of vaccination can bring. Dan Goleman states, "A psychological fault line is common to rapists, child molesters, and many perpetrators of family violence alike: they are incapable of empathy. This inability to feel their victims pain allows then to tell themselves lies that encourage their crime."

Evil and terrorism are interchangeable words that have a common characteristic, which is to cause suffering. The terrorist is out to hurt, is out to do violence, and who is more dignified in this, the freedom fighter or Islamic fundamentalist with just or understandable cause, the abortion clinic bomber, the husband that beats up on his wife and then rapes her, or the doctor who plunges several needles into a 2 month old baby who then goes into seizure and dies is a mute question. World Psychology universalizes the meaning of terrorism by **defining it through the suffering it causes** not the form of inflicting that suffering.

Terrorism is violent behavior that is directed at innocent victims. That terror can be consciously intended or administered passively through routine actions like vaccine shots.

Since there is a "War on Terrorism" going on in the world, it's easy to overlook the fact that we don't really have a good definition for what terrorism is. Terrorism means different things to different people: as is often quoted, one man's freedom fighter is another man's terrorist. It seems like a very popular word right now, used on both sides of every conflict from Afghanistan to Israel. Definitions of terrorism usually try to distinguish between terrorism and warfare, which means that attack on soldiers are warfare and those against civilians are terrorism, but the dividing lines quickly become blurred, as most people who know anything about warfare know perfectly well.

Torture, Abuse, and Terrorism

Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language defines "torture" as:

(1) the act of inflicting excruciating pain, as punishment or revenge, as a means of getting a confession or information, or for sheer cruelty. (2) a method of inflicting such pain. (3) the pain or suffering caused or undergone. (4) extreme anguish of body or mind; agony. (5) a cause of severe pain or anguish.

Webster's definition of "abuse" is:

(1) to use wrongly or improperly; misuse; (to abuse one's rights or authority). (2) to treat in a harmful, injurious or offensive way (to abuse a horse, to abuse one's eyesight). (3) to speak insultingly, harshly, and unjustly to or about; revile or malign. (4) to commit indecent assault upon. (5) to deceive; cheat. (6) to abuse one-self, to masturbate. (7) wrong or improper use, misuse. (8) harshly or coarsely insulting language. (9) bad or improper treatment; maltreatment (the child was subjected to cruel abuse). (10) a corrupt or improper practice or custom (the abuses of bad government). (11) rape or indecent assault.

It is interesting to note that none of these definitions of abuse includes the words pain, suffering, agony or anguish but no one is really in doubt about what torture is. Torture is synonymous with unbearable pain and suffering. The word "abuse," however, does not automatically bring that picture to mind. Abuse is a softer word; using it lowers pain and suffering to the level of being deceived, cheated or insulted. And even with this softening, with the lightness that the word abuse is used, it was too much for a group of psychologists in the late nineties and they had to try to downgrade the use of the word abuse in 'child sexual abuse.' In 1999 the American Psychology Association printed in their journal a paper that tried to change child sexual 'abuse' to child adult sexual relations. There was naturally uproar and the association backed out of giving these particular psychologists more support. Their idea was that child sexual abuse really did not cause enough pain, suffering, agony or anguish of body mind or being to consider it an abuse of being.

Biological Wounding

Daniel Goleman, in his book Emotional Intelligence, maps out the biological and psychological wounding that all forms of terrorism leave on its victims. **Terrorism of all kinds leaves profound imprints on the brain as it does to the collective consciousness of humanity**. There is no real way to measure the terrible and horrible hand of terrorism especially that type that happens in or near the home. But we can see it now more clearly in world events and in our prisons, in crime, in rape and child abuse statistics, in almost everything up to including the destruction of the natural environment of our planet.

Speaking more of the individual effects, Goleman talks first about how violence perpetuated upon us by others "shatters assumptions about the trustworthiness of people and the safety of the interpersonal world. Within and instant, the social world becomes a dangerous place, one in which people are potential threats to your safety." This and the feelings of helplessness that accompanies being singled out for attack leads to basic changes in brain chemistry and psychology known as Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), something that is experienced by war veterans to house wives battered by their husbands.

According to Dr. Dennis Charney, a Yale psychiatrist, "Victims of a devastating trauma may never be the same biologically. It does not matter if it was the incessant terror of combat, torture, or repeated abuse in childhood, or a one-time experience, like being trapped in a hurricane or nearly dying in an auto accident. All uncontrollable stress can have the same biological impact." Dr. John Krystal, director of the Laboratory of Clinical Psychopharmacology said, "Say someone being attacked with a knife knows how to defend himself and takes action, while another person in the same predicament thinks, 'I'm dead.' The helpless person is the one more susceptible to PTSD afterward. It's the feeling that your life is in danger and there is nothing you can do to escape it-that's the moment the brain change begins." Most terrorist attacks, including rape, have this element of helplessness in it.

One would never think to apply any of this to the act of vaccinating a new born child or a child of two months or four months or six months, the time periods when children are first attacked with needles containing some of the most nasty fluids known to mankind. After reading many of the personal stories in this book it does not take a long stretch of the imagination to realize that the definition of torture fits this bill perfectly for torture, however it is administered, always have three elements that maximize the creation of PTSD:

- 1. Those who were tortured were powerless to prevent it.
- 2. Whether the torture was physical, sexual or psychological, those who were tortured suffered extreme pain.
 - 3. For those who were tortured, their survival was in question.

It is hardly necessary to point out that in these three elements, there is no difference between torture inflicted upon prisoners and the torture that can be inflicted upon helpless children in the case of their sexual abuse or rape. And thus there is no difference between any of these things and the agony of pain and suffering a child can experience after being vaccinated. There is a conspiracy in the human race, a trait of the collective unconscious, and that is to diminish the pain and suffering we feel and the empathy we might feel for others and their plight. Doctors and nurses seem to have built their profession around this human characteristic. By raising their medical assumptions up to the point of divine dictates they have closed their hearts to the screams that represent the pain and suffering they themselves have inflicted on the most vulnerable elements of the human race. They have tortured unknown numbers of children and have gotten away with it. So blind and so insensitive have they become that they can go home and sleep at night never realizing what they are doing. But in this they are reflecting the movement of modern man away from vulnerability and away from the concerns of the human heart.

Vaccine Production and Contamination

Sure you can produce a much less toxic product in very low yields.

And anyone who has worked on pertussis knows this.

Dennis Stainer

Assistant director Connaught Medical Laboratories

Vaccine production is really quite a sordid procedure that almost insures that unanticipated matter may contaminate the shots. For example, during serial passage of the virus through animal cells, animal RNA and DNA (foreign genetic material) is transferred from one host to another. Because this biological matter is injected directly into the body, researchers say it can change our genetic makeup.

Normally virus and bacteria enter via the body's natural filtration system, they are inhaled or swallowed or passing through the liver. With measles, for example, the airborne virus is carried first to the tonsils, then to the lymph nodes and then into the spleen, blood and other organs. This succession produces a variety of reactions: sneezing, coughing or the secretion of a local antibody within the respiratory tract, all designed to expel or weaken the virus at its port of entry.

With vaccines, foreign antigens are injected directly into the body's tissues and carried throughout the circulatory system, giving them direct access to all of the body's vital organs and systems. To bypass the body's natural defense system at birth or any age is asking for trouble. In addressing scientists at a conference sponsored by the American Cancer Society, Rutgers University professor R. Simpson warned: "Immunization programs against flu, measles, mumps, polio and so forth may actually be seeding humans with RNA to form latent proviruses in cells throughout the body. These latent proviruses could be molecules in search of diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, Parkinson's disease and perhaps even cancer."

Today it is said that more care is taken in vaccine production and there are better methods of locating and removing contaminant viruses, but vaccines are still dangerous and set the stage for many other complications and side effects to occur quickly after administration. And there is a great possibility that vaccines are setting the stage for diseases to follow suit many years later.

Most people assume vaccines are "sterile" and germ free.

But sterilizing a vaccine can destroy
the necessary immunizing protein that makes it work.
Thus, contaminating viruses or viral "particles"
can sometime survive the vaccine process.
Alan Cantwell Jr., M.D

Undetected animal viruses may jump the species barrier and this is exactly what happened during the 1950s and 1960s when millions of people were infected with polio vaccines that were contaminated with the SV-40 virus undetected in the monkey organs used to prepare the vaccines. It was discovered that polio vaccines manufactured in monkey kidney tissue between 1955 and 1963 were contaminated with a monkey virus (Simian Virus, number 40). Although it is known that this virus causes cancer in experimental animals, health authorities insist it does not cause problems in humans. "But evidence of SV40 genetic material has been popping up in human cancers and normal tissue. Researchers are now connecting SV40contaminated polio vaccines to an increasing number of rare cancers of the lung (mesothelioma) and bone marrow (multiple myeloma)" wrote Alan Cantwell Jr., M.D. The last thing anyone wants to hear is that the exalted polio vaccine is linked to cancer. Too much is at stake. Implicating a vaccine contaminant in cancer, even if the contamination occurred some forty years ago, if widely known, would shake public confidence in vaccines in general, and this simply cannot be allowed by the organizations that control information about such things.

In 1993, at the National Cancer Institute, in Bethesda, Maryland (NIH), Dr. Michele Carbone, a pathologist, and Dr. Antonio Procopio, a professor of experimental pathology in Italy, did intensive research on human brain and bone cancer tissue samples and discovered that they were loaded with the monkey virus: 60 percent of the mesothelioma samples contained SV40 DNA. Moreover, Carbone found that in most of samples he tested, the monkey virus was active, producing proteins, suggesting to Carbone that the SV40 was not just an opportunistic "passenger virus" that had found a convenient hiding place in the malignant cells but was likely to have been involved in causing the cancer. This was the first time that researchers had put forward hard evidence that the all-but-forgotten vaccine contaminant was causing cancer in human beings. "There is no doubt that SV40 is a human carcinogen. SV40 is definitely something you don't want in your body," said Dr. Carbone, who is a seventh in a chain of physicians in his family. SV40 is "the smallest perfect war machine ever," Carbone murmurs. "He's so small. But he's got everything he needs." Scientists in no less than

seventeen major laboratories in the United States, Great Britain, France, Belgium, Italy, and New Zealand—have confirmed Carbone's and Procopio's research with respect to the presence of SV40 in human mesothelioma.

Dr. Beatrice Eddy appeared before Congress in 1972 and told the members that if they continued to allow contaminated vaccines to go out there would be an epidemic of cancer over the next twenty years.

In the fall of 1996 an Italian research team, led by Mauro Tognon, of the University of Ferrara, announced that it had found SV40 DNA in a large percentage of brain tumors, and even worse found the virus in 45 percent of the sperm samples and 23 percent of the blood samples they tested from healthy people, suggesting that the monkey virus could spread through sexual contact or unscreened blood products. Federal health officials concerned that any link between SV40 and human cancers would frighten people away from the polio vaccine and vaccination in general have, as usual, found other scientists to cast a shadow of doubt on the work of all these researchers demanding that "more" proof be supplied.

With this in mind Dr. John Martin, currently at the University of Southern California School of Medicine said, "If a vaccine program were to be initiated today one surely would not import wild monkeys from Africa, create short term primary kidney cultures, add a human virus and administer the crude batch derived from virally infected cells to virtually every child in the country." Monkey kidney cells are used for Polio and Adeno vaccines, while dog and duck kidney cells have been used for rubella vaccines and chicken cells used for measles and mumps vaccines. Dr. Martin suspects these animals viruses, possibly now co-mingled with human herpes-virus, to be the cause of many of the chronic disabling diseases we are seeing increasing today. Dr. Leonard Horowitz wrote in this regard "Even to this day the FDA is refusing to use sophisticated biotechnology to evaluate the contaminants in the vaccines such as the polio vaccine that they are administering. I think people would be appalled that some of the vaccines that are currently being used are still laced with viruses."

In a 1993 issue of Virology it was said, "Studies have shown that while the oral polio vaccine contains three strains of polio virus, a fourth strain can be cultured from the feces of vaccine recipients. This indicates that viruses have recombined and formed a new strain in the process of vaccination." Researchers postulate that the use of live viral vaccines introduces foreign genetic material into the human system, which has contributed to the unprecedented escalation of a variety of autoimmune disorders in recent decades.

Vaccination Experiments

Two or three years ago there was a rash of positive HIV and hepatitis patients in Baltimore among people who would otherwise not be expected to have a positive test.

When studied all of these people had received the influenza vaccine four to six weeks prior and this was rapidly covered up by the press Dr. Eva Snead (Feb 2000)

According to Harry Martin of the Free America Organization, "Between 1978 and 1981, experimental injections of a hepatitis B vaccine were conducted on citizens of the United States. The experiment began in Manhattan, New York in November 1978, when over 1000 homosexuals and bisexuals were injected with the experimental vaccine. To be eligible for the experiment, the men had to be young, healthy, promiscuous and under the age of 40. Three months after the experiment began at the New York City Blood Center, the first AIDS cases were discovered in young, white Manhattan gay men. In March 1980, similar vaccine experiments took place in Los Angeles, San Francisco, St. Louis, Denver and Chicago. Within a few months, the first AIDS case was reported in a young, white, San Francisco gay male. The Center for Disease Control stated in August 1981 that of the first 26 AIDS cases reported in the United States, twenty were from Manhattan - the site of the initial experiment with the hepatitis B vaccine six from Los Angeles and San Francisco. Of the 26 cases, 25 were white, and gay - and they all matched the profile of those given the vaccine."

The indigenous people of Alaska are being utilized in medical experimentation which utilizes vaccines which are either experimental, contaminated, or have deleterious effects upon their entry into the blood system.

Traditional Dena' Ina Health Committee

For those who find it hard to believe that any Americans would do such things know that on June 30, 2003 the Pentagon made public reports on cold war programs that tested the vulnerability of American forces to

unconventional attack. They admitted and identified 5,842 people who may have been exposed to chemical or biological agents in deliberate experiments. The New York Times reported that none of the 10 test reports declassified offered revelations of earlier Pentagon releases on these experiments and test programs, in which "deadly substances like VX and sarin had been sprayed on sailors, ships and even on American soil." Rick Weidman, director of government relations for the Vietnam Veterans of America, said his organization had been contacted by retired military personnel who provided information that suggested additional tests were conducted and that other military units were involved beyond those described in the Pentagon reports. There is no way to know how many and what kinds of experiments were done on people but there is no longer any doubt that things that Nazi doctors did on official enemies of the state were conducted by Americans on their own people.

One must take draconian measures of demographic reduction against the will of the populations. Reducing the birth rate has proved to be impossible or insufficient. One must therefore increase the mortality rate.

Robert McNamara
Former President of the World Bank and former Secretary of State

Is it really possible that such people exist and hold the reins of power over our life? Is it really possible that such thinking has even invaded the halls of medical science and practice perverting the healing and medical intent into something horrible and sinister? We can safely assume that some of the worst minds in the history of the human race are working for pharmaceutical and vaccine companies and that they are capable of creating monstrous nightmares beyond our wildest imaginations.

Using kids as guinea pigs in potentially harmful vaccine experiments is every parent's worst nightmare.

Alan Cantwell Jr., M.D.

Whether the AIDS virus originated in a green monkey used to manufacture the vaccine, as some believe, or whether it was deliberately introduced as an experimental biological weapon by the United States government, as many suspect, there seems to be ample evidence that it was originally sourced through vaccination programs and experiments. According to Martin, on May 11, 1987, The London Times carried a cover story, which was quickly repressed, connecting the World Health Organizations' African small pox vaccine programs with the outbreak of AIDS in Central Africa. Though we may never know the source of the virus

there does seem to be evidence that vaccines sourced 'in some way' the present epidemic that is devastating countless millions around the globe. We cannot discount the possibility, whether from a freak accident or from deliberate bio-warfare research, that vaccination programs were somehow involved. According to Martin on July 1, 1969, the American Congress heard testimony stating, "Within the next 5 to 10 years, it would probably be possible to make a new infective microorganism which could differ in certain important aspects from any known disease causing organisms." Considering the fact that within less than 10 years of this report, the AIDS epidemic commenced, where it had never existed before, we can rationally draw the conclusion that perhaps AIDS was the first 'success' story of biological technology and engineering.

My final conclusion after forty years or more in medicine is that the unofficial policy of the World Health Organization and the Save the Children's Fund is one of murder and genocide."

Dr Kalokerinos MD

It is interesting that Dr. Kalokerinos says this because a 1972 bulletin (volume 47) of The World Health Organization identified a virus that could attack the immune system in animals and possibly humans and it has been said that the World Health Organization authorized experiments in the 1970s on this subject. We do know that genocide is a real human behavior that shows its ugly face frequently, and thus, it is possible that after the World Health Organization was warned in the mid-1970s, that if population control was not achieved throughout the world, the earth would face devastating consequences in the 21st century, some sick minds, like Robert McNamara, who was quoted above, devised an experiment to try to take care of the 'problem.' Certainly the U.S. Department of Defense could have been involved because the U.S. Army was provided money for research, test and evaluation of biological weapons - including viruses that directly attack the human immune system.

Whoever it was who said before congress in 69 that it would be "possible to make a new infective microorganism which could differ in certain important aspects from any known disease," they certainly seemed to know what they were talking about. Or perhaps they were only psychics who were divining out a future full of disease and death.

We certainly are not being told about the intentions of people and organizations like the World Health Organization nor would we easily believe that vaccine manufactures would do experiments on people. Dr.

Alan Cantwell Jr. wrote, "Millions of female Mexicans, Nicaraguans and Filipinos have been duped into taking tetanus vaccines, some of which contained a female hormone that could cause miscarriage and sterilization. In 1995, a Catholic human rights organization called Human Life International accused the WHO of promoting a Canadian-made tetanus vaccine laced with a pregnancy hormone called human choriogonadotropic hormone (HCG).

Apparently the WHO has been developing and testing anti-fertility vaccines for over two decades." Dr. Cantwell reported that experiments were carried out on kids "in 1989-1991 when Kaiser Permanente of Southern California and the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) jointly conducted a measles vaccine experiment. Without proper parental disclosure, the Yugoslavian-made "high titre" Edmonston-Zagreb measles vaccine was tested on 1,500 poor, primarily black and Latino, inner city children in Los Angeles. Highly recommended by the World Health Organization the high-potency experimental vaccine was previously injected into infants in Mexico, Haiti, and Africa. It was discontinued in these countries when it was discovered that the children were dying in large numbers."

What is incredible to think about is how duped doctors and nurses around the world have been, how ignorant they are of what has gone on and what continues to go on. And what will be even more amazing to see or count is how many doctors will continue to burry their heads in the sand refusing even to listen to members of their own profession. How many will demand 'proof' and until they get it continue to inject newborn babies with lethal cocktails. We can stand around all day and argue about 'how' dangerous vaccinations are but we can no longer stand around and insist that there is no great danger.

It is important to note that opinions on AIDS vary widely. For instance Research by a prestigious retrovirologist named Peter Duesberg, who was a member of the National Academy of Sciences (an exclusive club of about a thousand of the elite scientists of the world who have made major contributions in their field) argues that an infectious agent cannot be the cause of AIDS. Rather, the cause is toxicological. In 'Infectious AIDS,' and in 'Inventing The AIDS Virus,' two highly respected books by him, Duesberg provides a short history showing how the toxic effects of certain allopathic drugs became part of the case definition of the diseases they were supposed to remedy. In both books Duesberg demonstrates that AIDS cannot be caused by a microbe. He believes that HIV may be a (non-causal)

serological marker for risk behavior, like the toxic long-term use of drugs. Although our immune systems have been assaulted by increased food processing and chemicals, pesticide drift and runoff, topsoil erosion, increased background radiation, vaccination, fluoridation (the list is extensive) for the last 60 or so years, AIDS became noticeable in high enough numbers only after a new quality of drugging began around 1970. Duesberg believes that the highly immunosuppressive amyl and alkyl nitrite inhalants, amphetamines, Quaaludes, cocaine, heroine, and others—with exponential increases in usage every decade—are what tipped the scales.

Many of the fundamental questions that Duesberg began to raise by the early 1980's could not be answered: Why are human cancers not contagious if they are caused by viruses? Why are the "cancer" viruses always found in healthy carriers who never subsequently develop cancer? Duesberg received his Ph.D. in chemistry in 1963 while at the Max Planck Institute for Virus Research. He then joined the University of California at Berkeley in 1964, and became Professor of the Department of Molecular Biology in 1973. He is a man to pay attention to but like so many, he is ignored by the mainstream of medicine. Duesberg realized that many of the same contradictions that plagued the retrovirus/cancer hypothesis also emerged in the HIV/AIDS hypothesis: The unusually long latency period; the virus is found without the disease; the disease is found without the virus; the suspected pathogen is found in quantities too low to explain a possible mechanism to account for the disease; a single variant of the virus supposedly causing a wide spectrum of diseases.

A disease is only recorded as AIDS if antibodies to HIV are also found. A diagnosis of any one of the 30 independent and disparate "AIDS" diseases, accompanied by a positive test for antibodies to HIV, will be recorded as AIDS. The same disease conditions are not defined as AIDS when the antibody test is negative. Tuberculosis with a positive antibody test is AIDS; tuberculosis with a negative test is just TB. When the public first learned of thousands of cases of AIDS without HIV, the CDC quickly buried the anomaly by inventing a new disease called ICL (Idiopathic CD4+Lymphocytopenia). Now, when it becomes apparent that HIV is missing in a case of AIDS, it is removed from the official statistics as AIDS, artificially guaranteeing a 100% correlation.

Crimes Against Humanity

Medical people always have a good reason for everything they do but that does not reduce the hurt and pain of their victims nor the incredible amount of lawsuits and the astronomical malpractice insurance fees they must pay for the paradigm of their ideology.

The worldwide program of childhood vaccinations is cruel and is a biological weapon of mass destruction aimed at the most vulnerable elements of humanity. The truth is that the greatest crimes against humanity are being carried out by pediatricians and nurses around the globe, who themselves are mostly ignorant of the harm they are doing. It is a sin this act of breaking the skin of a young defenseless being who is completely open and vulnerable, trusting themselves into the hands of their parents who are being deceived by their doctors and other health professionals. The crime is beyond comprehension when we realize that poison is in that needle and that poison is there because some people make a lot of money while knowing it is there, knowing it is poisonous, knowing it will bring untold suffering to many parents and their children, and they just do not care. The aggressiveness of this form of terrorism staggers the heart and the imagination. We have a horror story on our hands and it dirties and shames scientists and medical professional people in ways they will never recover from because so much trust is being lost.

Mandatory vaccine programs are "A violation of the Nuremberg Code in that they force individuals to have medical treatment against their will, or to participate in the functional equivalent of a vast experiment without fully informed consent.

Jane Orient, M.D.

The FDA has 'encouraged' but not required the vaccine manufacturers to remove thimerosal from their vaccines immediately. They obviously concede the point that poison in the form of mercury is not a good thing to be giving to babies or anyone else for that matter. What they will not concede is the 'crime' of giving it all these years or that it is dangerous enough to stop immediately all vaccinations in all countries around the world that contain the mercury and other toxic substances. When this

'encouraged' suggestion went out to the vaccine manufacturers they did not recall the hundreds of thousands of vials in doctors offices and clinics already containing heavy concentrations of mercury.

The U.S. Institute of Medicine states that scientific evidence does not prove or disprove a link between the mercury-containing thimerosal preservative and juvenile neuro-developmental disorders. In the face of such statements by doctors and their organizations people like Bernard Shaw share a growing lack of respect for the medical profession saying, "May as well consult a butcher on the value of vegetarianism as a doctor on the worth of vaccination." And others like Prof. G. Stewart have said, "There is no doubt in my mind that in the U.K. alone some hundreds, if not thousands, of well infants have suffered irreparable brain damage needlessly due to being vaccinated." And Deborah Funk, writing in the Army Times said "The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are warning civilians that the anthrax vaccine may be linked to birth defects if given during pregnancy, according to data from a preliminary Navy study that is still under review. Initial findings from research conducted at the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) suggest that anthrax vaccine administered to women during pregnancy may be associated with a higher risk of birth defects in their infants compared to infants of unvaccinated women and those inoculated before and after pregnancy." The CDC does acknowledge that fetuses and premature newborn children are at 'higher risk' from adverse vaccination reactions but flatly deny the same for children who go full term. The difference between a 40-week full term baby and a 37 or 35week-old premature one is not enough to support such a leap or judgment. Neither science nor rationality of any kind is served by 'basic assumptions' that officials at the CDC would have us swallow in some kind of religious showing of faith.

All coercive means for increasing the immunization rate should be immediately discontinued.

Jane Orient, M.D.

The anthrax vaccine seems to be especially brutal on adults and is forced on American military with the real threat of court marshal for all who refuse their shots. The problems with this vaccine have been kept under wraps for a long time, but as with all other dangerous vaccine reactions, it is impossible to hide them from the public forever. Most recently the Pentagon announced in August of 2003 that it is investigating 100 cases of pneumonia among soldiers in the middle of summer because two have died so far and fifteen have had to be placed on respirators. Dr. John L. Sever considers the

anthrax vaccine as the "possible or probable" cause of pneumonia among American soldiers in and around Iraq, where troops have been widely vaccinated against anthrax. "As physicians, I would think they would be looking at all possible causes. I would think vaccines would be part of that," said Sever, a medical professor at George Washington University Medical School. Dr. Sever said an anthrax vaccine study, printed in the May 2002 issue of Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, found that the vaccine was the "possible or probable" cause of pneumonia among soldiers. The study was convened by the Department of Health and Human Services and studied 602 reports of possible reactions to the vaccine among nearly 400,000 troops who received it.

Vaccination is a biological weapon at the service of biological warfare.

It permits the targeting of people of a certain race, and leaves
the others who are close by more or less untouched.

Vaccination enables the selection of populations to be decimated.

It facilitates targeted genocide. It permits one to kill people
of a certain race, a certain group, a certain country.

And to leave others untouched.

In the name of health and well-being, of course.

Guylaine Lanctot, M.D.

Most of the 'official' people involved in the vaccine story try to remain firmly on one side of the fence for to admit the connections would be a disaster for the industry and the medical profession as a whole. People with blood on their hands, who fear the retribution of parents, whose children they have killed, mutilated and rendered autistic are not about to admit their crimes even to themselves for even the crime of ignorance is too much of a humiliation for them to bear. And the people at the top, people who are prepared to kill and injure helpless children for money will hardly hesitate to lie and cheat if it will keep them out of jail and enable them to avoid paying compensation to their victims. Much of the information and propaganda that comes from the heartland of the medical establishment are really not much more than desperate and despicable attempts by child abusers to remove the noose that is tightening around their necks. Faced with the prospect that they themselves may be responsible for epidemics of horror that have been striking families all over the globe, the mainstream agenda remains attached to the status quo; willing to deny the problem and accept the loss of an unknown number of children on the basis of the 'success' of public health policies involving mandatory vaccination. No parent will subscribe to the belief that any child is expendable and no humane medical person should either.

The reverence accorded to vaccines is the "religion" of modern medicine.

Most parents can relate to the purity of baby beings and love them like a child is supposed to be loved and doctors and nurses are parents like everyone else. Baby beings deserve and need our pure love yet there are highly organized terrorist organizations such as the American Medical Association and the World Health Organization who are standing up powerfully to make sure that our children are terribly abused from the moment of birth. They make sure doctors and nurses remain ignorant of the real issues. Medical schools themselves are merely tools of ignorance of even larger organizations like the above.

A 1998 study from the New England Journal of Medicine found that 96% of peer-reviewed articles had financial ties to the drug they were studying. (Stelfox, 1998). And after all it should be remembered that the Journal of the American Medical Association is the same publication that for 50 years ran cigarette ads proclaiming the health benefits of tobacco. These are just a few of the many examples of how professional people allow themselves to be subverted by financial interests. Barbara Fisher, formerly of the U.S. National Vaccine Advisory Committee, stated in this regard that, "We have bad science and bad medicine translated into law to ensure that vaccine manufacturers make big profits, that career bureaucrats at the Public Health Service meet the mass vaccination goals promised to politicians funding their budgets, and pediatricians have a steady flow of patients."

Manufacturers put profits ahead of vaccine safety with impunity.

Andrea Rock (Money Magazine)

Harris Coulter said, "The manufacturers of vaccines and the pediatricians who administer them are simply unwilling to criticize their source of livelihood. Vaccinations provide such a large proportion of their livelihood that without them they would have to change occupation and practice another specialty." It has even been reported in England that the National Health Service pays a substantial bonus to physicians with documented vaccination rates over 70%, and a still higher increment if the figure tops 90%. Is there no end to this nightmare? It should come to no ones surprise that in every major vaccination campaign one finds the same tangled web. Government, the military, the World Health Organization, financiers, researchers, laboratories, universities, the CIA, the World Bank" and now even Bill Gates and his wife.

When you take into account the billions of dollars at stake in vaccination campaigns, it is not surprising that vaccination propaganda is foisted upon the public with almost religious fervor.

Jini Patel Thompson

Rep. Dan Burton (R-Ind.), a longtime advocate for victims of autism said "The Food and Drug Administration has very close ties to the pharmaceutical companies, as does the Department of Health and Human Services [HHS] and the Centers for Disease Control. I've said in the past that in some cases it appears that it's a revolving door and people leave government health agencies and go to work for the pharmaceuticals, which I think have undue influence on our health agencies." In this subversion people are deliberately misled and in the end many get seriously hurt. Medical terrorism is horrifying, and it comes in many forms.

Vaccinations are a form of Russian roulette that doctors and health officials are playing with our children. Recently the Bush administration had to back down from its massive program to vaccinate 500,000 healthcare workers with smallpox vaccine. Some hospitals refused to participate, saying the risk of side effects, which can include blindness, encephalitis, heart complications, even death, outweigh the benefits, which are zero given that smallpox has been eradicated worldwide.

Since the president's program began, two health-care workers and a National Guardsman have died from apparent complications from vaccinations. Several states, including New York, have suspended them. When it came to the small pox vaccination it was known exactly what percentage of Americans would die from it, and that number is just unacceptable. And the risk to our children imposed by this form of terrorism is much higher than it is for adults. It is time to rethink the way that our children experience the beginning of life; it's time to start protecting them from ignorance and vast money interests that want to attack them through their veins.

The men who ran Germany's death camps were scientists; they wanted to cleanse the gene pool and breed a super-race. They had no sense of right and wrong, and neither do many of the scientists who work for the NIH, the CDC, and the PHS.

Stanley Monteith, M.D.

Elie Wiesel understood evil, he lived through the Holocaust, and wrote about the men who managed the death camps. "Yes, it is possible to defile

life and creation and feel no remorse. There was, then, a technique, a science of murder, complete with specialized laboratories, business meetings and progress charts. Those engaged in its practice did not belong to a gutter society of misfits, nor could they be dismissed as just a collection of rabble. Many held degrees in philosophy, sociology, biology, general medicine, psychiatry and the fine arts. There were lawyers among them. And - unthinkable but true - theologians." It is not such a stretch of the imagination for many thousands of parents to assume the worst when it comes to the public health officials and doctors that are responsible for vaccination policy.

Spring 1945: emerging from the nightmare, the world discovers the camps, the death factories. The senseless horror, the debasement: the absolute reign of evil. Elie Wiesel

Humans generally believe they have changed, believe they have evolved and are better than pre-modern man. We also would like to forget what man was like just a few decades ago, also thinking, for instance, that we have evolved past the type of consciousness typical of the Nazi. This is naïve. There is always this human tendency, we like to think we are better than we are and we project that onto others in blind trust. New Age people package this drive very well with their channeled messages like, "You have changed potentials and have grown beyond that which any of us on this side had imagined you would go" but when we look at some hard facts about what one part of humanity is doing to its own children, it's really hard to imagine any 'higher' being could intelligently say such a thing unless that being was as blind as most humans seem to be about the subject and dangers of vaccines and many other areas of life. There is an incredibly strong tendency in human beings to avoid new information, a strong tendency to reject the obvious because it challenges the old assumptions that we attach ourselves to like little children do to the breast. But from highly educated doctors and health officials we should expect something different.

For the Sake of Humanity

Can you imagine the economic and political import of discovering that immunizations are killing thousands of babies?

Dr. Douglass M.D

There is no pride in being part of a race of beings that will sacrifice its own young and little forgiveness for those who actually plunge poison into a newborn babies just as they arrive on earth. The truth that is being hidden from us is quite horrible and shames the very nature of our humanity. The truth is that near every one of us is an office or clinic that lines up babies for injection of highly dangerous compounds consisting of some of the most toxic substances known to man, and these 'poisons' are responsible for a growing number of deaths and an epidemic of neurological disorders like autism, various learning disabilities, immune disorders, diabetes, asthma and a host of allergies and other problems considered minor and rare by health officials, but conceded in full on every slip of paper that accompanies each vaccine vile.

"For doctors ignorance is no excuse: the information is there right under their noses. It is all in knowing what to look for," states Dr Sherri Tenpenny. It is appalling to realize that most parents and doctors are ignorant to the facts and seem to prefer to remain so. Most people do not want to take the time to educate themselves about the dangers of vaccinations and the medical community prefers to keep it this way.

Either there is an unprecedented increase in the number of people who commit **infanticide** or early childhood vaccinations are causing not only an alarming number of deaths, but also an epidemic of other disorders that have no other explanation.

Western medicine has somehow turned us into 'civilized' savages, into a society that injects poison into newborns. The same people who thought cigarettes were fine have us convinced that the liquid in vaccination vials is healthy. They would have us believe that concoctions containing mercury, formaldehyde, neomycin, streptomycin, sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide, aluminum hydroxide, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin and 'other' substances mixed together actually holds some great benefit to humanity.

The life of a newborn baby is as fragile as tissue paper, vulnerable to violence, uncaring and ignorance, especially medical violence, uncaring and ignorance.

It is not a moment to poison a child, not on the first day, nor on any day of their lives. Yet the sum total of modern day medical intelligence would have us do exactly that, would have us risking our children without information as to the 'known risks' we are taking when we let doctors and nurses plunge hypodermic needles into their tender young bodies. So afraid of the truth are they that we are denied information, denied ready access to the truths that can help us avoid mountains of suffering and rivers of tears. We can only think the worst of the people and organizations that are arrogant enough to ridicule parents and other organizations that are desperately trying to bring the truth to the forefront of public consciousness. And what we should think of the same people who would actually accuse parents of murder rather than admit that vaccinations are causing terrible harm is difficult to conceptualise.

Are we allowing babies to be risked on the alters of medical tables in clinics all over the world?

The vaccination story is a difficult issue to get involved with because if we admit to ourselves that vaccines are bringing serious harm and misery to young children and their families we have a moral obligation to demand a change in common medical practice; but this brings us face to face with a powerful, ruthless political medical money machine that is not democratic or open to any truth but its own. It brings us face to face with our own fears and weaknesses as well as the religion of medicine, with its many fallacies and false assumptions. It is our personal involvement in the fight against the indecency of such things, against the hurt of others that marks our evolution and growth as beings. In the fight against vaccines we are not yet showing high-grade marks, not demonstrating the quality of consciousness that would organize like Gandhi or Martin Luther King would. We are not pouring out into the streets or demonstrating in front of vaccination clinics, not doing many things that need to be done to bring this sad chapter of human history to a close. We hardly even communicate with each other on deep and meaningful levels, even in the many virtual groups dedicated to this subject.

Practicing physicians are expected to unquestionably endorse the safety of vaccines under all circumstances and to all individuals. This

compromises the integrity of their work and puts them in an untenable situation with their souls for when pediatricians and other health officials' refrain from warning the public about risks out of fear that parents will stop immunizing their children, they are using the full powers of their positions to participate in the serious harm of infants. One parent reported that when he pressed his pediatrician to the wall about the dangers of vaccines his pediatrician broke and said, "I would lose my license if I told you how dangerous vaccines really are." This is typical of the great pressure that is applied to physicians and nurses around the globe, vaccinate or fold up shop.

The test of the morality of a society is what it does for its children.

Dietrich Bonhoeffer (1906 - 1945)

It is truly a sad day for humanity when it puts its own children at risk, and profoundly incredible that most people will not take a clear and careful look at the information available, preferring to remain ignorant and thus condemning an unknown amount of newborns to suffering and sometimes death. Scientists, researchers and many parents are giving us reasons to wake up to a nightmare happening in nurseries and pediatrician offices around the world. It is difficult, and will get more and more difficult to drive by our local health care centres or paediatrician offices without feeling something, without a feeling of great unease.

There is a great price to pay for our uncaring and inactivity in this area. To allow this to continue, to not stand up and join in non-violent battle is to sacrifice not only more babies, but our own caring sensitivity as well. Though it comes as a complete and unbelievable surprise to most people that doctors and nurses are participating in an agenda organized by pharmaceutical companies and their counterparts in federal agencies like the Center for Disease Control (CDC), we need to get over the shock and get to work righting a great wrong. We need a revolution to confront the lockstep thinking about vaccination programs, we need to get tough in a non-violent way with forces that would sacrifice children's lives and health.

The CDC is a disgrace. It is a corrupt organization.

The drug companies have them on their payroll.

Stephen A. Sheller

Philadelphia attorney who has sued vaccine makers

It is very clear in my mind that the answer is a new level of human organization, a new level of communication that brings together beings from around the world with the dual purpose of protecting the most vulnerable elements of our race, our children, from a great harm that is forced on them, coupled with the purpose of bringing mankind itself to a new level of intelligence and love. There are so many issues to deal with in this new century but we need to start with the basics, with the protection of life, with the babies that we are bringing into this world. If we do not protect them, if we cannot bring a loving care and empathetic compassion to their needs what good are the rest of our struggles. Their needs to be a general revolution against established powers that have set themselves up and profit from the suffering of others. This revolution is necessary for many reasons in many areas of life but we need to prove ourselves worthy of better systems by being better people and there is no better way of showing that then standing up and giving attention to what is happening to the little ones.

In reality is should be the easiest revolution ever won. What better cause than a revolution for the children. Gandhi set himself up against the English empire forcing them to abandon their colony and much of their riches and power at the same time. It was a political revolution that ended with Indians murdering each other to the count of many millions. He had to witness it all and had to almost starve himself to death to get them to stop murdering each other. Who is starving themselves for the children? Are we really caring about them or do we have so many other more important things in our minds to occupy our attention and to justify a minimum level of activity and co-operation with others in this area. Now another Gandhi or King will not do for we need a whole group of people gathering together in a way that will create a power that will not be denied. It's a battle for the children, a war of wills, and a test of our souls.

Perhaps in the end we will loose the great fight for light on our planet. Perhaps we will destroy ourselves; obviously we are well on our way in many areas from melting our ice caps to polluting our waters and seas, to not paying attention to over population issues and fresh supplies of water sufficient for human life. Actually it's a long list so we need an appropriate starting point and what better one than the one that centres on the first moments and months of human life. If we cannot stop the poisoning there it's really doubtful we will anywhere else.

There are many people making a fortune out of what is wrong with life and not that much to be made from what is right. There is no profit in fighting for what is right, only our reward that our own highest self will mirror back to us. It really would not take that much to overthrow those who would harm little babies with the mistaken propagandist belief in the supreme good of vaccines. There is no separating out the supposed good of vaccines from the nerve poisons that are put in them to increase shelf life and reduce costs. Yet our minds separate and fragment on every level of life and so we can fool ourselves about this and many other things. Our minds, personalities and egos are so attuned to separation that even when it comes to an issue that can be boiled down to the poisoning of newborn infants, we cannot drop our separations and gather in a great gathering of beings.

It would not take that much to stop them from hurting the children, but perhaps we are so alienated from our empathy and compassion, so separate from our true selves that any little effort is just too much. In this I am talking to all of us no matter how much effort we are making in this regard. For even those few who are committed can do more. Even they can communicate and organize, stretch and expand in new areas with new people. Each of us can do more, each of us needs to do more. Babies incarnating to earth deserve our purest love and they need it. What they do not need are vaccinations starting from day one that contain even trace amounts of poisons. And they certainly do not need to be subjected to it for no reason, which is the clear case for the hepatitis B, which is not a child hood disease that they need to be protected from.

Conditioned Ignorance

Perhaps the blind lockstep of society has been programmed in insidiously, like in the movie the matrix, from birth, on such a deep level that we no longer are capable of thinking appropriately about reality. Perhaps we have accepted and swallowed something so hideous and antihuman that we have in fact banished the best of humanity to antiquity.

Americans are the most conditioned beings the world has ever known because of deliberate programs of mind persuasion, delivered faithfully by the mass media, are rained down on Americans year after year. Because of this process Americans are less intelligent rather than smarter each year and the same process is being duplicated all over the world through a process called globalization. Literacy is becoming marginal in most American secondary schools as seen in the example of California were more than half of high school seniors can't read well enough to pass their exit exams. Very few people are paying attention to the process of conditioning that they are being subjected to in a Matrix type of manipulation, not by super computers but by mega-corporations and the people who run them. The pubic is uncritically absorbing mass media every day which allows access to their minds by "just anyone" who has an agenda, anyone with the resources to create a public image via popular media.

Scientific research has generally shown that genetically modified foods do not cause health problems.

Many basic thoughts and attitudes like this one above are continually being shaped and molded deliberately by people and organizations dedicated to this task. It is just a fact of reality that most issues of conventional wisdom are scientifically implanted in the public consciousness. People generally think the same about a broad range of issues because companies have spent hundreds of millions in media marketing to make sure that this is so. We almost all think that vaccination brings immunity, when a child is sick we think he needs immediate antibiotics, no one doubts that milk is a good source of calcium and that you never outgrow your need for milk, chemotherapy and radiation are effective cures for cancer, without vaccines infectious diseases will return, doctors are certain that the benefits of vaccines far outweigh any

possible risks, there is no real problem with global warming, there is no problem with aspartame or white sugar, food additives are not hazardous to our health, household cleaning products are safe, alternative medicine is flaky, the medical profession is trustworthy, and cancer research and treatment is on the right scientific track. This is a list of illusions has cost billions to conjure up but has made many more billions for the ones who invested in such propaganda.

This is the world that has been pulled over your eyes to blind you to the truth."

Morpheus (The Matrix)

Herman Gurbels of Nazi Germany was not the father of modern day propaganda. Edward L. Bernays was actually, and he is known as the Father of Spin. Bernays took the ideas of Sigmund Freud and applied them to the emerging science of mass persuasion. Instead of using these principles to uncover hidden themes in the human unconscious Bernays used Freud's ideas to mask agendas and to create illusions that deceive and misrepresent the public all for marketing purposes. He evolved the principles by which masses of people could be generally swayed through messages repeated over and over hundreds of times. Hypnotists, which Freud was originally, know the power of subliminal messages that are repeated and know that there are two principal ways that people learn, repetition and impact.

Common sense seems to have been thrown out the window a long time ago and replaced with thoughts that have been programmed in by financial interests, into our individual and collective bio-computers that we call brains.

The master of public relations and advertising job is to reframe issues; to create a desired image that put a particular product or concept in a desirable light. Bernays described the public as a 'herd that needed to be led.' And this herd like thinking makes people "susceptible to leadership." Bernays never deviated from his fundamental axiom to "control the masses without their knowing it," for best PR happens when people are unaware that they are being manipulated.

"Those who manipulate the unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested largely by men we have never heard of. This is a logical result of the way in which our democratic society is organized. Vast numbers of human beings must

cooperate in this manner if they are to live together as a smoothly functioning society. In almost every act of our lives whether in the sphere of politics or business in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires that control the public mind."

Edward L. Bernays

Bernays learned a long time ago that the most effective way to create credibility for a product or an image was by "independent third-party" endorsement. Quietly financed by the industries whose products were being evaluated, these "independent" research agencies would churn out "scientific" studies and press materials that could create any image their handlers wanted. For decades now an endless stream of 'press releases' announcing "breakthrough" research have been flooded to every radio station, newspaper and television station in the country.

Propaganda and marketing specialists know that to manipulate the herd of humanity they must focus on emotion, not facts. PR firms have become very sophisticated in the preparation of news releases. They have learned how to attach the names of famous scientists to stories that manipulate the mass mind into believing what primary financial interests want them to believe. And venerable medical journals pretend to be objective, scientific and incorruptible. But the reality is that they don't antagonize their advertisers, pharmaceutical companies that pay millions for full-page drug adds in their centerfold. How long would these medical journals survive if they print sound scientific research papers that attack the safety of the drug in the centerfold?

Could it be that man made global warming is the greatest hoax ever perpetrated on the American people? It sure sounds like it.

Senator James Inhofe

America's present ruling party is pursuing a strategy of denial and deception about a broad range of problems and they are making it very clear how the practice is executed. For example Frank Luntz, a Republican, wrote about how to neutralize public perceptions about global warming and accusations that the accusations Republican party was anti-environmental. "The scientific debate is closing [against us] but is not yet closed. There is still an opportunity to challenge the science." He and others advise Republicans to play up the appearance of scientific uncertainty. Climate

change skeptics say the Earth's weather has always varied over time but the overwhelming consensus of the scientific world is that the biggest single cause of global warming is the burning of fossil fuels such as coal and oil. It is difficult for the average person to see that the appearance of uncertainty is "manufactured." Very few independent experts now dispute that manmade global warming is happening, and represents a serious threat. Almost all the environmental skeptics are directly or indirectly on the payroll of the oil, coal and auto industries just like many of the pro vaccine advocates in the CDC, the FDA, and the APA are directly or indirectly on the payroll of the pharmaceutical and vaccine manufacturing companies who are profiting from the chemical rape of young children.

Understanding Our Anger

"I am so angry at the deception and lies after my little boy died.

I feel like I need to do something to avoid this from happening to someone else, and for the precious memory of my beautiful baby boy."

There is a kind of anger that is aroused by something unjust, mean, or unworthy. Psychology understands that the universal trigger for anger is the sense of being endangered or threatened which can include threats to self-esteem and dignity. When we feel that we are being treated unjustly or rudely or being insulted or demeaned there is a natural and often deep response within us. Thus it is understandable, to say the least, if we feel anger with doctors, nurses and the entire system of medicine. It's even understandable if we get angry with ourselves for being ignorant about vaccines and have let ourselves be led passively into doctors hands.

There is a tyranny, rape, and pillaging all happening in the vaccination world, but the aggressions are not happening on the streets or on the battlefield, instead it's a war of wills that centers around men and woman with white coats, their needles, medical tables, and our ignorance being taken advantage of in the worst possible way. Most of the aggression in the world today is not fought in overt manners, we no longer send in troops to take over territory as a primary means of aggression. Now we conquer people's "heart's and minds" which is simply done through deception and illusions that deceive us.

The problem is bigger even than the question of immunizations. It is a problem of subscribing to a system that is inherently corrupt in that it enslaves the masses and serves the needs of only an elite few. Our participation in this angers us when our loved ones or we suffer from the consequences of our subscription to the system.

There is an anger (strong feeling) whose source is love and this type of anger is a force to be approached with care for it has the power like no force on earth.

The origin of spiritual fire expressed as anger is not hate but love, not separation but oneness.

When we are attacked unjustly, something inside of us wants to tell the other that we are human, we are beings too, with feelings, and that to be attacked hurts. Yet there are few human beings who are able to express this kind of feeling in a vulnerable way, with the tears of a melting heart. It is much easier, natural, and seemingly safer to express our feelings in such situations with hot anger that gets lost in its expression for few listen, even when we get angry. It is we who have to listen to our own anger and it is we who have to decide what we are going to do with it. The real meaning of our anger is seen in the actions we are meant to take. Anger is the emotion of action, it calls us to move, to do, to confront, defend, and even to avenge.

Anger calls clearly for either a change in our self or a change in our current situation.

Anger shows a 'strong' displeasure about something. What that displeasure is about is very important. There is an anger that transcends the selfish undisciplined ego. An anger that we can see in nature that delivers consequences and is ruthless and impartial. Pure righteous anger is not directed so much at individuals, it is not ego-to-ego. It is a feeling response directed impartially toward a whole system of wrongs. In general, when we love cosmically it is the divisions in human life that causes intense feelings, anguish and sometimes anger. Anger is a social emotion; it is something that gets triggered mostly in relationship to others. Our beings were never meant to live with uncaring and separation. We were meant to live with love and caring. And thus it is quite natural for us to have strong feelings when love and caring are lacking in our social existence.

Power used to exploit the worlds of others creates anger because that exploitation is hurting the beings that are being exploited.

Modern medicine is in the business of exploitation, it's that plain and simple. Doctors are trained to take advantage of what they perceive as our ignorance and in this they become very comfortable with their arrogance. Doctors are trained thoroughly to think that anyone who is not a doctor can know nothing about health and medicine when it is really they who are the most ignorant ones. They are ignorant of the basics of health and even the basics of disease. For instance the most basic cause of back problems is

beyond their wildest comprehension. They would rather have us suffer or send us to a psychologist then look with their very own eyes at the most obvious. Anyone can walk into a bank anywhere in the world and see the cause of a great majority of back problems. Next time you walk in take a look at the way almost everyone is standing. Hardly a soul today stands on two feet. Almost everyone shifts their weight to one side and stands with their hips tilted and with almost all their weight on one foot. Nature gave us two feet upon which to stand and meant us to distribute our weight equally between our two legs. When we stand to one side, favoring one leg over the other, gravity becomes our enemy. From the very bottom of our spine to the cranial bones in our head we are creating a problem that grows with age. When we shift our weight off center the hips end up being tilted and one leg length becomes shorter than the other. The numbers of doctors who know things like this are fewer than the "rare" reactions to vaccination shots. Emotional medicine is another subject you might as well talk to a wall to for physicians are among the most emotionally illiterate people on the planet. Medical school destroys what emotional heart intelligence they have for there is little room for feelings and emotions in modern day medicine. Yet emotional displacements and repression are among the greatest contributors to disease.

When our children are hurt by the arrogance and ignorance of the medical system and the people who operate within that system it is natural for us to feel some anger. In the long run the best thing we can do with that anger is let it motivate us into some kind of constructive confrontation with the object of that anger. Righteous anger is not that kind that burns hot and wants to get back. It burns slowly and coolly, rational in its direction, working intelligently to change a system that is wrong and hurtful. If we do not tune to these feelings and do not take 'appropriate' action we risk ourselves in an emotional quagmire that can take us down the long slope from health into disease.

Successful righteous action is healing to our souls, with it we can realize a kind of vindication, for even if it is too late to turn back the clock on our own and our loved ones suffering we can intervene for the sake of others and help them avoid that which we were unable to. Helping others helps us in our emotional healing, for in the end we are all one family of beings.

Provoking our Anger

EXCERPTS FROM THE DECEMBER 10TH HEARINGS BETWEEN DR. KAREN MIDTHUN, DIRECTOR OF OFFICE OF VACCINES RESEARCH AND REVIEW AT THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND CONGRESSMAN DAN DURTON (R-IN)

"Vaccines and the Autism Epidemic: Reviewing the Federal Government's Track Record and Charting a Course for the Future" 12/10/2002 House Committee of Government Reform, Washington, D.C.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Are there still vaccines in doctors' offices right now, today, that contain Thimerosal that are being given to children?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: I don't believe so. No, as I mentioned, all vaccines—the routine recommended childhood immunization series started 2001 have been manufactured either Thimerosal-free or with markedly reduced amounts of Thimerosal. Now, that's just the vaccines that are in the routinely recommended childhood immunization schedule. As I had mentioned, influenza vaccines, which are not part of that routinely recommended schedule, but were encouraged to be administered by the ACIP, although they're not yet part of the routine schedule, those are now available in a Thimerosal trace formulation for both Evans vaccines and Aventist Pasteur vaccines. As I mentioned, Wyeth announced its intentions not to manufacture an influenza vaccine after this year. But the other two do offer this trace Thimerosal presentation.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: But they still have Thimerosal in them?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: Yes, they still also have multi-does vials that do contain Thimerosal.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Why don't they go to single dose vials?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: I know that they are considering the feasibility of—

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Why don't you tell them to do that?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: We consider these vaccines, which also contain Thimerosal as a preservative, to be safe and effective; however, we do consider that it's important to have vaccines—

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Did you hear any of the testimony earlier from those people that were testifying, those scientists and doctors?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: Yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Did you see the study from Canada there that showed the damage it's done when a very minute amount of mercury is given, put in proximity to brain cells?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: I think it's hard to extrapolate that data to what actually happens in a clinical situation.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Well, you know, every study that's been done, Doctor, that you guys have put forth showing that there's no say categorically that Thimerosal doesn't cause autism. They never say that. Can you tell me right now, categorically, without any doubt whatsoever, that mercury in vaccines does not cause autism?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: I think what I'd have to say is what the Institute of Medicine concluded, is that the body of evidence neither allows you to accept nor reject that relationship.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: No, I want you to give me a yes or no. Can you say right now, just flat out, just say--can you tell me without any doubt whatsoever that the mercury in vaccines does not cause neurological problems or autism?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: We can neither accept nor reject a causal relationship.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: So what you're saying is, you cannot tell me that. You cannot say categorically, can you?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: We don't know one way or the other, but—

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: So why are you keeping something in there if you don't know one way or the other, when you know that there's an epidemic of autism? If there's an epidemic of something, why do you keep

it in there when you're not sure? Because every study I've seen flatly says you're not sure. You say, you can't say yea or nay.

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: I think you have to consider the benefit that vaccines confer, and there's a definite benefit from influenza vaccine, and having an adequate supply of vaccine is very important.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Okay, well, let me follow up on that, then. Single shot vials, does that need Thimerosal?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: No, they don't, but—

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Well, then why don't we have single shot vials?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: There are a lot of manufacturing issues associated with switching over. You need much more filling capacity for the lines. You need a lot more other kinds of things that need to be introduced. So although it can be done, and both Evans and Aventist Pasteur have started to introduce that, it is not something that at present they have the capacity to do in entirety.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Well, let me ask you this. Do these pharmaceutical companies that produce these vaccines had in the past the ability to produce and have they produced single-shot vials?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: Yes, they do because that's how Evans and Aventist Pasteur is doing it right now.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: How about all of the pharmaceutical companies? Do all of the pharmaceutical companies pretty much have the "Vaccines and the Autism Epidemic: Reviewing the Federal Government's Track Record and Charting ability to produce single shot vials?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: You know, I couldn't speak to that categorically. I don't know. But I do know, certainly, in the case of Aventist Pasteur and Evans, that they do have the ability because they are doing that.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Okay, then why hasn't the FDA, to be on the safe side, knowing that we're having one in over 250, and in some cases, one in 150 children becoming autistic, and there's a growing body of evidence that Thimerosal and mercury is causing that. Why wouldn't you go down the cautious road instead of coming up with these additional studies that say, well, we're not sure, we can't say yea or nay. Why not go to single shot vials?

DR. KAREN MIDTHUN: Because we believe that the multi-dose vials continue to be safe and effective, and that they speak to having enough supply of influenza vaccine which serve the very important public health effort.

CHAIRMAN DAN BURTON: Well, let me just end up by saying this. You know, I'm a student. I study at the Cincinnati Bible Seminary. And I don't like to quote scripture very often, but there's none so blind as those who will not see. You just sit there, and you keep saying over and over again, that you think that there's not a real danger for having this mercury in these vaccines. There's been 1500 plus articles written saying that there is a problem. We've got scientists from all over the world coming in here. You saw a demonstration from a Canadian tape showing the impact of a minute amount of mercury in brain cells. And yet you continue to say, well, we don't think that a very small amount of mercury—but you don't know because there's no study that you've put out that I've seen, not one, that says categorically that mercury in vaccines does not cause neurological problems. You can't tell me that today. You've hedged all over that issue. And you guys just continue to keep coming up here and making excuses, and I don't understand why. Why not just get it out of there?

The following article appeared in the Sunday, August 3, 2003 edition of the Washington Post; Page W12

A Child's Severe Reaction to a Vaccine Alters Life Something was wrong with Porter By Sarah Bridges (Abridged)

This is the lesson you don't get in the parenting books: Sometimes bad things happen from doing the right thing for your baby. Porter's high-pitched scream woke us at midnight. When I ran to the nursery and picked him up, his sleeper was damp and his head flopped to the side. My husband grabbed the phone and punched in the number for the pediatrician. "Hurry," I yelled, even though Brian was dialing as fast as he could. He reached for

Porter, then handed me the phone. "It's the nurses' line," he said. "What's the problem?" a voice on the other end asked calmly. "Our baby has a fever and is listless. He was fine today," I said. "The doctor said he was perfectly healthy at his well-baby appointment this afternoon."

```
"Did he get his vaccines?"

"Yes."

"It's probably the shots -- fevers are typical after the DPT."

"But he isn't waking up."
```

Her voice changed. "Get to the emergency room -- I'll tell them you are on your way."

Brian pulled Porter's 2-year-old sister, Tyler, out of her bed and strapped her, still sleeping, into her car seat. The freeway was empty as we barreled to the hospital, the windows in the car opened for air. Porter lay on my lap with his eyes closed, and my legs felt hot under his body. We turned past the bar with the sign that read, "THE BEST TIME OF YOUR LIFE."

A nurse met us at the emergency room entrance and carried Porter to an examining room. Within minutes of arriving, Porter began a 90-minute grand mal seizure. Watching helplessly, I was pressed against the wall as a doctor jammed a breathing tube down my son's throat. Porter, just 4 months old, lurched on the table while nurses stuck syringes of Valium into his arms.

After a minute, the doctor turned to me and said, "Don't worry, we'll stop it. I'm sure about that. It's just that we may need to sedate him to the point that he'll quit breathing." In that instant, in that one sentence, everything I took for granted vanished.

At first, the doctors thought Porter would be fine. They said it was a bad reaction -- a rare side effect to the pertussis vaccine, which can cause seizures in some children and brain injury in others -- but that brain damage was so rare we shouldn't think much about it. Of course, I couldn't think of anything else but the worst-case scenario. When Porter awoke in one piece,

he seemed alert, but beyond that we were guessing. The day after his seizure the pediatrician asked, "Does he still do the same things he did before the reaction?" My mind went blank as I struggled to recall what exactly a 4-month-old did.

After 72 hours in the hospital Porter was smiling again, and by the end of the day he was allowed to go home. Porter seemed fine, and I told everyone who asked about him how lucky we were. And then two weeks later he stopped breathing.

I was home alone getting ready for work and drying my hair as Porter lay beside me on a blanket. When I smiled down at him, Porter's eyes were frozen and his lips were blue. I screamed and put my cheek against his mouth to check if he was breathing. I didn't feel anything. I grabbed the phone and punched in 911, tapping my foot through two slow rings. "Emergency, can I help you?"

"My baby stopped breathing." "Tell me what he looks like."

I screamed, "His face is blue!" as I clutched Porter in my arms. She said loudly, "You need to stop yelling so I can help you." I forced myself to concentrate as she guided me through baby CPR, and I did the two-fingered presses until I heard the rescue truck pull up outside. We lived a block from the station, so the firefighters came first, tromping up the stairs in blue coveralls and boots. A case like a tackle box banged the wall beside me as they circled around Porter and then moved me out of the way. A man dropped to his knees and took over for me until Porter started breathing -- one quick little gasp.

We scrambled into the ambulance and the paramedic tucked a bear onto the stretcher beside Porter, saying, "The church ladies make these." I watched the numbers on the oxygen gauge flash every few seconds -holding my breath, fixated on the monitor.

Once at the hospital we learned that the original seizure wasn't a fluke but the first in what would become an intractable seizure disorder. This time Porter had stopped breathing, as people sometimes do, in the midst of an intense episode. As they hooked Porter to a breathing monitor we would later take home, a doctor in clogs pulled me aside. I recognized him immediately -- he had treated Porter during his first hospitalization.

"I filed a report with the CDC about Porter's reaction to the pertussis shot. Do you know about the National Vaccine Injury Act?" I shook my head. "It's a program set up by Congress to compensate for the medical needs of children injured by vaccines. I think you might want to look into it." With that he handed me a phone number and turned down the hall to answer a page. I tucked the paper into my pocket, reassuring myself that it wasn't that bad. After all, they said Porter just had epilepsy -- and I knew that could be treated.

Following the second hospitalization, Porter began taking Phenobarbital. I cringed at the thought of giving my 5-month-old a barbiturate. The readings I had done on it studying for my PhD in experimental psychology suggested that it could impair a child's intelligence. Porter's neurologist put these fears in perspective when he said, "The New England Journal of Medicine just did a big study of the drug and found that it may lower IQ by five points. I don't think that small a drop is even noticeable." I mixed the crushed pills into jam that night and fed them to Porter.

While I understood that the majority of people with a seizure disorder respond to medication, Porter's seizures continued unabated. By the end of his second year he'd been hospitalized 14 times to stop seizures -- some of which went on for hours. Though Porter received no definitive diagnosis during this time, the early language and behavior problems he was experiencing indicated he might have autism -- something we gradually came to believe was true.

A month after Porter's accident, we summarized all of his medical records as we filed his vaccine injury with the special governmental court in charge of these cases. Despite the interventions, his seizures were intractable and his other disabilities unchanged. Results from his special education testing confirmed what we'd suspected for a long time -- Porter was severely retarded. **The government received our petition and immediately conceded. A vaccine injury had caused permanent brain damage.** As part of the settlement the government set up a trust to provide for all of Porter's medical needs. In support of the case, Porter's doctors wrote letters sharing what they saw for his future: All three agreed he would never hold a job or live independently.

Sarah Bridges is a psychologist and writer living in Minneapolis. © 2003 The Washington Post Company.

Your Pediatrician

Pediatricians are probably worried about potential lawsuits from vaccine reactions; it is a common and particular concern of doctors in general who have been highly traumatized by malpractice suits and the cost of their coverage to fear such things. Most doctors thus never admit the big problems with vaccines nor do they apologize. One mother put it this way; "Docs are "brainwashed" and scared of parents like us who have knowledge about vaccine injuries. Their job/belief is to vaccinate. They think that vaccination is for the good of society and that if some get hurt their hands are clean. They will tell you hundreds of different reasons for neurological manifestations or autoimmune problems and the big word used is "idiopathic" or unknown. They do not want to know or want you to know either. My advice is to save your energy for the political fight to change vaccines and the Vaccine Injury Compensation Law and to find a good attorney and most importantly, keep your family safe and healthy. Also, I see the pediatricians as "tools" of the pharmaceutical companies, they are the ones, along with the FDA and CDC and other assorted DC characters who deserve your anger and the wrath of what they will eventually deserve and get," expressed Teresa Hodge.

Doctors are required by law to report suspected cases of vaccine damage. To simplify and centralize this legal requisite, federal health officials established the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)-operated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). But although there is a statutory requirement for doctors to report adverse effects, there are no sanctions in the law to deal with doctors who do not comply with this law.

Therefore, it is no surprise that most doctors won't report many symptoms and complaints, nor will they associate them with the vaccination, thereby withholding the corroboration that is needed to substantiate a claim. This often happens even after a death or permanent injury just a few days following the administration of a vaccine. That's why about 95 per cent of all claims are filed exclusively by parents. Even parents who are generally aware that there are risks associated with vaccination do not realize that symptoms that become apparent days or weeks later, may have been the result of the vaccines. A special investigation in the

December 1996 issue of Money magazine -The Lethal Dangers of the Billion-Dollar Vaccine Business-found that doctors and federal health officials tend to downplay vaccine reactions hoping the public will remain confident about vaccination and to keep vaccination compliance rates high.

For instance pediatricians frequently misdiagnose loose looking stools—that are normal in breastfed babies—as diarrhea. While your baby may be thriving and gaining weight, the doctor will nonetheless want to place the baby on anti-diarrhea medications or opiates like Lomotil. Many parents don't realize that these medications, as well as bactericides like antibiotics or penicillin used to treat their baby's cough or cold, ultimately prevents normal bowel functioning leading to loose stool. An antibiotic may kill enough of the intestine's normal microorganisms to allow more resistant competing strains to flourish and take over. Loose stools in breast-feeding babies are perfectly normal and it is only in medical approaches that are *religions of disease* that we find doctors reflexively diagnosing normal conditions as disease conditions.

Safety and Scientific Studies

Out of 59 health problems suspected of being associated with a variety of vaccines, the Institute of Medicine committee found that no scientific studies had been conducted on 40 of them.

Money Magazine

While a drug company must report its findings from all clinical trials to the F.D.A., it is generally under no obligation to publish any of them or make them public because they own the data from the clinical trials that they sponsor. In reality, because of this and other flaws in the entire process of scientific research and clinical trials, **government approval is not a guarantee of safety**. New drugs are approved on the basis of relatively short treatment studies in a limited number of patients. And safety studies are performed on animals resistant to human diseases. Animals also react to drugs, vaccines, and chemicals very differently than humans, and also to other species of animals. Guinea pigs die from penicillin, but they can safely eat strychnine-a deadly poison for humans, but not for monkeys. Aspirin kills cats and sheep can swallow enormous quantities of arsenic. It's the main reason drugs are recalled from the marketplace, but only after a high enough death toll among humans is finally noticed. It all amounts to a waste of human and animal life.

Safety studies on vaccinations are limited to short time periods only: several days to several weeks.

There are NO (NONE) long-term (months or years) safety studies on any vaccination or immunization.

For this reason, there are valid grounds for suspecting that many delayed-type vaccine reactions may be taking place unrecognized at to their true nature.

Harold Buttram MD

For these reason, a drug's rare adverse effects may not turn up until well after it has reached the market and large numbers of people have been exposed to it. To date the vaccine companies have been able to cherry-pick favorable studies for publication and file away studies that show its drug in a negative light. Such publication bias has a profound impact on medical

practice because doctors get an incomplete or distorted impression about the safety and efficacy of new vaccines. Such publication bias can make a merely mediocre drug look spectacularly effective.

Public confidence in the safety and efficacy of any drug can be built only on full and representative disclosure of clinical research. Richard A. Friedman, a psychiatrist, and director of the psychopharmacology clinic at Weill Medical College of Cornell University says, "For too long, drug companies have been allowed to tell us only the good news about their products. To that end, the F.D.A. should publish on its Web site the results of all studies sponsored by vaccine companies. And pharmaceutical companies should be required in their advertisements to list the number of trials that they conducted and published for each and every drug."

More Information

Virtual group dedicated to Applying the Highest Consciousness to the Issues contained in Cry of the Heart

It is my intent, with the forming of this group, to create an assembly of people who want to confront the incredible hurt and abuse that is being perpetrated on the young via the injection of hostile chemicals and drugs contained in routine vaccines. It is my intent to facilitate the gathering of an incredible force of mind and being, one strong enough to penetrate the veils of human ignorance and ego. The nightmare and terrorism of vaccines is not something happening independent of the type of human consciousness that is playing out destructively in many area of life; it does seem like the forces of darkness, of evil, ignorance, or whatever we want to call it are having a field day in our race consciousness today and the price being paid is and will be enormous in terms of human suffering. The issue with vaccines is special in that it is being perpetrated against new born babies who are coming to earth looking, in all their vulnerability, for a pure love, a care, and understanding, empathy and compassion for their true needs as beings. What they get instead is an injection containing poison.

Above I mention the penetration of the human ego because behind everything that is wrong in our world is the ego that does not want to listen to anything other than its own thoughts that flow constantly in the mind justifying each and every position and belief. Human conflict is a direct result of ego separation and we can also see the ego at the root of the medical terrorism of vaccines. Without going into a long and deep essay about the nature of the human ego and its defensive and selfish psychological dynamic, let us just touch on the subject of human ego as the common element in many issues that are occurring simultaneously in the world. In confronting the vaccine question we will be facing the same elements we see in many other areas. For instance by 1998, the richest one percent of Americans were pocketing as much combined income as the 100 million poorest Americans and in the world, in the four years leading up to 1999, the world's 200 richest people doubled their wealth to a combined \$1 trillion. By comparison, the combined income of the world's 2.5 billion poorest is \$1 trillion.

Several of these families are involved in the chemical and pharmaceutical industries and behind almost everything in the world today is the profit motive and an absurd lust blood for wealth and power. A matrix has been brought down over our eyes by the medical, scientific and government communities so castrating us that we cannot act together to stop such acts of violence directed against babies during their first days and months of life? The wealthy, powerful and politically well-connected people of the world are not acting with compassion; empathy, caring or concern for the masses and almost everything about our present system is designed to facilitate the further concentration of power. The system, and almost everyone in it have been programmed to act in a way that guarantees the survival of the system itself.

Because of these financial and power interests modern medicine has turned a corner and entered a darkness and is now committing crimes against humanity unequalled in the history of our race. So bad is the situation, so horrible the reality that doctors cannot admit their error, and we have in general all been taught not to rebel, not to challenge the very basis and heart of the system. In reality we have the teachings of old time religions working in modern medicine and in general we are taught not to communicate (which means remain separate and weak and trapped in individual separate consciousness) too deeply with each other and still are being dissuaded from information and knowledge, from eating from the tree of knowledge. Ignorance reins supreme and all avenues to true group power and revolution against the system has been cut off.

Change will come one way or another but the way it comes is important. In fact it is crucial. On financial levels, the last time we saw such an overwhelming concentration of wealth we had the great depression to even things out. Ecologically our planet itself will rebel against us and our activities that are destroying delicate balances that are essential for life and its quality. One thing is clear, or should be. We cannot expect others and the system to change if we will not ourselves. Egos need to be penetrated in high circles and there is zero chance of that if we will not do the hard work ourselves voluntarily.

The fundamentals of that work lead us to communicate in ways we have never communicated before. In a world that does not model listening and heart to heart, being to being communication, we must learn to do what others refuse to do. The power we are should be looking to accumulate in our group is not centered on wealth but around a type of human consciousness that is centered on very strong communication flows. Powerful fields of dedicated communication will naturally lead to a type of group consciousness and it is this consciousness that holds the potential to break through the barriers of separation that maintain our impotence.

In the end any small group of individuals who themselves transcend the separate function of the ego and who live and think holistically as one integrated being become spiritually very powerful. Our vision in our assembly is to gather a group of beings who will dedicate their lives and souls to the creation of the world's first true international group consciousness with their first goal the direct confrontation with the harm being done to babies and young children via vaccines.

We need to become a new force on earth and our love, empathy and compassion for others needs to light up the human sky. We need to do the work of unifying our hearts and minds, and then we will be in a position to communicate as a group, as one voice to a world that has been put to sleep in a form of conditioned ignorance. We need to learn to speak as one, act as one, and be as one. We need to be a miracle, the one needed by humanity. If you would like to learn more about the "voice of oneness" group, please visit http://groups.yahoo.com/group/voiceofoneness

Links to further information about Vaccination:

ALEX LOGLIA ON "THE HOUR OF THE TIME"

http://www.gval.com/loglia.htm

VACCINES, FRIEND OR FOE

http://www.conceptmed.com/vaccines.html

VACCINE INFORMATION & AWARENESS

http://home.san.rr.com/via/

WHAT IS IN A VACCINE & WHY WOULD THESE SUBSTANCES CREATE PROBLEMS?

http://www.blackherbals.com/vaccine.htm

EDUCATE-YOURSELF

http://educate-yourself.org/vaccinedangersindex.html

THE POISONED NEEDLE

http://www.whale.to/a/mcbean.html

HEPATITIS-B VACCINE CONTINUES TO KILL INFANTS

http://www.mercola.com/2003/jul/9/hepatitis b vaccine.htm

FURTHER WEBSITES LISTED

http://www.ratbags.com/rsoles/vaxliars1.htm